### **Notices**

Federal Register

Vol. 61, No. 248

Tuesday, December 24, 1996

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

#### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

#### Farm Service Agency

Notice and Request for Revision of a Currently Approved Information Collection

**AGENCY:** Farm Service Agency, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Farm Service Agency's (FSA) intention to request an extension for and revision to a currently approved information collection in support of the peanut poundage quota program as authorized by the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996 (1996 Act).

**DATE:** Comments on this notice must be received on or before February 24, 1997 to be assured of consideration.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS: Contact David Kincannon, Marketing Specialist, Tobacco and Peanuts Division, USDA, FSA, STOP 014, P.O. Box 2415, Washington, DC 20013–2415, (202) 720–7914.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Peanuts, 7 CFR Part 729.

OMB Number: 0560–0006.

Expiration Date: September 30, 1998.

Type of Request: Request for revision of a currently approved information collection.

Abstract: The 1996 Act provides for out-of-county transfer of peanut poundage quota but limits, for certain counties, the amount that may be transferred in any year. The owner or operator must request a transfer of quota by registering on form FSA–377. If the total amount of quota requested to be transferred exceeds the limit for the county, a lottery may be held to select which of those owner/operators listed on form FSA–377 may be approved.

Estimated of Burden: Public reporting burden for this collection of information

is estimated to average .1 hours per response.

Respondents: Individual producers. Estimated Number of Respondents: 1000.

Estimated Total Annual Burden of Respondents: 100 hours.

Comments are requested regarding, but not limited to: (1) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; or (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments should be sent to the Desk Officer for Agriculture, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503, and to David Kincannon, USDA, Farm Service Agency, Tobacco and Peanuts Division, STOP 0514, P.O. Box 2415, Washington, DC 20013-2415.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Signed at Washington, DC, on December 16, 1996.

Bruce R. Weber,

Administrator, Farm Service Agency.
[FR Doc. 96–32622 Filed 12–23–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410–05–P

#### **Forest Service**

Newspapers Used for Publication of Legal Notice of Appealable Decisions for Pacific Southwest Region, CA

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice lists the newspapers that will be used by all ranger districts, forests, and the Regional Office of the Pacific Southwest Region to publish legal notice of all decisions subject to appeal under 36 CFR 215 and 217. The intended effect of this action is to inform interested

members of the public which newspapers will be used to public legal notices of decisions, thereby allowing them to receive constructive notice of a decision, to provide clear evidence of timely notice, and to achieve consistency in administering the appeals process.

**DATES:** Publication of legal notices in the listed newspapers will begin with decisions subject to appeal that are made on or after January 1, 1997. The list of newspapers will remain in effect until January 1998 when another notice will be published in the Federal Register.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sue Danner, Regional Appeals Coordinator, Pacific Southwest Region, 630 Sansome Street, San Francisco, CA 94111, phone: (415) 705–2553.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 4, 1993, 36 CFR Parts 215 and 217 were published requiring publication of legal notice of decisions subject to appeal. Sections 215.5 and 217.5 require notice published in the Federal Register advising the public of the principal newspapers to be utilized for publishing legal notices. This newspaper publication of notices of decisions is in addition to direct notice to those who have requested notice in writing and to those known to be interested and affected by a specific decision.

The legal notice is to identify the decision by title and subject matter; the date of the decision; the name and title of the official making the decision; and how to obtain copies of the decision. In addition, the notice is to state the date the appeal period begins is the day following publication of the notice.

In addition to the principal newspaper listed for each unit, some Forest Supervisors and District Rangers have listed newspapers providing additional notice of their decisions. The timeframe for appeal shall be based on the date of publication of the notice in the first (principal) newspaper listed for each unit.

The newspapers to be used are as follows:

Pacific Southwest Regional Office

Pacific Southwest Regional Forester decisions:

Sacramento Bee, Sacramento, California

**Angeles National Forest** 

Angeles Forest Supervisor decisions: Los Angeles Times, Los Angeles, California

Arroyo-Seco District Ranger decisions: Pasadena Star News, Pasadena, California

Newspaper providing additional notice of Arroyo-Seco decisions:

Daily News, Los Angeles, California Mount Baldly District Ranger decisions: Inland Valley Bulletin, Los Angeles, California

Newspaper providing additional notice of Mount Baldy decisions:

San Gabriel Valley Tribune, eastern San Gabriel Valley, California

Saugus District Ranger decisions: Daily News, Los Angeles, California Newspaper providing additional notice of Saugus decisions:

Antelope Valley Press, Palmdale, California

Tujunga District Ranger decisions: Daily News, Los Angeles, California Newspaper providing additional notice of Tujunga decisions:

Antelope Valley Press, Palmdale, California

Foothill Leader, Glendale, California Valyermo District Ranger decisions: Antelope Valley Press, Palmdale, California

Newspaper providing additional notice of Valyermo decisions:

Mountaineer Progress, Wrightwood, California

#### **Cleveland National Forest**

Cleveland Forest Supervisor decisions: San Diego Union-Tribune, San Diego, California

Descanso District Ranger decisions: San Diego Union-Tribune, San Diego, California

Palomar District Ranger decisions: San Diego Union-Tribune, San Diego, California

Newspaper providing additional notice of Palomar decisions:

Riverside Press-Enterprise, Riverside, California

Trabuco District Ranger decisions: Orange County Register, Santa Ana, California

Newspaper providing additional notice of Trabuco decisions:

Riverside Press-Enterprise, Riverside, California

#### Eldorado National Forest

Eldorado Forest Supervisor decisions: Mountain Democrat, Placerville, California

Amador District Ranger decisions: Mountain Democrat, Placerville, California

Georgetown District Ranger decisions:

Mountain Democrat, Placerville, California

Pacific District Ranger decisions: Mountain Democrat, Placerville, California

Placerville District Ranger decisions: Mountain Democrat, Placerville, California

#### Inyo National Forest

Inyo Forest Supervisor decisions:
Inyo Register, Bishop, California
Mammoth District Ranger decisions:
Inyo Register, Bishop, California
Mono Lake District Ranger decisions:
Inyo Register, Bishop, California
Mount Whitney District Ranger
decisions:

Inyo Register, Bishop, California White Mountain District Ranger decisions:

Inyo Register, Bishop, California

#### Klamath National Forest

Klamath Forest Supervisor decisions: Siskiyou Daily News, Yreka, California

Happy Camp District Ranger decisions: Siskiyou Daily News, Yreka, California

Goosenest District Ranger decisions: Siskiyou Daily News, Yreka, California

Oak Knoll District Ranger decisions: Siskiyou Daily News, Yreka, California

Salmon River District Ranger decisions: Siskiyou Daily News, Yreka, California

Scott River District Ranger decisions: Siskiyou Daily News, Yreka, California

Ukonom District Ranger decisions: Siskiyou Daily News, Yreka, California

#### Lake Tahoe Basin

Lake Tahoe Basin Forest Supervisor decisions:

Tahoe Daily Tribune, So. Lake Tahoe, El Dorado County, California

#### Lassen National Forest

Lassen Forest Supervisor decisions: Lassen County Times, Susanville, Lassen County, California

Almanor District Ranger decisions: Chester Progressive, Plumas County, California

Eagle Lake District Ranger decisions: Lassen County Times, Susanville, Lassen County, California

Hat Creek District Ranger decisions: Intermountain News, Burney, Shasta County, California

Newspaper providing additional notice of Hat Creek decisions: Mountain Echo, Fall River Mills,

Shasta County, California

Los Padres National Forest

Los Padres Forest Supervisor decisions: Santa Barbara News Press, Santa Barbara, California

Ojai District Ranger decisions: Star Free Press, Ventura, California Monterey District Ranger decisions: Monterey Herald, Monterey, California

Mountain Pinos District Ranger decisions:

The Bakersfield Californian, Kern, California

Santa Barbara District Ranger decisions: Santa Barbara News Press, Santa Barbara, California

Santa Lucia District Ranger decisions: Telegram Tribune, San Luis Obispo, California

#### Mendocino National Forest

Mendocino Forest Supervisor decisions: Chico Enterprise-Record, Chico, California

Corning District Ranger decisions: Chico Enterprise-Record, Chico, California

Covelo District Ranger decisions: Ukiah Daily Journal, Ukiah, California Stonyford District Ranger decisions: Chico Enterprise-Record, Chico, California

Upper Lake District Ranger decisions:
Ukiah Daily Journal, Ukiah, California
Chica Tree Improvement Center

Chico Tree Improvement Center Director decisions:

Chico Enterprise-Record, Chico, California

#### Modoc National Forest

Modoc Forest Supervisor decisions: Modoc County Record, Alturas, Modoc County, California

Big Valley District Ranger decisions: Modoc County Record, Alturas, Modoc County, California

Devil's Garden District Ranger decisions:

Modoc County Record, Alturas, Modoc County, California

Doublehead District Ranger decisions: Modoc County Record, Alturas, Modoc County, California

Newspaper providing additional notice of Doublehead decisions:

Herald News, Klamath Falls, Oregon Warner Mountain District Ranger decisions:

Modoc County Record, Alturas, Modoc County, California

#### **Plumas National Forest**

Plumas Forest Supervisor decisions: Feather River Bulletin, Quincy, California

Beckwourth District Ranger decisions: Portola Reporter, Portola, California Feather River District Ranger decisions: Oroville Mercury Register, Oroville, California

Mt. Hough District Ranger decisions: Feather River Bulletin, Quincy, California

San Bernardino National Forest

San Bernardino Forest Supervisor decisions:

San Bernardino Sun, San Bernardino, California

Arrowhead District Ranger decisions: Mountain News, Blue Jay, California

Big Bear District Ranger decisions: Big Bear Life and Grizzly, Big Bear, California

