burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

1. Alternative Models of Personal Assistance Services—NEW—The Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation is planning a data collection which will compare modes of service delivery used to provide personal care services to the frail elderly and disabled persons of all ages. The three main provider modes to be compared are consumer-directed independent providers, supported independent providers, and contract or agency providers. The comparison is intended to further knowledge of the advantages and disadvantages of the alternative provider modes. Respondents: Individuals or households; state or local governments, business or other for-profit, not-forprofit institutions. Burden Information for Client Questionnaire—Responses: 1230; Burden per Response: 45 minutes; Total Burden: 923 hours—Burden for Provider Questionnaire—Response: 530; Burden per Response: 40 minutes; Total Burden: 353 hours—Burden Information for Case Manager Questionnaire-Responses: 100; Burden per Response: 60 minutes; Total Burden: 100 hours-Burden Information for Client Qualitative Interview—Responses: 100; Burden per Response: 60 minutes; Total Burden: 100 hours—Burden Information for Provider Qualitative Interview-Responses: 150; Burden per Response: 55 minutes; Total Burden: 137 hours **Burden Information for Family** Qualitative Interview—Responses: 150; Burden per Response: 45 minutes; Total Burden: 113 hours—Total Burden for Project: 1,726 hours.

Send comments to Cynthia Agens Bauer, OS Reports Clearance Officer, Room 503H, Humphrey Building, 200 Independence Avenue S.W., Washington, DC, 20201. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Dated: February 26, 1996. Dennis P. Williams, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Budget. [FR Doc. 96-4796 Filed 2-29-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4150-04-M

# Food and Drug Administration [Docket No. 88F-0167]

# Ciba-Geigy Corp.; Withdrawal of Food **Additive Petition**

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the withdrawal, without prejudice to a future filing, of a food additive petition (FAP 8B4080), filed by Ciba-Geigy Corp. proposing that the food additive regulations be amended to provide for the safe use of *N*,*N*'-1,4-phenylenebis[4-[(2,5-dichlorophenyl)azo]-3-hydroxy-2naphthalenecarboxamide] as a colorant for food-contact polymers.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hortense S. Macon, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-216), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-418-3086.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In a notice published in the Federal Register of May 26, 1988 (53 FR 19045), FDA announced that a food additive petition (FAP 8B4080) had been filed on behalf of Ciba-Geigy Corp., Three Skyline Dr., Hawthorne, NY 10532 (currently c/o Keller and Heckman, 1001 G St. NW. suite 500 West, Washington, DC 20001). The petition proposed to amend the food additive regulations in § 178.3297 Colorants for polymers (21 CFR 178.3297) to provide for the safe use of *N,N'*-1,4-phenylenebis[4-(2,5dichlorophenyl)azo]-3-hydroxy-2naphthalenecarboxamidel as a colorant for food-contact polymers. Ciba-Geigy Corp. has now withdrawn the petition without prejudice to a future filing (21 CFR 171.7).

Dated: February 12, 1996.

Laura M. Tarantino,

Acting Director, Office of Premarket Approval, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition.

[FR Doc. 96-4712 Filed 2-29-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4160-01-F

# [Docket No. 90F-0071]

# Ciba-Geigy Corp.; Withdrawal of Food **Additive Petition**

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the withdrawal, without prejudice to future filing, of a food additive petition (FAP 9B4162), filed by Ciba-Geigy Corp. proposing that the food additive regulations be amended to provide for the safe use of 3,3'-[(2-chloro-5-methyl-1,4-phenylene)bis[imino(1-acetyl-2-oxo-2,1-ethanediyl)azo]]bis[4-chloro-N-(3chloro-2-methylphenyl)benzamidel as a colorant for food-contact polymers.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hortense S. Macon, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-216), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204,

202-418-3086.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In a notice published in the Federal Register of March 16, 1990 (55 FR 9975), FDA announced that a food additive petition (FAP 9B4162) had been filed by Ciba-Geigy Corp., Seven Skyline Dr., Hawthorne, NY 10532-2188 (currently, c/o 1001 G St. NW., suite 500 West, Washington, DC 20001. The petition proposed to amend the food additive regulations in § 178.3297 Colorants for polymers (21 CFR 178.3297) to provide for the safe use of 3,3'-[(2-chloro-5methyl-1,4-phenylene)bis[imino(1acetyl-2-oxo-2,1-ethanediyl)azo]]bis[4chloro-N-(3-chloro 2methylphenyl)benzamide| as a colorant for food-contact polymers. Ciba-Geigy Corp. has now withdrawn the petition without prejudice to a future filing (21 CFR 171.7).

Dated: February 9, 1996.

Alan M. Rulis,

Director, Office of Premarket Approval, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition. [FR Doc. 96-4713 Filed 2-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-F

#### [Docket No. 88F-0208]

# Ciba-Geigy Corp.; Withdrawal of Food **Additive Petition**

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the withdrawal, without prejudice to future filing, of a food additive petition (FAP 8B4079), filed by Ciba-Geigy Corp., proposing that the food additive regulations be amended to provide for the safe use of N,N'-(2-chloro-1,4phenylene)bis[4-[(2.5dichlorophenyl)azo]-3-hydroxy-2naphthalenecarboxamide] as a colorant for food-contact polymers.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hortense S. Macon, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-216), Food and Drug Administration,

200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204,

202-418-3086.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In a notice published in the Federal Register of July 20, 1988 (53 FR 27399), FDA announced that a food additive petition (FAP 8B4079) had been filed by Ciba-Geigy Corp., Three Skyline Dr., Hawthorne, NY 10532 (currently, c/o

Washington, DC 20001. The petition proposed to amend the food additive regulations in § 178.3297 Colorants for polymers (21 CFR 178.3297) to provide for the safe use of N,N'-(2-chloro-1,4-phenylene)bis[4-[(2,5-dichlorophenyl)azo]-3-hydroxy-2-naphthalenecarboxamide] as a colorant for food-contact polymers. Ciba-Geigy Corp. has now withdrawn the petition without prejudice to a future filing (21

1001 G St. NW., suite 500 West,

Dated: February 9, 1996.

