104-10015-10227; 4; 1; 03/1996 104-10015-10240; 12; 1; 01/2006 104-10015-10269; 4; 3; 01/2006 104-10015-10330; 1; 6; 01/2006 104-10015-10330; 1; 6; 01/2006 104-10015-10348; 5; 1; 01/2006 104-10015-10375; 18; 6; 01/2006 104-10015-10396; 13; 1; 03/1996 104-10015-10402; 11; 4; 01/2006 104-10015-10402; 11; 4; 01/2006 104-10015-10403; 7; 5; 03/1996 104-10015-10405; 8; 1; 01/2006 104-10015-10405; 5; 1; 01/2006 104-10016-10021; 7; 5; 01/2006 104-10016-10022; 5; 1; 03/1996 104-10017-10000; 10; 4; 01/2006 104-10017-10000; 10; 4; 01/2006 104-10017-10000; 13; 8; 03/1996 104-10017-10010; 15; 3; 01/2006 104-10017-10011; 6; 6; 01/2006 104-10017-10035; 13; 2; 01/2006 104-10017-10035; 13; 2; 01/2006 104-10017-10048; 5; 2; 03/1996 104-10017-10062; 9; 3; 01/2006 104-10017-10068; 9; 3; 01/2006 104-10017-10068; 9; 4; 01/2006 104-10017-10068; 9; 4; 01/2006 104-10017-10068; 9; 4; 01/2006 104-10017-10089; 9; 2; 03/1996 104-10018-10000; 6; 4; 03/1996 104-10018-10000; 5; 2; 03/1996 104-10018-10000; 5; 2; 03/1996 104-10018-10004; 41; 16; 03/1996 104-10018-10004; 5; 2; 03/1996	104–10018–10065; 13; 9; 01/2006 104–10018–10082; 8; 3; 01/2006 104–10018–10092; 8; 1; 01/2006 104–10018–10094; 18; 11; 01/2006 104–10018–10096; 12; 6; 03/1996 104–10095–10001; 9; 10; 09/2017 HSCA Documents: Postponed in Part 180–10084–10094; 0; 1; 01/2017 180–10108–10235; 0; 1; 01/2017 180–10102–10278; 0; 2; 01/2017 180–10106–10011; 0; 1; 01/2017 180–10107–10194; 0; 1; 01/2017 180–10111–10065; 615; 1; 01/2017 180–10112–10049; 0; 1; 01/2017 180–10112–10049; 0; 1; 01/2017 180–10112–10049; 0; 1; 01/2017 180–10112–10049; 0; 1; 01/2017 180–10112–10049; 0; 1; 01/2017 180–10110–10343; 0; 2; 01/2017 Additional Releases After consultation with appropriate Federal Agencies, the Review Board determined that the following records from the House Select Committee on Assassinations may now be opened in full: 180–10110–10034; 180–10110– 10035; 180–10110–10034; 180–10110– 10037; 180–10110–10038; 180–10110– 10037; 180–10110–10040; 180–10110– 10041; 180–10110–10042; 180–10110– 10041; 180–10110–10042; 180–10110– 10043; 180–10110–10044; 180–10110– 10045; 180–10110–10044; 180–10110– 10047; 180–10110–10048; 180–10110– 10047; 180–10110–10048; 180–10110– 10049; 180–10110–10079; 180–10110– 10072; 180–10110–10077; 180–10110– 10096; 180–10110–10077; 180–10110– 10096; 180–10110–10077; 180–10110–	10137; 180–10110–10138; 180–10110–10139; 180–10110–10140; 180–10110–10141; 180–10110–10142; 180–10110–10143; 180–10110–10092; 180–10110–10093; 180–10110–10095. On January 30, 1996, the Review Board adopted a policy to release duplicates of records on the same terms and conditions as those records on which it previously voted. The following determinations are noticed pursuant to that policy: FBI Documents: Postponed in Part 124–10035–10420; 6; 5; 10/2017 124–10144–10355; 0; 1; 10/2017 124–10173–10071; 0; 1; 10/2017 124–10242–10265; 0; 1; 10/2017 124–10143–10038; 1; 1; 11/2005 FBI Documents: Open in Full 124–10230–10106; 7; 0; n/a Corrections: On December 12 and 13, 1995, the Review Board made formal determinations that were published in the Tuesday, January 2, 1996, Federal Register (FR Doc. 95–31560, 61 FR 48). For that notice make the following corrections and additions: On page 49, in the second, third, fourth, and fifth columns of the FBI documents table, make the following corrections and additions:
Record No.	Previously published	Corrected data
40.4.40070.40000	00 40 Pastrasad's Part 40/0005	45. 0. On and in Fall and

 Record No.
 Previously published
 Corrected data

 124–10079–10230
 20, 13, Postponed in Part, 12/2005
 15, 0, Open in Full, n/a.

 124–10058–10007
 [Omitted]
 5, 13, Postponed in Part, 12/2005.

Dated: February 14, 1996.
David G. Marwell,
Executive Director.
[FR Doc. 96–3708 Filed 2–16–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6118–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received requests to conduct administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with January

anniversary dates. In accordance with the Department's regulations, we are initiating those administrative reviews.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 20, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Holly A. Kuga, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4737.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department has received timely requests, in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a) and 355.22(a) (1994), for administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with January anniversary dates.

