

indicate that vessels may pass. When a train approaches the bridge it must stop and the train operator must initiate a command to lower the bridge. The lights would go to flashing red and the draw would lower and lock providing scanning equipment reveals nothing under the draw. The draw would remain down until a manual raise command is initiated, or it would raise automatically 5 minutes after the intermediate track circuit is no longer occupied by a rail car. After the train has cleared, the lights would return to flashing green.

Regulatory Evaluation

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that order. It has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget under that order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979). The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this proposal to be so minimal that a full regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10e of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979) is unnecessary. We conclude this because of the infrequent operation of the draw.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), the Coast Guard must consider whether this proposal, if adopted, will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. "Small entities" may include (1) small businesses and not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their field and (2) governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

Because it expects the impact of the proposal to be minimal, the Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposal, if adopted, will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Collection of Information

This proposal contains no collection of information requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*)

Federalism

The Coast Guard has analyzed this proposal under the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612, and has determined that this

proposal does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

Environment

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this proposal and concluded that, under section 2.B.2.e.(32)(e) of Commandant Instruction M16475.1B, promulgation of operating requirements or procedures for drawbridges is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket for inspection and copying where indicated under ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR Part 117 as follows:

PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for Part 117 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 499; 49 CFR 1.46; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g); section 117.255 also issued under the authority of Pub. L. 102–587, 106 Stat. 5039.

2. § 117.261 is amended by revising paragraph (j) to read as follows:

§ 117.261 Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway from St Marys River to Key Largo.

* * * * *

(j) *NASA Railroad bridge, mile 876.6 at Kennedy Space Center.* (1) The draw is not constantly tended.

(2) The draw is normally in the fully open position displaying flashing green lights to indicate that vessels may pass.

(3) When a train approaches the bridge, it must stop and the operator initiates a command to lower the bridge. The lights go to flashing red and the draw lowers and locks providing scanning equipment reveals nothing under the draw. The draw remains down until a manual raise command is initiated, or will raise automatically 5 minutes after the intermediate track circuit is no longer occupied by a rail car.

(4) After the train has cleared the draw opens and the lights return to flashing green.

* * * * *

Dated: February 5, 1996.

Roger T. Rufe, Jr.,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard Commander, Seventh Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 96–3894 Filed 2–21–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–14–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180

[PP 4E3060/P641A; FRL–5352–1]

RIN 2070–AC18

Pesticide Tolerance for 2,4-D; Reopening of Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: EPA is reopening the period for receiving comments on the proposed pesticide tolerance for residues of the herbicide 2,4-D (2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid) in or on the raw agricultural commodity soybeans. The original comment period expired on February 16, 1996. EPA is reopening the comment period to allow the usual thirty days for receipt of comments.

DATES: Comments, identified by the docket number, [PP 4E3060/P641], must be received on or before March 8, 1996. The proposed tolerance would expire on December 31, 1998.

ADDRESSES: By mail, submit written comments to: Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. In person, bring comments to: Rm. 1132, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA 22202. Information submitted as a comment concerning this document may be claimed confidential by marking any part or all of that information as "Confidential Business Information" (CBI). Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2. A copy of the comment that does not contain CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public record. Information not marked confidential may be disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice. All written comments will be available for public inspection in Rm. 1132 at the address given above, from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

Comments and data may also be submitted electronically by sending electronic mail (e-mail) to: opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov. Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Comments and data will also be accepted on disks in WordPerfect 5.1

file format or ASCII file format. All comments and data in electronic form must be identified by the docket number [PP 4E3060/P641]. No CBI should be submitted through e-mail. Electronic comments on this proposed rule may be filed online at many Federal Depository Libraries. Additional information on electronic submissions can be found below in this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Joanne Miller, Product Manager (PM 23), Registration Division (7505C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location and telephone number: Rm. 237, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA 22202, (703)-305-6224.

A record has been established for this rulemaking under docket number [PP 4E3060/P641] (including comments and data submitted electronically as described below). A public version of this record, including printed, paper versions of electronic comments, which does not include any information claimed as CBI, is available for inspection from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The public record is located in Room 1132 of the Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA.

Electronic comments can be sent directly to EPA at:
opp-Docket@epamail.epa.gov

Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption.

The official record for this rulemaking, as well as the public version, as described above will be kept in paper form. Accordingly, EPA will transfer all comments received electronically into printed, paper form as they are received and will place the paper copies in the official rulemaking record which will also include all comments submitted directly in writing. The official rulemaking record is the paper record maintained at the address in "ADDRESSES" at the beginning of this document.

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of section 3 of Executive Order 12866.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96-354, 94 Stat. 1164, 5 U.S.C. 601-612),

the Administrator has determined that regulations establishing new tolerances or food additive regulations or establishing exemptions from tolerance requirements do not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. A certification statement to this effect was published in the Federal Register of May 4, 1981 (46 FR 24950).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: February 15, 1996.

Peter Caulkins,

Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 96-4146 Filed 2-20-96; 2:39 pm]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

40 CFR Part 261

[FRL-5427-6]

Extension of Comment Period for the Proposed Hazardous Waste Identification Rule (HWIR)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or Agency) is extending the comment period for the proposed Hazardous Waste Identification Rule (HWIR), which appeared in the Federal Register on December 21, 1995 (60 FR 66344). The extension is necessary for two reasons: the first is the government shutdown during the original comment period which did not allow commentors to review the background documentation supporting the rulemaking and the second is the Agency has received an overwhelming number of requests for comment period extensions due to the complexity of the proposed rulemaking. The public comment period for this proposed rule was to end February 20, 1996. The comment period is extended to April 22, 1996.

DATES: EPA will continue to accept public comments on the proposed Hazardous Waste Identification Rule until April 22, 1996.

ADDRESSES: The public must send an original, two copies, and whenever possible, a 3.5 inch computer disk containing the comments in a common word processing format such as

WordPerfect version 5.1 to: EPA RCRA Docket (5305W), 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460.

Place "Docket number F-95-WHWP-FFFFF" on your comments. The RCRA docket is located at: EPA's Crystal Gateway Office, 1235 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia, and is open from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays. The public must make an appointment to review docket materials by calling (703) 603-9230. The public may copy material from any regulatory docket at a cost of \$0.15 per page. Copies of the background documents, Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) chemical files, and other references (which are not readily available) are available for *viewing and copying only* in the RCRA docket.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The RCRA/Superfund Hotline at (800) 424-9346 or at (703) 412-9810. For technical information contact Mr. William A. Collins, Jr., Mr. Greg Helms, Ms. Pamela McMains, or Ms. Tracy Atagi, Office of Solid Waste (5304), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460, (202) 260-8100.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed rule was issued under the authority of sections 2002(a), 3001, 3002, 3004 and 3006 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). EPA proposed to amend its regulations under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) by establishing constituent-specific exit levels for low-risk solid wastes that are designated as hazardous because they are listed, or have been mixed, derived from, or contain listed hazardous wastes. See 60 FR 66344 (December 21, 1995) for a more detailed explanation of the proposed rule.

Extension of Comment Period

The comment period for this proposed rule was scheduled to end on February 20, 1996. However, the RCRA Docket was closed due to the partial government shutdown from December 15, 1995 until January 6, 1996, and from January 8 until January 12, 1996 due to inclement weather. As a result of the partial government shutdown and snow closures, background documents located in the RCRA Docket were available to the public for only one day during the first three and one-half weeks of the public comment period. Similarly, during the same period EPA personnel were unavailable to answer questions about the notice of proposed rulemaking or to make other arrangements to obtain documents relevant to the rulemaking.