juvenile indirect mortalities are also requested.

Those individuals requesting a hearing on any of the requests for a permit should set out the specific reasons why a hearing would be appropriate (see ADDRESSES). The holding of such a hearing is at the discretion of the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, NOAA. All statements and opinions contained in the above application summaries are those of the applicant and do not necessarily reflect the views of NMFS.

Dated: March 20, 1997.

Joseph R. Blum,

Acting Chief, Endangered Species Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 97–7718 Filed 3–25–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–22–F

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

Adjustment of Import Limits for Certain Cotton and Man-Made Fiber Textile Products Produced or Manufactured in Indonesia

March 20, 1997.

AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

ACTION: Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs adjusting limits.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 27, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janet Heinzen, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482–4212. For information on the quota status of these limits, refer to the Quota Status Reports posted on the bulletin boards of each Customs port or call (202) 927–6704. For information on embargoes and quota re-openings, call (202) 482–3715.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended; section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854); Uruguay Round Agreements Act.

The current limits for certain categories are being adjusted, variously, for special shift and traditional folklore products made from handloomed fabrics.

A description of the textile and apparel categories in terms of HTS numbers is available in the CORRELATION: Textile and Apparel Categories with the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (see **Federal Register** notice 61 FR 66263, published on December 17, 1996). Also see 61 FR 64505, published on December 5, 1996.

The letter to the Commissioner of Customs and the actions taken pursuant to it are not designed to implement all of the provisions of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and the Uruguay Round Agreement on Textiles and Clothing, but are designed to assist only in the implementation of certain of their provisions.

Troy H. Cribb,

Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

March 20, 1997.

Commissioner of Customs, Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229.

Dear Commissioner: This directive amends, but does not cancel, the directive issued to you on November 29, 1996, by the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. That directive concerns imports of certain cotton, wool, man-made fiber, silk blend and other vegetable fiber textiles and textile products, produced or manufactured in Indonesia and exported during the twelve-month period which began on January 1, 1997 and extends through December 31, 1997.

Effective on March 27, 1997, you are directed to adjust the limits for the following categories, under the terms of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and the Uruguay Round Agreement on Textiles and Clothing:

Category	Adjusted twelve-month limit 1
Levels in Group I	
219	8,448,450 square me- ters.
313	15,516,753 square meters
314	54,099,000 square
, ,	meters.
317/617/326	23,566,026 square
	meters of which not
	more than 3,527,368
	square meters shall
	be in Category 326.
331/631	1,821,018 dozen pairs.
334/335	210,930 dozen.
336/636	557,702 dozen.
340/640	1,443,002 dozen.
341	843,718 dozen.
342/642	331,965 dozen.
347/348	1,460,645 dozen.
350/650	162,026 dozen.
351/651	455,911 dozen.
625/626/627/628/	25,000,086 square
629–O ² .	meters.
634/635	280,561 dozen.
641	2,138,856 dozen.
647/648	3,058,483 dozen.

¹The limits have not been adjusted to account for any imports exported after December 31, 1996.

² Categories 625/626/627/628; Category 629–O: all HTS numbers except 5408.34.9085 and 5516.24.0085.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that these actions fall within the foreign affairs exception to the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Troy H. Cribb,

Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

[FR Doc.97–7657 Filed 3–25–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DR-F

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000-0129]

Proposed Collection; Comment Request Entitled Cost Accounting Standards Administration

AGENCIES: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of request for public comments regarding an extension to an existing OMB clearance (9000–0129).

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR)
Secretariat will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning Cost Accounting Standards Administration. This OMB clearance currently expires on May 31, 1997.

DATES: Comment Due Date: May 27, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, or obtaining a copy of the justification, should be submitted to: General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVRS), 18th & F Streets, NW, Room 4037, Washington, DC 20405. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000–0129 in all correspondence.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeremy Olson, Federal Acquisition Policy Division, GSA (202) 501–3221.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

FAR 30.6 and 52.230–5 include pertinent rules and regulations related to the Cost Accounting Standards along with necessary administrative policies and procedures. These administrative policies require certain contractors to submit cost impact estimates and descriptions in cost accounting practices and also to provide information on CAS-covered subcontractors.

B. Annual Reporting Burden

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average .05 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The annual reporting burden is estimated as follows: Respondents, *500*; responses per respondent, *50*; total annual responses, *25,000*; preparation hours per response, *.05*; and total response burden hours, *1,250*.

Dated: March 21, 1997.

Sharon A. Kiser,

FAR Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 97–7623 Filed 3–25–97; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Disposal and Reuse of Land and Facilities at Naval Air Station Barbers Point, Hawaii

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as implemented by the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), the Navy announces its intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed disposal and reuse of land and facilities at Naval Air Station (NAS) Barbers Point, Hawaii. The Navy is the lead agency for the NEPA documentation, and the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is the cooperating agency. The EIS will be prepared in compliance with the 1993 Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) directive from Congress to close NAS Barbers Point. The property will be disposed of in accordance with the provisions of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act (Public Law 101– 510) of 1990 as amended, and

applicable federal property disposal regulations. NAS Barbers Point will close in July 1999.

The proposed action is the disposal of approximately 2,100 acres of property on NAS Barbers Point. The EIS will address long-term plans for reuse of this property. Potential long-term reuse alternatives have been identified by the Local Reuse Authority (LRA), i.e., the State of Hawaii, through a planning process carried out by the NAS Barbers Point Redevelopment Commission. The reuse plan was approved by the Governor of Hawaii on January 23, 1997.

Excluded from consideration in this EIS are the areas being retained by the Navy, Coast Guard, National Guard, and the Federal Aviation Administration. The Navy is retaining family housing and support facilities, the commissary, the Public Works Center compound, the biosolids treatment and disposal facility, the golf course, and portion of the beach recreation areas.

The EIS will analyze potentially significant impacts of the LRA's reuse plan and reasonable alternatives. The LRA's reuse plan features a general reliever airport with a crosswind runway and large areas devoted to park and recreation use. Sites are provided to the Department of Hawaii Home Lands for residential, commercial, and industrial uses. Commercial activities would include a raceway complex, marine park, and festival center. Lands are also set aside to accommodate homeless providers. Various infrastructure improvements would be required to support the redevelopment, including roadways, water distribution, sanitary sewer, storm drainage, telephone, and electrical systems.

During its planning process, the LRA considered numerous scenarios and narrowed them down to three options: two with a general reliever airport and one without an airport. The basic difference between the two airport scenarios is size. The "maximum airport" alternative has a cross-runway configuration on more than 800 acres, while the "minimum airport" scenario calls for a single runway airport on approximately 550 acres. The no airport scenario designates the majority of land (more than 1,000 acres) to park and recreation use. The "no action" alternative assumes no reuse improvements and continued closure of the lands to the public.

Environmental issues to be addressed will include, but not be limited to, land use conflicts and constraints such as noise, air quality, traffic, aviation operations, potentially contaminated sites, functional compatibility of operations, potential impacts of redevelopment on cultural and natural

resources, adequacy of infrastructure and public services, and socioeconomic impacts. Direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts will be analyzed. Mitigation measures will be developed as required.

ADDRESSES: The Navy will initiate a scoping process to identify potentially significant issues to be studied in the EIS, and to identify and notify interested and affected parties relative to this action. Two public scoping meetings will be held, one on Wednesday, April 16, 1997 at Washington Intermediate School Cafeteria, 1633 South King Street, Honolulu, HI 96826; and a second on Thursday, April 17, 1997 at the Lauhala Room, Paradise West Club, NAS Barbers Point. Both meetings will start at 7:00 pm. Each meeting will open with a short presentation on the purpose of the action and the alternatives to be evaluated, followed by a period for public comment. It is important that interested agencies, individuals, and organizations take this opportunity to clearly describe specific issues or topics that the EIS should address. To allow time for all views to be shared, each speaker will be limited to three minutes. Written statements may also be submitted at the meetings.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Written statements and/or questions regarding the scoping process should be mailed no later than Wednesday, April 30, 1997 to Mr. Fred Minato (Code 231), Pacific Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Pearl Harbor, HI 96860–7300, telephone (808) 471–9338; fax (808) 474–4890.

Dated: March 20, 1997.

D.E. Koenig,

LCDR, JAGC, USN, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 97–7614 Filed 3–25–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3810–FF–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of Education. **ACTION:** Submission for OMB review; comment request.

SUMMARY: The Director, Information Resources Management Group, invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before April 25, 1997.