Initiation of Reviews

In accordance with sections 19 CFR 353.22(c) and 355.22(c), we are

initiating administrative reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings. The Department is not initiating an administrative review of any exporters and/or producers who were not named in a review request because such exporters and/or producers were not specified as required under section 353.22(a) (19 CFR 353.11(a)). We intend to issue the final results of these reviews not later than January 31, 1997.

	Period to be re- viewed
Antidumping Duty Proceedings	
Canada:	
Brass Sheet and Strip—A– 122–601	
Wolverine Tube Inc	01/01/95– 12/31/95

	Period to be re- viewed
Color Picture Tubes—	
A-122-605	
Mitsubishi Electronics In-	04/04/05
dustries Canada Inc	01/01/95– 12/31/95
France:	12/31/33
Anyhdrous Sodium	
Metasilicate—	
A-427-098	
Rhone-Poulene, Poulenc, S.A	01/01/95–
5.A	12/31/95
Certain Stainless Steel Wire	
Rods—	
A-427-811	01/01/95–
Imphy, S.A. Ugine-Savoie	12/31/95
Japan:	1 = 7 = 17 = 2
Color Picture Tubes—	
A-588-609	
Mitsubishi Electronics Corp	01/01/95–
Согр	12/31/95
Countervailing Duty	
Proceedings	
None.	
Suspension Agreements	
Colombia:	
Roses and other cut flowers—	04/04/05
C-301-003	01/01/95– 12/31/95
Miniature Carnations—C-301-	12/01/00
601	01/01/95–
	12/31/95

If requested within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice, the Department will determine whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to any of these reviews if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer which is affiliated with such exporter or producer.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(b) and 355.34(b).

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 353.22(c)(1) and 355.22(c)(1).

Dated: February 13, 1996. Joseph A. Spetrini, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance. [FR Doc. 96–3747 Filed 2–16–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

[A-489-805]

Notice of Amended Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Pasta From Turkey

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 20, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Brinkmann or Michelle Frederick, Office of Antidumping Investigations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5288 or (202) 482–0186, respectively.

APPLICABLE STATUTE AND REGULATIONS: Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Rounds Agreements Act (URAA).

Scope of Investigation

The scope of this investigation consists of certain non-egg dry pasta in packages of five pounds (or 2.27 kilograms) or less, whether or not enriched or fortified or containing milk or other optional ingredients such as chopped vegetables, vegetable purees, milk, gluten, diastases, vitamins, coloring and flavorings, and up to two percent egg white. The pasta covered by this scope is typically sold in the retail market, in fiberboard or cardboard cartons or polyethylene or polypropylene bags, of varying dimensions.

Excluded from the scope of this investigation are refrigerated, frozen, or canned pastas, as well as all forms of egg pasta, with the exception of non-egg dry pasta containing up to two percent egg white.

The merchandise under investigation is currently classifiable under item 1902.19.20 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Case History

On December 14, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) made its affirmative preliminary determination of sales at less than fair value (*Preliminary Determination*) in the above-referenced investigation (61 FR 1351, January 19, 1996). On January 18 and 19, 1996, we disclosed our calculations for the

preliminary determination to the respondents, Filiz Gida Sanayii ve Ticaret (Filiz) and Maktas Makarnacilik ve Ticaret T.A.S (Maktas), and to the petitioners, respectively, pursuant to their requests. On January 25, 1996, we received a submission from the petitioners alleging ministerial errors in the Department's preliminary determination calculations. The respondents, in their January 26, 1996, submission alleged that the Department made a ministerial error by failing to include appropriate language instructing Customs to limit the duration of provisional measures to four

For both Filiz and Maktas, the petitioners alleged two ministerial errors. First, the petitioners alleged that the Department understated U.S. packing expenses by mistakenly converting the expenses from Turkish lira to U.S. dollars twice. Second, the petitioners alleged that the Department inadvertently omitted selling expenses from its calculation of an amount for profit included in constructed value (CV).

With regard to U.S. packing expenses, we agree that the error as alleged by the petitioners constitutes a ministerial error within the meaning of 19 CFR 353.28(d). With regard to the petitioners' allegation concerning the calculation of CV profit, we disagree that the error alleged by the petitioners is a ministerial error. The Department in its margin programs correctly calculated the amount for CV profit for both respondents. (For specific details of these allegations and our analysis of them, see Memorandum from the Team to Barbara R. Stafford dated February 6, 1996.) With regard to the respondents' allegation concerning provisional measures, we have determined that their allegation does not constitute a ministerial error. For further discussion on this issue, see Memorandum from Marguerite Trossevin to Susan G. Esserman dated February 7, 1996.

Amendment of Preliminary Determination

The Department has stated that it will amend a preliminary determination only to correct for significant ministerial errors (*i.e.*, corrections that result in a difference of at least 5 absolute percentage points and that are at least 25 percent greater or less than the preliminary margin, and corrections resulting in a margin of zero or de minimis). See Notice of Amendment to Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination: Bicycles From