Alan M. Rulis,

CFR 171.7).

Director, Office of Premarket Approval, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition. [FR Doc. 96–4715 Filed 2–29–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-F

# Investigational Biological Product Trials; Procedure to Monitor Clinical Hold Process; Meeting of Review Committee and Request for Submissions

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing a meeting of its clinical hold review committee, which reviews the clinical hold orders that the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) has placed on certain investigational biological product trials. FDA is inviting any interested biological product company to use this confidential mechanism to submit to the committee for its review the name and number of any investigational biological product trial placed on clinical hold during the past 12 months that the company wants the committee to review.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held in May 1996. Biological product companies may submit review requests for the May meeting by April 1, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Submit clinical hold review requests to Amanda B. Pedersen, FDA Chief Mediator and Ombudsman, Office of the Commissioner (HF–7), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, rm. 14–105, Rockville, MD 20857, 301–827–3390.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joy A. Cavagnaro, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (HFM–2), Food and Drug Administration, 1401 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852–1448, 301–827–0379.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** FDA regulations in part 312 (21 CFR part 312) provide procedures that govern the use of investigational new drugs and

biologics in human subjects. If FDA determines that a proposed or ongoing study may pose significant risks for human subjects or is otherwise seriously deficient, as discussed in the investigational new drug regulations, it may order a clinical hold on the study. The clinical hold is one of FDA's primary mechanisms for protecting subjects who are involved in investigational new drug or biologic trials. Section 312.42 describes the grounds for ordering a clinical hold.

A clinical hold is an order that FDA issues to a sponsor to delay a proposed investigation or to suspend an ongoing investigation. The clinical hold may be ordered on one or more of the investigations covered by an investigational new drug application (IND). When a proposed study is placed on clinical hold, subjects may not be given the investigational drug or biologic as part of that study. When an ongoing study is placed on clinical hold, no new subjects may be recruited to the study and placed on the investigational drug or biologic and patients already in the study should stop receiving therapy involving the investigational drug or biologic unless FDA specifically permits it.

When FDA concludes that there is a deficiency in a proposed or ongoing clinical trial that may be grounds for ordering a clinical hold, ordinarily FDA will attempt to resolve the matter through informal discussions with the sponsor. If that attempt is unsuccessful, a clinical hold may be ordered by or on behalf of the director of the division that is responsible for the review of the IND.

FDA regulations in § 312.48 provide dispute resolution mechanisms through which sponsors may request reconsideration of clinical hold orders. The regulations encourage the sponsor to attempt to resolve disputes directly with the review staff responsible for the review of the IND. If necessary, the sponsor may request a meeting with the review staff and management to discuss the clinical hold.

CBER began a process to evaluate the consistency and fairness of practices in ordering clinical holds by instituting a review committee to review clinical holds (see 61 FR 1033, January 11, 1996). CBER held its first clinical hold review committee meeting on May 17, 1995, and plans to conduct further quality assurance oversight of the IND process. The committee last met in February 1996. The review procedure of the committee is designed to afford an opportunity for a sponsor who does not wish to seek formal reconsideration of a pending clinical hold to have that clinical hold considered

"anonymously." The committee consists of senior managers of CBER, a senior official from the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, and the FDA Chief Mediator and Ombudsman.

Clinical holds to be reviewed will be chosen randomly. In addition, the committee will review some of the clinical holds proposed for review by biological product sponsors. In general, a biological product sponsor should consider requesting review when it disagrees with FDA's scientific or procedural basis for the decision.

Requests for committee review of a clinical hold should be submitted to the FDA Chief Mediator and Ombudsman, who is responsible for selecting clinical holds for review. The committee and CBER staff, with the exception of the FDA Chief Mediator and Ombudsman, are never advised, either in the review process or thereafter, which of the clinical holds were randomly chosen and which were submitted by sponsors. The committee will evaluate the selected clinical holds for scientific content and consistency with FDA regulations and CBER policy.

The meetings of the review committee are closed to the public because committee discussions deal with confidential commercial information. Summaries of the committee deliberations, excluding confidential commercial information, may be requested in writing from the Freedom of Information Office (HFI-35), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, rm. 12A-16, Rockville, MD 20857, approximately 15 working days after the meeting, at a cost of 10 cents per page. If the status of a clinical hold changes following the committee's review, the appropriate division will notify the sponsor.

FDA invites biological product companies to submit to the FDA Chief Mediator and Ombudsman the name and IND number of any investigational biological product trial that was placed on clinical hold during the past 12 months that they want the committee to review at its May 1996 meeting. Submissions should be made by April 1, 1996, to Amanda B. Pedersen, FDA Chief Mediator and Ombudsman (address above).

Dated: February 26, 1996.

William K. Hubbard,

Associate Commissioner for Policy Coordination.

[FR Doc. 96–4785 Filed 2–29–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-F