

increase acreage attributed to the farm from leases or change farm acreage records as a result of a sale of any part of a farm. The FSA county committee must act on all proposed farm reconstitutions and issue their approval or disapproval on FSA-155. It is necessary to collect the information recorded on FSA-155 to determine farmland, cropland, agricultural use land and changes to contract acreages resulting from combination or division of the farming operation.

*Respondents:* Farm owners and operators.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 359,291.

*Estimate Average Time to Respond:* 45 minutes.

*Estimated Number of Annual Responses:* 358,215.

*Estimated Number of Reports Filed per person:* 1.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 268,661 hours.

Topics for comments include but are not limited to the following: (a) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; or (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electric, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments should be sent to Desk Officer for Agriculture, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, D. C. 20503 and to Joanne Franta, Program Specialist, Compliance and Production Adjustment Division, FSA, USDA, STOP 0517, P.O. Box 2415, Washington, D.C. 20013-2415; telephone (202) 720-5103. Copies of the information collection may be obtained from Joanne Franta at the above address.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Signed at Washington, DC, on December 5, 1996.

Bruce R. Weber,

*Acting Administrator, Farm Service Agency.*

[FR Doc. 96-31820 Filed 12-13-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-05-P

## Food and Consumer Service

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request—Assessment of the Implementation of Nutrition Objectives for School Meals Project

**AGENCY:** Food and Consumer Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Food and Consumer Service's (FCS) intention to request OMB approval of the Assessment of the Implementation of Nutrition Objectives for School Meals Project.

**DATES:** Comments on this notice must be received by February 14, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments and requests for copies of this information collection to: Michael E. Fishman, Acting Director, Office of Analysis and Evaluation, Food and Consumer Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 3101 Park Center Drive, Alexandria, VA 22302.

Comments are invited on: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael E. Fishman, (703) 305-2117.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Assessment of the Implementation of Nutrition Objectives for School Meals Project.

*OMB Number:* Not yet assigned.

*Expiration Date:* N/A.

*Type of Request:* New collection of information.

*Abstract:* The Assessment of the Implementation of Nutrition Objectives for School Meals Project will examine the food and nutrient composition of

National School Lunch Program/School Breakfast Program (NSLP/SBP) meals currently being offered, i.e., meals planned in accordance with program guidelines and made available to participating students. It includes a comparison of the findings of this study with the findings of the School Nutrition Dietary Assessment Study (SNDA) conducted in 1992. More specifically, the study is designed to address the following major research objectives:

- Determine the average nutrient composition of USDA meals currently offered to students during a typical school week in elementary schools, middle schools, and high schools.
- Determine the primary food sources for the various nutrients.
- Determine the availability and nutrient content of low-fat (30 percent or less of calories from fat) meals.
- Determine the changes in the nutrient composition of USDA meals since School Year 1991-92 when SNDA was conducted.

Data obtained from a nationally representative sample of about 1,152 public schools (384 elementary, 384 middle, and 384 high schools) will be collected during the 1997-98 School Year to determine the progress School Food Authorities (SFAs) have made in implementing the 1995 Dietary Guidelines for Americans in the NSLP and SBP. The Menu Survey Instrument will collect information on foods and portions in breakfasts and lunches offered during a target week. Data on the nutrient content of meals offered in the NSLP and SBP will be compared to similar data obtained previously in SNDA for School Year 1991-92. The School Food Service Characteristics Survey will obtain descriptive information on school food service operations.

*Estimate of Burden:* Public reporting burden is estimated to average 5 minutes for State Child Nutrition directors; 5 minutes for School Food Service Authority directors; and 258 minutes for School Food Service managers.

*Respondents:* State Child Nutrition directors will be asked to confirm name, address and telephone number of selected SFAs and directors. SFA directors will respond to a telephone survey. School Food Service managers will complete a mail survey.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 45 State Child Nutrition directors, 384 SFA directors, and 1,152 School Food Service managers.

*Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:* One.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:* 4,990 hours.

Dated: December 6, 1996.

William E. Ludwig,

Administrator, Food and Consumer Service.

[FR Doc. 96-31819 Filed 12-13-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-30-U

## Forest Service

### Newspapers Used for Publication of Legal Notice of Appealable Decisions for Intermountain Region, Utah, Idaho, Nevada, and Wyoming

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice lists the newspapers that will be used by all ranger districts, forests, and the Regional Office of the Intermountain Region to publish legal notice of all decisions subject to appeal under 36 CFR 215 and 36 CFR 217. The intended effect of this action is to inform interested members of the public which newspapers will be used to publish legal notices of decisions, thereby allowing them to receive constructive notice of a decision, to provide clear evidence of timely notice, and to achieve consistency in administering the appeals process.

