*Type of Review:* Extension of currently approved collection.

Agency: U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

Title: Electric Power Generation, Transmission, and Distribution; Electrical Protective Equipment. OMB Number: 1218–0190. Agency Number: Docket No. ICR-97-2.

Frequency: Annually.
Affected Public: Individuals or
households; State or local governments;
Business or other for-profit; Federal
Agencies or employees; Non-profit
institutions; Small businesses or
organizations.

Number of respondents: 12,074. Estimated Time Per Respondent: 0.30 hours.

Total Estimated Cost: \$1,002,150. Total Burden Hours: 40,086.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request. They will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: February 28, 1997.
John F. Martonik,
Acting Director, Directorate of Safety
Standards Programs.
[FR Doc. 97–5649 Filed 3–6–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–26–M

### NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

# Records Schedules; Availability and Request for Comments

**AGENCY:** National Archives and Records Administration, Office of Records Services.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of proposed records schedules; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) publishes notice at least once monthly of certain Federal agency requests for records disposition authority (records schedules). Records schedules identify records of sufficient value to warrant preservation in the National Archives of the United States. Schedules also authorize agencies after a specified period to dispose of records lacking administrative, legal, research, or other value. Notice is published for records schedules that (1) propose the destruction of records not previously authorized for disposal, or (2) reduce the retention period for records already authorized for disposal. NARA invites

public comments on such schedules, as required by 44 USC 3303a(a).

**DATES:** Requests for copies must be received in writing on or before April 21, 1997. Once the appraisal of the records is completed, NARA will send a copy of the schedule. The requester will be given 30 days to submit comments.

ADDRESSES: Address requests for single copies of schedules identified in this notice to the Civilian Appraisal Staff (NWRC), National Archives and Records Administration, College Park, MD 20740–6001. Requesters must cite the control number assigned to each schedule when requesting a copy. The control number appears in the parentheses immediately after the name of the requesting agency.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Each year U.S. Government agencies create billions of records on paper, film, magnetic tape, and other media. In order to control this accumulation, agency records managers prepare records schedules specifying when the agency no longer needs the records and what happens to the records after this period. Some schedules are comprehensive and cover all the records of an agency or one of its major subdivisions. These comprehensive schedules provide for the eventual transfer to the National Archives of historically valuable records and authorize the disposal of all other records. Most schedules, however, cover records of only one office or program or a few series of records, and many are updates of previously approved schedules. Such schedules also may include records that are designated for permanent retention.

Destruction of records requires the approval of the Archivist of the United States. This approval is granted after a thorough study of the records that takes into account their administrative use by the agency of origin, the rights of the Government and of private persons directly affected by the Government's activities, and historical or other value.

This public notice identifies the Federal agencies and their subdivisions requesting disposition authority, includes the control number assigned to each schedule, and briefly describes the records proposed for disposal. The records schedule contains additional information about the records and their disposition. Further information about the disposition process will be furnished to each requester.

### **Schedules Pending**

1. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service (N1–95–96–2). Routine and

facilitative records of Forest Supervisors Offices, dated 1946–1959.

2. Department of Commerce, U.S. Travel and Tourism Administration (N1–377–96–1 and 2). Textual records of the Office of the Under Secretary, the Office of Tourism Marketing, the Travel and Tourism Advisory Board, and the Office of Research, and audiovisual records of marketing and publicity campaigns.

3. Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service (N1–85–96–5). Agreements between transportation lines and the United States.

4. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration (N1–406–97–2). Microform copies of certain motor carrier certificates.

5. National Archives and Records Administration (N1–GRS–97–1). Government-wide retention standards for alternate worksite records.

6. National Archives and Records Administration (N1–64–96–3). Comprehensive revision of Appendix 11, records maintained in the General Counsel's office.

7. National Labor Relations Board (N1–25–97–1). Agency disciplinary case files on nonemployees.

8. Panama Canal Commission (N1–185–97–8). Travel, transportation and Shipping records.

9. Tennessee Valley Authority (N1–142–97–6). Confrontational situations database.

Dated: March 3, 1997.

Michael J. Kurtz,

Assistant Archivist for Record Services— Washington, DC.

