# 16. Sierra Pacific Power Company [Docket No. ER96–1063–000]

Take notice that on February 14, 1996, Sierra Pacific Power Company (Sierra), tendered for filing, pursuant to 205 of the Federal Power Act and 18 CFR Part 35, Revision No. 1 to Electric Service Agreement between Sierra and Truckee Donner Public Utility District (the District).

Revision No. 1 extends the District's monthly, bill-paying deadline, revises the billing mechanics in certain respects, and adds the specification of certain meeting points. Sierra proposes an effective date of April 22, 1996.

Sierra asserts that the filing has been served on the District and on the regulatory commissions of Nevada and California.

Comment date: March 6, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

# 17. Portland General Electric Company [Docket No. ER96–1066–000]

Take notice that on February 15, 1996, Portland General Electric Company (PGE), tendered for filing a Scheduling Services Agreement (Scheduling Agreement) with AIG Trading Corporation (AIG) simultaneously requesting the Commission rule the services provided in the Agreement as non-jurisdictional.

Copies of this filing were served upon AIG Trading Corporation and the Oregon Public Utility Commission.

Comment date: March 6, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## 18. Union Electric Company

[Docket No. ER96-1068-000]

Take notice that on February 15, 1996, Union Electric Company (UE), tendered for filing an Interchange Agreement dated January 18, 1996, between UE and the City of Sikeston, Missouri. UE asserts that the purpose of the Agreement is to set out specific rates, terms, and conditions for the types of power and energy to be exchanged.

Comment date: March 6, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## 19. South Carolina Electric & Gas Company

[Docket No. ER96-1069-000]

Take notice that on February 15, 1996, South Carolina Electric & Gas Company tendered for filing proposed Contract for Purchases and Sales of Power and Energy between South Carolina Electric & Gas Company and Sonat Power Marketing, Inc.

Under the proposed contract, the parties will purchase and sell electric energy and power between themselves. South Carolina Electric and Gas Company also requested waiver of notice in order that the contract be effective on January 26, 1996.

Copies of this filing were served upon Sonat Power Marketing, Inc.

Comment date: March 6, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## 20. Public Service Electric and Gas Company

[Docket No. ER96-1070-000]

Take notice that on February 15, 1996, Public Service Electric and Gas Company (PSE&G) of Newark, New Jersey, tendered for filing an agreement for the sale of capacity and energy to Cinergy Services, Inc. (Cinergy). Pursuant to the agreement, PSE&G will sell peaking capacity and associated energy for a four-month (4-month) period commencing on May 1, 1996, the energy being scheduled daily by Cinergy.

Copies of the filing have been served upon Cinergy, the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities and the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission.

Comment date: March 6, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 21. Kentucky Utilities Company

[Docket No. ER96-1071-000]

Take notice that on February 15, 1996, Kentucky Utilities Company (KU), tendered for filing information on transactions that occurred during January 16, 1996 through January 31, 1996, pursuant to the Power Services Tariff accepted by the Commission in Docket No. ER95–854–000.

Comment date: March 6, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## 22. Northeast Utilities Service Company

[Docket No. ER96-1072-000]

Take notice that on February 15, 1996, Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO), tendered for filing, a Service Agreement and a Certificate of Concurrence with the Taunton Municipal Lighting Plant (Taunton) under the NU System Companies' System Power Sales/Exchange Tariff No. 6.

NUSCO states that a copy of this filing has been mailed to Taunton.

NUSCO requests that the Service Agreement become effective on March 1, 1996.

Comment date: March 6, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 23. Robert O. Viets

[Docket No. ID-2400-001]

Take notice that on February 6, 1996, Robert O. Viets (Applicant) tendered for filing a supplemental application under Section 305(b) of the Federal Power Act to hold the following positions:

Director, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Central Illinois Light Company

Director, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, QST Enterprises Inc.

Comment date: March 6, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-4489 Filed 2-27-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Project Nos. 2390-003, 2395-003, 2421-003, 2473-002, 2475-006 and 2640-010]

Flambeau River, WI; Notice of Intent to Hold Public and Agency Meetings to Discuss Staff's Draft Environmental Statement (DEIS) for Existing Projects on the Flambeau River

February 22, 1996.

On November 30, 1995, The Commission's Staff mailed the Flambeau River Basin Hydroelectric Projects, Wisconsin, DEIS to the Environmental Protection Agency, resource and land management agencies, interested organizations and individuals. The availability of the DEIS was public noticed in the Federal Register on December 8, 1995. The document evaluates the continued operation of the Big Falls Water Power Project No. 2390; the Pixley Project No. 2395; the Lower Hydro Project No. 2421;

the Crowley Project No. 2473; the Thornapple Project No. 2475; and the Upper Hydro Project No. 2640. The projects are located on the Flambeau River in Rusk, Price and Ashland Counties, Wisconsin.

The action of relicensing these projects involves tradeoffs between energy production and enhancement of environmental quality. The staff formulated alternatives, and evaluated impacts to respond to concerns raised during the scoping process. In developing recommendations in the DEIS, the staff gave equal consideration to developmental and nondevelopmental values in accordance with the Federal Power Act.

The issues addressed in the DEIS are potential impacts to and effects on: (1) Geologic and soils resources; (2) water quality and quantity; (3) fisheries resources; (4) terrestrial resources; (5) recreational resources; (6) aesthetic values; (7) cultural resources; (8) air quality; (9) cumulative effects of the proposed projects.

Alternatives to the applicants' proposals considered in detail are (1) modification to proposed project operation or facilities to further protect, enhance or mitigate adverse impacts to environmental resources and values and (2) no action.

A public meeting will be conducted by staff in Park Falls, Wisconsin on Tuesday, March 12, 1996, from 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. in the auditorium of the Public Library, 410 Division Street, Park Falls, Wisconsin, to hear the public's comments on the DEIS.

The meeting will be recorded by a stenographer and will become part of the formal record of the Commission's proceeding on the Flambeau River projects under consideration. Individuals presenting statements at the meeting will be asked to sign in before the meeting starts and to clearly identify themselves for the record.

In accordance with Section 10(j) of the Federal Power Act (FPA), the Commission's staff will also meet with staff from the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources and the Ú.S. Fish and Wildlife Service on Tuesday, March 12 and Wednesday, March 13 at the offices of the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, 875 South Forth Avenue, Park Falls, Wisconsin, to discuss inconsistencies of some recommendations with the comprehensive planning and public interest standards of Sections 4(e) and 10(a) of the FPA or the substantial evidence requirement of Section 313(b) of the FPA.

All those that are formally recognized by the Commission as intervenors in the Flambeau Projects' proceedings are asked to refrain from engaging the staff in discussions of the merits of the projects outside of any announced meetings.

For further information, please contact Ms. Julie Bernt at (202) 219–2814.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–4452 Filed 2–27–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

### [Docket No. CP95-500-001, et al.]

## Southern Natural Gas Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

February 21, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Southern Natural Gas Company [Docket No. CP95–500–001]

Take notice that on February 14, 1996, Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern), Post Office Box 2563, Birmingham, Alabama 35202–2563, filed an amendment to its original application in Docket No. CP95-500-000, requesting that the Commission amend its Order Issuing Certificate issued October 16, 1995, (October 16 Order), 73 FERC ¶ 61,085. Southern states that the amendment complies with the October 16 Order and modifies the proposal authorized therein, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Southern states that in the October 16 Order, the Commission authorized Southern's proposed expansion of its Toca Compressor Station and related facilities, subject to Southern's filing 10year firm transportation service agreements for the capacity of the proposed project within 120 days. Southern states that it has now entered into a 10-year firm Transportation Service Agreement dated February 7, 1996 with Shell Offshore Inc. (Shell) for 100 percent of the 140 Mmcf/d proposed firm Transportation Service (Shell Service Agreement). In connection with the filing of the Shell Service Agreement, Southern proposes to make minor changes to the Receipt and Delivery Point modifications approved in the October 16 Order as necessary to provide service to Shell thereunder.

It is stated that the compression facilities at Toca, the modifications to provide for delivery at the Tennessee-Toca interconnection and the expansion of the delivery point at the Transco-Frost interconnection approved in the October 16 Order are still required for service under the Shell Service Agreement. Southern contends that the remaining Receipt and Delivery Point modifications approved in the October 16 Order will not be necessary. Furthermore, Southern states that the 140 Mmcfd capacity of the expansion is not altered by the minor changes proposed herein.

Southern proposes to delete the following Receipt and Delivery Point modifications approved in the October 16 Order, but which are not required to provide service to Shell: (1) Columbia -Shadvside meter station modifications; (2) LRC - Erath meter station modifications; (3) Acadian - Sugar Bowl No. 6 meter station modifications; (4) Main Pass Block 306 receiving station piping; and (5) Main Pass Block 293 receiving station piping. In addition, in order to provide service to Shell under the Shell Service Agreement, Southern requests authorization to substitute the following Receipt and Delivery Point modifications for the ones proposed to be deleted above: (1) construct, install and operate interconnection piping to provide for delivery at its existing Transco-Frost interconnection; and (2) to construct and install receipt meters to be located on Shell's platform at Main Pass Block 289 and near Southern's existing facilities at Venice, Louisiana. It is stated that the cost of the proposed Receipt and Delivery Point modifications is estimated to be \$1.4 million. The revised estimated cost for the construction and installation of the Toca compression facilities, the Tennessee-Toca modifications, the expansion of the Transco-Frost interconnection and the proposed Receipt and Delivery Point modifications is \$14.3 million.

Southern contends that, consistent with the application, there would be no rate impact on current shippers resulting from the construction of the proposed facilities over the 10 years because the revenues generated would offset the incremental costs attributable thereto on a present value basis. Based on the current estimate of the cost of service of the facilities. Southern states that the Reservation charge for this production area transportation for the 10 year period is \$1.48 per Mcf per month. It is stated that the October 16 Order approved rolled-in rate treatment for the expansion facilities, but Southern's general Part 284 transportation rates would begin to reflect the cost of the facilities only after the 10-year term of the firm transportation contract expires.

Southern states that its request for minor modifications of the Receipt and