**DATES:** Publication of legal notices in the listed newspapers will begin with decisions subject to appeal that are made on or after December 1, 1996. The list of newspapers will remain in effect until April 1997 when another notice will be published in the Federal Register.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Vaughn Stokes, Regional Appeals Manager, Intermountain Region, 324 25th Street, Ogden, UT 84401, phone (801) 625-5232.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The administrative appeal procedures 36 CFR 215 and 36 CFR 217, of the Forest Service require publication of legal notice in a newspaper of general circulation of all decisions subject to appeal. This newspaper publication of notices of decisions is in addition to direct notice to those who have requested notice in writing and to those known to be interested and affected by a specific decision.

The legal notice is to identify: the decision by title and subject matter; the date of the decision; the name and title of the official making the decision; and how to obtain copies of the decision. In addition, the notice is to state the date the appeal period begins which is the day following publication of the notice.

The timeframe for appeal shall be based on the date of publication of the notice in the first (principal) newspaper listed for each unit.

The newspapers to be used are as follows:

#### Regional Forester, Intermountain Region

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Idaho:

*The Idaho Statesman*, Boise, Idaho

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Nevada:

*The Reno Gazette-Journal*, Reno, Nevada

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Wyoming:

*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Utah:

*Standard Examiner*, Ogden, Utah

If the decision made by the Regional Forester affects all National Forests in the Intermountain Region, it will appear in:

*Standard Examiner*, Ogden, Utah

#### Ashley National Forest

Ashley Forest Supervisor decisions:

*Vernal Express*, Vernal, Utah

Vernal District Ranger decisions:

*Vernal Express*, Vernal, Utah

Flaming Gorge District Ranger for decisions affecting Wyoming:

*Casper Star Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

Flaming Gorge District Ranger for decisions affecting Utah:

*Vernal Express*, Vernal, Utah

Roosevelt and Duchesne District Ranger decisions:

*Uintah Basin Standard*, Roosevelt, Utah

#### Boise National Forest

Boise Forest Supervisor decisions:

*The Idaho Statesman*, Boise, Idaho

Mountain Home District Ranger decisions:

*The Idaho Statesman*, Boise, Idaho

Boise District Ranger decisions:

*The Idaho Statesman*, Boise, Idaho

Idaho City District Ranger decisions:

*The Idaho Statesman*, Boise, Idaho

Cascade District Ranger decisions:

*The Advocate*, Cascade, Idaho

Lowman District Ranger decisions:

*The Idaho City World*, Idaho City, Idaho

Emmett District Ranger decisions:

*The Messenger-Index*, Emmett, Idaho

#### Bridger-Teton National Forest

Bridger-Teton Forest Supervisor decisions:

*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

Jackson District Ranger decisions:

*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

Buffalo District Ranger decisions:

*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

Big Piney District Ranger decisions:

*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

Pinedale District Ranger decisions:

*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

Greys River District Ranger decisions:

*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

Kemmerer District Ranger decisions:

*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

Caribou National Forest

Caribou Forest Supervisor decisions:

*Idaho State Journal*, Pocatello, Idaho

Soda Springs District Ranger decisions:

*Idaho State Journal*, Pocatello, Idaho

Montpelier District Ranger decisions:

*Idaho State Journal*, Pocatello, Idaho

Malad District Ranger decisions:

*Idaho State Journal*, Pocatello, Idaho

Pocatello District Ranger decisions:

*Idaho State Journal*, Pocatello, Idaho

Dixie National Forest

Dixie Forest Supervisor decisions:

*The Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah

Pine Valley District Ranger decisions:

*The Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah

Cedar City District Ranger decisions:

*The Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah

Powell District Ranger decisions:

*The Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah

Escalante District Ranger decisions:

*The Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah

Teasdale District Ranger decisions:

*The Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah

Fishlake National Forest

Fishlake Forest Supervisor decisions:

*Richfield Reaper*, Richfield, Utah

Loa District Ranger decisions:

*Richfield Reaper*, Richfield, Utah

Richfield District Ranger decisions:

*Richfield Reaper*, Richfield, Utah

Beaver District Ranger decisions:

*Richfield Reaper*, Richfield, Utah

Fillmore District Ranger decisions:

*Richfield Reaper*, Richfield, Utah

Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forests

Humboldt Forest Supervisor decisions:

*Elko Daily Free Press*, Elko, Nevada

Toiyabe Forest Supervisor decisions:

*Reno Gazette-Journal*, Reno, Nevada

Sierra Ecosystem Coordination Center (SECO):

*Reno Gazette-Journal*, Reno, Nevada

Carson District Ranger decisions:

*Reno Gazette-Journal*, Reno, Nevada

Bridgeport District Ranger decisions: