costs and indirect costs including cost estimates of various types of permit related activities. The estimated hourly cost is \$53.60.

Because state law caps hourly fees at \$53.00, Pima's hourly charges are capped at \$53.00. See 17.12.510.M. Although this cap is 60 cents per hour less than the District's estimated hourly costs for permit processing, EPA finds this provision to be fully approvable. Given the inherent uncertainty in the cost estimates, EPA believes that the difference is insignificant and unlikely to cause a shortfall in revenues. Further, Pima is tracking its program costs and revenues and has committed to provide EPA with periodic updates that will demonstrate whether fee revenues are meeting the costs of the program. If EPA finds that the County is not collecting fees sufficient to fund the title V program, it will require a program revision.

In addition to imposing a cap on hourly fees, state law also limits the maximum chargeable fee for issuing and revising permits. State law and Pima regulations cap Title V permit issuance fees at \$30,000. See 17.12.510.G. Pima estimates processing costs for permit issuance at \$21,484. Fees for processing permit revisions are capped at \$25,000 for significant revisions and \$10,000 for minor permit revisions. See 17.12.510.I. Because the workload associated with these classes of permit revisions is likely to vary a great deal, Pima did not attempt to estimate the cost of these actions. The County believes that costs for permit revisions will be less than the maximum allowable fees. (See letter to Dave Howekamp, EPA, from David Esposito, Pima County, dated February 17, 1997.) EPA will periodically review the County program to ensure adequate fees are collected.

## III. Administrative Requirements

### A. Request for Public Comments

The EPA is requesting comments on all aspects of this proposed approval. Copies of Pima's submittal and other information relied upon for the proposed interim approval are contained in a docket (AZ–Pima–97–1–OPS) maintained at the EPA Regional Office. The docket is an organized and complete file of all the information submitted to, or otherwise considered by, EPA in the development of this proposed interim approval. The principal purposes of the docket are:

(1) to allow interested parties a means to identify and locate documents so that they can effectively participate in the approval process, and (2) to serve as the record in case of judicial review. The EPA will consider any comments received by May 5, 1997.

## B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The EPA's actions under section 502 of the Act do not create any new requirements, but simply address operating permits programs submitted to satisfy the requirements of 40 CFR part 70. Because this action does not impose any new requirements, it does not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

## C. Unfunded Mandates

Under section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a federal mandate that may result in estimated costs to state, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to the private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under section 205, EPA must select the most costeffective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

The EPA has determined that the approval action promulgated today does not include a federal mandate that may result in estimated costs of \$100 million or more to either state, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This federal action approves pre-existing requirements under state or local law, and imposes no new federal requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to state, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

## D. Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this action from Executive Order 12866 review.

## List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 70

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Operating permits, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q. Dated: March 23, 1997.

## Felicia Marcus,

Regional Administrator.
[FR Doc. 97–8691 Filed 4–3–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

#### 44 CFR Part 67

[Docket No. FEMA-7211]

# Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations

**AGENCY:** Federal Emergency Management Agency, FEMA. **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** Technical information or comments are requested on the proposed base (1% annual chance) flood elevations and proposed base flood elevation modifications for the communities listed below. The base flood elevations are the basis for the floodplain management measures that the community is required either to adopt or to show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

**DATES:** The comment period is ninety (90) days following the second publication of this proposed rule in a newspaper of local circulation in each community.

ADDRESSES: The proposed base flood elevations for each community are available for inspection at the office of the Chief Executive Officer of each community. The respective addresses are listed in the following table.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Frederick H. Sharrocks, Jr., Chief, Hazard Identification Branch, Mitigation Directorate, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646–2796.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA or Agency) proposes to make determinations of base flood elevations and modified base flood elevations for each community listed below, in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4104, and 44 CFR 67.4(a).

These proposed base flood and modified base flood elevations, together with the floodplain management criteria required by 44 CFR 60.3, are the minimum that are required. They should not be construed to mean that the community must change any existing ordinances that are more stringent in their floodplain management requirements. The community may at any time enact stricter requirements of its own, or pursuant to policies established by other Federal, state or regional entities. These proposed elevations are used to meet the floodplain management

requirements of the NFIP and are also used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings built after these elevations are made final, and for the contents in these buildings.

## National Environmental Policy Act

This proposed rule is categorically excluded from the requirements of 44 CFR part 10, Environmental Consideration. No environmental impact assessment has been prepared.

## Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Executive Associate Director, Mitigation Directorate, certifies that this proposed rule is exempt from the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act because proposed or modified base flood elevations are required by the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4104, and are required to establish and maintain community eligibility in the National Flood Insurance Program. As a result, a regulatory flexibility analysis has not been prepared.

## **Regulatory Classification**

This proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action under the criteria of section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 of September 30, 1993, Regulatory Planning and Review, 58 FR 51735.

#### **Executive Order 12612, Federalism**

This proposed rule involves no policies that have federalism implications under Executive Order 12612, Federalism, dated October 26, 1987.

# Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice Reform

This proposed rule meets the applicable standards of section 2(b)(2) of Executive Order 12778.

## List of Subjects in 44 CFR Part 67

Administrative practice and procedure, Flood insurance, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, 44 CFR part 67 is proposed to be amended as follows:

#### PART 67—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 67 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 4001 et seq.; Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1978, 3 CFR, 1978 Comp., p. 329; E.O. 12127, 44 FR 19367, 3 CFR, 1979 Comp., p. 376.

#### § 67.4 [Amended]

2. The tables published under the authority of § 67.4 are proposed to be amended as follows:

State	City/town/county	Source of flooding	Location	#Depth in feet above ground. *Elevation in feet (NGVD)	
				Existing	Modified
Connecticut	Weston (Town)	West Branch Saugatuck	At Westport/Weston corporate limit	*44	*47
	Fairfield County.	River.	Approximately 170 feet upstream of Kramer Lane.	None	*444
		Beaver Brook	Approximately 40 feet upstream of confluence with Saugatuck River.	*78	*77
			Approximately 840 feet upstream of Slumber Corners bridge.	*82	*81
		Jenning's Brook	At the confluence with Saugatuck River	*124	*125
			Approximately 420 feet above the confluence with Saugatuck River.	*131	*130
•	•	n Building Office, 56 Norfield I rst Selectman of the Town of	Road, Weston, Connecticut. Weston, 56 Norfield Road, Weston, Connect	icut 06883.	
Connecticut	Westport (Town) Fairfield County.	West Branch, Saugatuck River.	At approximately 550 feet above confluence with Saugatuck River.	*31	*32
	,		At approximately 1,400 feet upstream of Newton Turnpike.	None	*93
Maps available for in	spection at the Westpo	ort Town Hall, Office of the To	own Planner, 110 Myrtle Avenue, Westport, C	Connecticut.	
Send comments to 06880.	Mr. Joseph P. Arcudi,	First Selectman of the Tow	n of Westport, 110 Myrtle Avenue, Town I	Hall, Westport,	Connecticut
New York	Fort Ann (Town) Washington County.	Copeland Pond	Entire shoreline within community	None	*453
		Hadlock Pond	Entire shoreline within community	None	*458
		Lakes Pond	Entire shoreline within community	None	*864

	Washington County.				
	-	Hadlock Pond	Entire shoreline within community	None	*458
		Lakes Pond	Entire shoreline within community	None	*864
		Lake Nebo	Entire shoreline within community	None	*843
		Lake George	Entire shoreline within community	None	*321
Maps available for in	spection at the Fort An	n Town Clerk's office, Route	4 in the Village of Fort Ann, Fort Ann, New \	York.	
Send comments to M	Ir. John Aspland, Fort	Ann Town Supervisor, RR 1,	Box 1303, Fort Ann, New York 12827.		
New York	Sag Harbor (Vil- lage) Suffolk	Sag Harbor Bay	Approximately 400 feet east of the inter- section of Harding Terrace and Taft	*10	*9

New York	Sag Harbor (Vil- lage) Suffolk County.	Sag Harbor Bay	Approximately 400 feet east of the intersection of Harding Terrace and Taft Place.	*10	*9
	CGG.II.y.		Approximately 350 feet north of the intersection of Harding Terrace and Taft Place.	*12	*11

Maps available for inspection at the Village Office, 55 Main Street, Sag Harbor, New York.

Send comments to The Honorable Pierce W. Hance, Mayor of the Village of Sag Harbor, P.O. Box 660, Sag Harbor, New York 11963.