Cajon District Ranger decisions: San Bernardino Sun, San Bernardino, California

San Gorgonio District Ranger decisions: Yucaipa News Mirror, Yucaipa, California

San Jacinto District Ranger decisions: Idyllwild Town Crier, Idyllwild, California

Sequoia National Forest

Sequoia Forest Supervisor decisions: Porterville Recorder, Porterville, California

Cannell Meadow District Ranger decisions:

Porterville Recorder, Porterville, California

Greenhorn District Ranger decisions: Porterville Recorder, Porterville, California

Hot Springs District Ranger decisions: Porterville Recorder, Porterville, California

Hume Lake District Ranger decisions: Porterville Recorder, Porterville, California

Tule River Ranger District decisions: Porterville Recorder, Porterville, California

Shasta-Trinity National Forest

Shasta-Trinity National Forest decisions:

Record Searchlight, Redding, Shasta County, California

Big Bar District Ranger decisions: Record Searchlight, Redding, Shasta County, California

Hayfork District Ranger decisions: Record Searchlight, Redding, Shasta County, California

McCloud District Ranger decisions: Record Searchlight, Redding, Shasta County, California

Mount Shasta District Ranger decisions: Record Searchlight, Redding, Shasta County, California

Shasta Lake District Ranger decisions: Record Searchlight, Redding, Shasta County, California

Weaverville District Ranger decisions: Record Searchlight, Redding, Shasta County, California Yolla Bolla District Ranger decisions: Record Searchlight, Redding, Shasta County, California

Sierra National Forest

Sierra Forest Supervisor decisions:
Fresno Bee, Fresno, California
Kings River District Ranger decisions:
Fresno Bee, Fresno, California
Pineridge District Ranger decisions:
Fresno Bee, Fresno, California
Mariposa District Ranger decisions:
Fresno Bee, Fresno, California
Minarets District Ranger decisions:
Fresno Bee, Fresno, California

Six Rivers National Forest

Six Rivers Forest Supervisor decisions: Times Standard, Eureka, California Gasquet District Ranger decisions: Del Norte Triplicate, Crescent City, California

Lower Trinity District Ranger decisions: The Kourier, Willow Creek, California Mad River District Ranger decisions: Times Standard, Eureka, California Orleans District Ranger decisions: The Kourier, Willow Creek, California

Stanislaus National Forest

Stanislaus Forest Supervisor decisions: The Union Democrat. Sonora, California, Calaveras District Ranger decisions:

The Union Democrat, Sonora, California, Groveland District Ranger decisions:

The Union Democrat, Sonora, California, Mi-Wok District Ranger decisions:

The Union Democrat, Sonora, California, Summit District Ranger decisions:

The Union Democrat, Sonora, California

Tahoe National Forest

Tahoe Forest Supervisor decisions: Grass Valley Union, Grass Valley, California, Downieville District Ranger decisions:

Mountain Messenger, Downieville, California, Foresthill District Ranger decisions:

Auburn Journal, Auburn, California, Nevada City District Ranger decisions:

Grass Valley Union, Grass Valley, California

Sierraville District Ranger decisions: Mountain Messenger, Downieville, California

Newspapers providing additional notice of Sierraville decisions:

Sierra Booster, Loyalton, California Portola Recorder, Portola, California

Truckee District Ranger decisions: Sierra Sun, Truckee, Nevada County, California Newspaper providing additional notice of Truckee decisions:

Tahoe World, Tahoe City, Placer County, California

Dated: December 18, 1996.

Gilbert J. Espinosa,

Deputy Regional Forester.

[FR Doc. 96-32642 Filed 12-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

# Southwest Oregon Provincial Interagency Executive Committee (PIEC); Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Southwest Oregon PIEC Advisory Committee will meet on January 14, 1996 at the Edgewater Inn, 275 E. Johnson Street, Coos Bay, Oregon. The meeting will begin at 9:00 a.m. and continue until 4:30 p.m. Agenda items to be covered include: (1) Local area issues presentation; (2) Subcommittee proposed grazing standards review; (3) Update on Rogue and Umpqua River Basin assessments; (4) Report by the committee assessing the PAC review results; (5) Subcommittees for ACS/Restoration, Monitoring, and Timber Sales will continue work to define their priorities; and (5) Public comments.

All Province Advisory committee meetings are open to the public. Interested citizens are encouraged to attend.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Direct questions regarding this meeting to Chuck Anderson, Province Advisory Committee staff, USDA, Forest Service, Rogue River National Forest, 333 W. 8th Street, Medford, Oregon 97501, phone 541–858–2322.

Dated: December 16, 1996.

James T. Gladen,

Forest Supervisor, Designated Federal Official.

[FR Doc. 96-32563 Filed 12-23-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

## ASSASSINATION RECORDS REVIEW BOARD

#### **Sunshine Act Meeting**

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: 61 FR 65520, Friday, December 13, 1996.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF THE MEETING: January 9, 1997, 1:30 p.m. ARRB, 600 E Street, NW, Washington, DC