U.S.C. 552(b)(c), (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: March 1, 1996.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-5274 Filed 3-5-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

Special Emphasis Panel in Materials Research; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92– 463 as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meetings:

Name: Special Emphasis Panel in Materials Research (DMR) (# 1203).

Date and Time: March 29, 1996; 8:00 am-5:00 pm.

Place: National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Room # 1060, Arlington, VA 22230.

Type of Meetings: Closed

Contact Person: Dr. Lorretta J. Inglehart, Program Director, Division of Materials Research, Room 1065, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd, Arlington, VA, 22230. Telephone (703) 306–1817.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning support for Instrumentation for Materials Research proposals.

Agenda: Evaluation of proposals. Reason for Closing: The proposal being reviewed may include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information, financial data such as salaries, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposal. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b. (c)(4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: March 1, 1996 M. Rebecca Winkler, Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 96–5271 Filed 3–5–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

Special Emphasis Panel in Mathematical Sciences; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92– 463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting.

Name and Committee Code: Special Emphasis Panel in Mathematical Sciences Research Planning Grants and Career Advancement Awards for Women and Minorities (#1204).

Date and Time: March 25–26, 1996, 8:30 am until 5 pm

Place: Room 1020, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230.

Type of Meeting: Closed. Contact Person: Lloyd E. Douglas, Program Director, Division of Mathematical Sciences, Room #1025 National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone (703) 306–1874.

Purpose of meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning proposals submitted to NSF for financial support.

Agenda: To review and evaluate Research Planning Grants and Career Advancement Awards for Women and Minorities nominations/applications as part of the selection process for awards.

Reason for Closing: The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: March 1, 1996. M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 96–5278 Filed 3–5–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

Special Emphasis Panel in Office of Systemic Reform; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting.

Name and Code: Office of Systemic Reform/1765

Date and Time: March 24–26, 1996 (8 am–5 pm).

Place: NSF Headquarters, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230.

Type of Meeting: Closed.

Contact Persons: Division of Educational System Reform Program Directors, Room 875, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: (703) 306–1690.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning proposals submitted to NSF for financial support.

Agenda: To review and evaluate proposals for Phase 2 of the Statewide Systemic Initiatives Program as part of the selection process for awards.

Reason for Closing: The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: March 1, 1996 M. Rebecca Winkler, *Committee Management Officer.* [FR Doc. 96–5269 Filed 3–5–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

Special Emphasis Panel in Systemic Reform; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92–463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

Name: Special Emphasis Panel in Systemic Reform (#1765)

Dates and Times: 12:00 noon-6:30 p.m.; March 28, 1996, 8:00 a.m.-12:00 noon; March 29, 1996.

Place: Doubletree Hotel, 300 Army Navy Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22202. Phone: (703) 416–4100, FAX (703) 416–4126.

Type of Meeting: Closed.

Contact: Dr. Richard J. Anderson, Head, Office of Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research, National Science Foundation, Suite 875, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22203, (703) 306–16083.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning proposals submitted to the NSF EPSCoR program for financial support.

Agenda: To review and evaluate science and technology (S&T) proposals from states participating in the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research. Proposals request support for 36-month EPSCoR Cooperative Agreements and are submitted in response to NSF EPSCoR solicitation 95–141.

Reason for Closing: The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: March 1, 1996.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-5276 Filed 3-5-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-440]

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating
Company, et al.; Notice of
Consideration of Issuance of
Amendment to Facility Operating
License, Proposed No Significant
Hazards Consideration Determination,
and Opportunity for a Hearing:
Correction

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of consideration of issuance of amendment to facility operating license, proposed no significant hazards consideration determination, and opportunity for a hearing: Correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects a notice appearing in the Federal Register on February 29, 1996 (61 FR 7823), that states that the Commission is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF–58, issued to the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, et al. This action is necessary to change the 30-day filing date to a 15-day filing date.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael T. Lesar, Chief, Rules Review Section, Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, telephone (301) 415–7163.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On page 7823, in the third complete paragraph in the third column, the date "April 1, 1996," should read "March 15, 1996."

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of February 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Michael T. Lesar,

Chief Rules Review Section, Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–5203 Filed 3–5–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

[Docket No. 50-440]

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, et al.; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF– 58, issued to The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, et al. (the licensee), for operation of the Perry Nuclear Power Plan, Unit 1, located in Lake County, Ohio.

The proposed amendment would revise the licensing basis as described in the Updated Safety Analysis Report to allow the drywell personnel airlock shield doors to be opened during plant startup and shutdown (Operational Conditions 1, 2, and 3) until the end of Operating Cycle 6.

The licensee has requested that the review be handled as an exigent amendment to support restart following the end of the current fifth refueling outage. On February 9, 1996, the licensee determined that opening the shield doors at power was a condition outside the original design basis of the facility.

The licensee met with the staff on February 15, 1996, completed engineering analyses, and prepared the request for license amendment in a timely fashion and submitted the request on February 27, 1996. Review of this amendment request will ensure that processing of the amendment will not be the sole item restraining plan restart from the current refueling outage, which is currently scheduled for March 25, 1996. Such a restraint would result in a costly extension to the outage with no corresponding benefit to safety.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6) for amendments to be granted under exigent circumstances, the NRC staff must determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

An assessment was made of functionality given occurrence of the loads imposed on the shield doors. This assessment involves the 620'-6" steel platform, the monorail suspension structure and the shield doors themselves. Although certain structural members of the 620'-6" platform exceed the design basis acceptance criteria, these members were found to be acceptable when reviewed for functionality using alternate acceptance criteria. This demonstrates that the various supported systems and components that are important to safety will remain OPERABLE (for Technical Specification systems) or functional (for non-Technical Specification systems, structures and components). Even if the 3/4 inch tie rod (which provides lateral stability) and the left support bracket (a vertical load bearing member) were assumed to be failed, the shield doors would remain in a upright position and not fall. The monorail suspension structure and shield doors do not provide support to other systems. There are no interferences, and opening the shield doors has no effect on other systems.

Therefore, there will be no increase in the probability of an accident due to the monorail suspension structure or shield doors, with the doors placed in the open position during Operational Conditions 1, 2, and 3.

The primary purpose of the shield doors is to mitigate radiation streaming from the Drywell through the Personnel Airlock into the adjacent areas of the Containment, to maintain doses to personnel working inside containment ALARA (as low as reasonably achievable). Opening the doors during power operation will have no effect on the postulated accident source term, and the shield doors do not provide a barrier against fission products. Therefore, allowing the shield doors to be opened during plant startup and shutdown while in Operational Conditions 1, 2, or 3 will also not increase the consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the USAR.

Based on the above, the proposed changes do not significantly increase the probability or the consequences of any accident previously evaluated.

2. The proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes do not involve physical modifications to the plant. There are no interferences with piping or other system components when the doors are placed in the open position during Operational Conditions 1, 2, or 3. Given the initiating events postulated for the various load combinations, non result in a new type of accident. The increase in radiation levels in the immediate vicinity of the open shield doors with the plant at power was verified to have no effect on the qualification and operation of systems, structures, or components important to safety. Since the platform and the monorail suspension structure will continue to provide support for the shield doors, i.e., the doors will not fall from the support structure, no new initiators of accidents are introduced.

The 620'-6" platform will continue to function with the shield doors open. The equipment supported by the platform will continue to perform their safety related design functions. Although components of the platform and the monorail suspension structure exceed design basis acceptance criteria, analyses have shown that, based on a functional assessment, the monorail suspension structure will continue to function and the doors will remain upright. With no additional loads imposed on other equipment and the continued functioning of the monorail suspension structure, there will be no "different" accidents, since there will be no change, degradation, or prevention of actions described or assumed in any analyzed accident. The radiological consequences and the fission product barriers are not affected.

Therefore, the proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

3. The proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. The NRC has accepted the Perry structural steel design (Safety Evaluation Report,