State	City/town/county	Source of flooding	Location	#Depth in feet above ground. *Elevation in feet (NGVD)	
				Existing	Modified
New York	Southampton (Town) Suffolk County.	Seatuck Creek	North of Main Street	None	*(
	spection at the Southa	•	d Zoning Department, 116 Hampton Road, S visor, 116 Hampton Road, Southampton, Ne		lew York.
(Unincorpo	Frederick County (Unincorporated Areas).	Fishing Creek	Approximately 0.27 mile downstream of Devilbiss Bridge Road.	None	*274
	711040).		Approximately 0.84 mile upstream of Mountaindale Road.	None	*665
Maps available for in	    spection at the Winche	Fishing Creek Diversion Channelster Hall, 12 East Church Str	At divergence from Fishing Creek	None None	*471 *523
			mmissioners, 12 East Church Street, Frederi	ck, Maryland 2	1701.
Michigan Broomfield (Township) Isabella County.	ship) Isabella	Chippewa River	Approximately 300 feet downstream of the downstream corporate limits, approximately 0.4 mile upstream of River Road.	None	*857
			Approximately 0.3 mile upstream of the upstream corporate limits, approximately 0.8 mile downstream of School Road.	None	*861
		Chippewa River (Lake Isabella).	For the entire shoreline within the community.	None	*899
•	•		th Rolland Road, Remus, Michigan. 1620 South Brinton, Remus, Michigan 49340		
Michigan Chippewa (Township) Isabella County.	Chippewa River	At downstream corporate limits (county boundary).	None	*685	
		Approximately 2.4 miles upstream of Leafon Road.	None	*730	
•		•	ckard Road, Mount Pleasant, Michigan. O. Box 459, Shepherd, Michigan 48883.		
Michigan	Coldwater (Town- ship) Isabella County.	Chippewa River	At Vernon Road	None None	*939 *941
			t Grass Lake Road, Lake, Michigan. 1023 West Battle Road, Lake, Michigan 486	32	
	J ,		, , <u>,</u>		*770
Michigan	Deerfield (Town- ship) Isabella County.	Chippewa River	Approximately 800 feet downstream of Meridian Road.  Approximately 200 feet upstream of upstream corporate limits.	None None	*778 *861
	•	·	rk, 4385 West Pickard Road, Mt. Pleasant, N 850 South Gilmore Road, Mt. Pleasant, Mich	•	
	Mt. Pleasant (City)	Chippewa River	Approximately 1,200 feet upstream of	None	*745
THIO HIGHER THE	Isabella County.	Omppond taron management	Mission Road.  Approximately 1.1 miles downstream of Lincoln Road.	*763	*764
•	•	•	in Street, Mt. Pleasant, Michigan. Pleasant, 401 North Main Street, Mt. Pleas	ant, Michigan 4	8858.
Michigan	Nottawa (Township) Isabella County.	Chippewa River	Approximately 200 feet downstream of the downstream corporate limits.  Approximately 900 feet upstream of the upstream corporate limits.	None None	*860 *862
			ce, 4668 North LaPearl Road, Weidman, Mic 4668 North LaPearl Road, Weidman, Michig	-	
Michigan	Sherman (Town-ship) Isabella	Chippewa River	At the downstream corporate limits At Vernon Road	None None	*861 *939
	County.		7. VOITION NOUG	INOTIE	3.

State City/town/coun	City/town/county	Source of flooding	Location	#Depth in feet above ground. *Elevation in feet (NGVD)	
				Existing	Modified
			Rolland Road, Weidman, Michigan. 550 North Rolland Road, Weidman, Michigan	48893.	
Michigan	Union (Charter Township) Isa- bella County.	Chippewa River	Approximately 2.3 miles upstream of Leaton Road. At Meridian Road	None None	*730 *780
			ncoln Road, Mt. Pleasant, Michigan. 2010 South Lincoln Road, Mt. Pleasant, Mich	igan 48858.	
North Carolina	. Raleigh (City) Wake County.	Neuse River	Approximately 1.13 miles upstream of State Route 2555. Approximately 2,000 feet upstream of Milburn Dam.	*171 *181	*172 *182
			ent, 222 West Hargett, Room 307, Raleigh, i eigh, P.O. Box 590, Raleigh, North Carolina 2		
North Carolina	. Wake County (unin- corporated areas).	Neuse River (Basin 15, Stream 1).	Upstream side of State Route 2509 Approximately 2,000 feet upstream of Milburn Dam.	*163 *181	*164 *182
			pering Department, 336 South Salisbury Stre South Salisbury Street, Raleigh, North Carolir		rth Carolina.
South Carolina Mullins (City) Marion County.	- ()	Fowler Branch	At corporate limits	None None	*86 *92
		White Oak Creek	Approximately 1,975 feet downstream of Cleveland Street. Approximately 350 feet upstream of U.S.	None None	*72 *83
			Route 76.  It Street, Mullins, South Carolina.  Mullins, P.O. Box 408, Mullins, South Carolin		
Vermont		Winooski River	At Bolton Falls Dam	*405	*409
Mans available for i	Washington County.	ury Town Office, 51 South M	Approximately 1,400 feet downstream of the most upstream corporate limits. ain Street, Waterbury, Vermont.	*433	*432
			South Main Street, Waterbury, Vermont 056	76.	
Vermont	. Waterbury (Village) Washington County.	Winooski River	At U.S. Route 2 bridge	*427 *428	*426 *427
	inspection at the Waterb		Main Street, Waterbury, Vermont.  I South Main Street, Waterbury, Vermont 050	676.	
	incorporated	Claytor Lake/ New River	At downstream county boundary Approximately 6.9 miles upstream of confluence of Sloan Branch.	None None	*1,666 *1,868
	,	Little River	At confluence with New River	None	*1,759
		Peak Creek	At upstream county boundaryApproximately 0.6 mile downstream of the confluence of Thorne Springs Branch.	None None	*1,836 *1,86
			At Town of Pulaski corporate limit	None	*1,88

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.100, "Flood Insurance.")

Dated: March 27, 1997.

## Richard W. Krimm,

 $\label{lem:exact of matter of matter of matter of matter of the matter$ 

[FR Doc. 97–8661 Filed 4–3–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-04-P