[FR Doc. 97–5676 Filed 3–6–97; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 7515–01–P** 

#### NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR LITERACY

Proposed Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** National Institute for Literacy. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.)*, this notice announces an information Collection Request (ICR) by the National Institute for Literacy (NIFL). The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected cost and burden.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before May 6, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to: National Institute for Literacy, 800 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 200, Washington, DC 20006, Attention: Jaleh Behroozi Soroui. Copies of the complete ICR and accompanying appendixes may be obtained from the above address or by contacting Jaleh Behroozi Soroui at (202) 632–1506. Comments may also be submitted electronically by sending electronic mail (e-mail) to: jsoroui@NIFL.gov.

All written comments will be available for public inspection from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title

Application for LINCS Content Hub Awards to organizations to support the creation of subject specific sets of literacy-related information on the Internet.

#### Abstract

The National Literacy Act of established the National Institute for Literacy and required that the Institute conduct basic and applied research and demonstrations on literacy; collect and disseminate information to Federal, State and local entities with respect to literacy; and improve and expand the system for delivery of literacy services. The form will be used by organizations to apply for funding to create literacy contents hubs that will be in-depth collections of subject specific information on the Internet. Evaluations to determine successful applications will be made by a panel of literacy experts and information specialists using the published criteria. The Institute will use this information to make a maximum of four cooperative agreement awards for a period of up two years.

Burden Statement: The burden for this collection of information is estimated at 15 hours per response. This estimate includes the time needed to review instructions, complete the ICR, and review the collection of information.

Respondents: Governors of States and Trust Territories, State Departments of Adult Education, other pubic and nonprofit Literacy and Adult Basic Education organizations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 20.

Estimated Number of Responses Per Respondent: 1.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 80 hours.

Frequency of Collection: One time. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of the information collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden to: Jaleh Behroozi Soroui, National Institute for Literacy, 800 Connecticut Ave., NW, Suite 200, Washington, DC 20006.

Request for Comments: NIFL solicits comments to: (i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility. (ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimates of the burden of the proposed collection of information. (iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected. (iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated or electronic collection technologies of other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Dated: March 3, 1997.
Andrew J. Hartman,
Director.
[FR Doc. 97–5618 Filed 3–6–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6055–01–M

### NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

# Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

Title of Proposed Collection: An Evaluation of Design and Manufacturing Research Program Awards made in FY 1986.

In compliance with the requirements of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the National Science Foundation (NSF) is publishing this announcement of its intention to collect evaluation data from Principal Investigators receiving awards under the Design, Manufacture and Industrial Innovation (DMII) program for the fiscal year cited above. Such a notice was published at Federal Register 59468, dated November 22, 1996. No comments were received.

The materials are now being sent to OMB for review. Send any written comments to Desk Officer, OIRA, OMB, Washington, DC 20503. Comments should be received by April 1, 1997.

Comments are invited on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information from respondents, including the use of

automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Proposed Project: An Evaluation of DMII Awards made in FY 1986. The ability of the National Science Foundation to continue a high level of support for university-based research is becoming increasingly dependent on the ability of the NSF and its research partners to explain the impact of funded research on the lives of the U.S. citizens who provide those funds. While NSF has anecdotal accounts of manufacturing-related NSF projects that ultimately led to major new technologies with a significant impact on commerce, the Foundation has no systematic evidence regarding the frequency of such events, nor the process by which these outcomes may have occurred. Therefore, the NSF Director has requested that a pilot project be initiated to perform an exhaustive study of the outcomes of design and manufacturing-related awards made in FY1986.

Some 200 Principal Investigators who were recipients of an award from DMII in FY1986 will be asked to provide a one-page narrative describing the impact of their work. They will need to consider their project in light of their knowledge of progress in the broad field in which it may have been applied. For instance, did their work provide key insights which led to important followon projects, in their lab or at other labs, carried out by the PI, by his or her students or industry engineers with whom they consulted? If so, they will be asked to describe the chain of discovery in their narrative.

The DMII is asking that PIs assist in this evaluation by providing the following information:

- (1) A brief one page narrative regarding the outcomes and impacts of the project;
- (2) Citations to no more than 3 key journal articles, books or patents that resulted from the project, or in which the project played an important role;
- (3) The names, addresses and telephone numbers of between 3 and 5 other individuals who are familiar with the work carried out under the project, and who could provide additional insights as to its outcomes and impacts; and
- (4) One hard copy of each of the journal articles and patent(s) that are cited.

With regard to the narrative materials, the following information will be requested:

- (A) Complete project title.
- (B) PI, Co–PI and institutional affiliations.