

National Recreation Area and Point Reyes National Seashore Advisory Commission will be held monthly for the remainder of calendar year 1996 (with the exception of August and December) to hear presentations on issues related to management of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area and Point Reyes National Seashore. Meetings of the Advisory Commission are scheduled for the following at San Francisco and at Point Reyes Station, California:

Wednesday, February 28—San Francisco, CA
 Wednesday, March 20—San Francisco, CA
 Saturday, April 13—Point Reyes Station, CA
 Wednesday, April 17—San Francisco, CA
 Wednesday, May 15—San Francisco, CA
 Wednesday, June 19—San Francisco, CA
 Wednesday, July 17—San Francisco, CA
 Wednesday, September 18—San Francisco, CA or
 Saturday, September 21—Point Reyes Station, CA
 Wednesday, October 16—San Francisco, CA
 Wednesday, November 20—San Francisco, CA

All meetings of the Advisory Commission will be held at 7:30 p.m. at GGNRA Park Headquarters, Building 201, Fort Mason, Bay and Franklin Streets, San Francisco or at the Dance Palace, corner of 5th and B Streets, Point Reyes Station, California, unless otherwise noticed. The time for the meetings at Point Reyes Station will be noticed to the public at least 15 days prior to these meetings. Information confirming the time and location of all Advisory Commission meetings can be received by calling the Office of the Staff Assistant at (415) 556-4484.

The Advisory Commission was established by Public Law 92-589 to provide for the free exchange of ideas between the National Park Service and the public and to facilitate the solicitation of advice or other counsel from members of the public on problems pertinent to the National Park Service systems in Marin, San Francisco and San Mateo Counties. Members of the Commission are as follows:

Mr. Richard Bartke, Chairman
 Ms. Amy Meyer, Vice Chair
 Ms. Naomi T. Gray
 Dr. Howard Cogswell
 Mr. Michael Alexander
 Mr. Jerry Friedman
 Ms. Lennie Roberts
 Ms. Yvonne Lee
 Ms. Sonia Bolaños
 Mr. Trent Orr
 Mr. Redmond Kernan
 Ms. Jacqueline Young
 Mr. Merritt Robinson
 Mr. R. H. Sciaroni
 Mr. John J. Spring
 Dr. Edgar Wayburn
 Mr. Joseph Williams
 Mr. Mel Lane

Anticipated agenda items at meetings this year will include:

- Presidio Lobos Creek plans.
- update reports on the Presidio Trust legislation.
- presentation of the GGNRA Presidio Stewardship Program.
- review of Army environmental remediation at the Presidio.
- reports on work of the Golden Gate National Park Association.
- reports on programs and projects of GGNRA "Park Partners".
- status reports on the proposed Bay Area Ridge Trail.
- reports on GGNRA education programs.
- report on activities and operations of the GGNRA Special Use Permit office.
- presentation on plans for the northern waterfront at Crissy Field.
- report on Joint NPS/GG Bridge Museum at Bridge Toll Plaza.
- Cliff House Restoration Plan.
- report on the transition and long-range planning for East Fort Baker.
- GGNPA annual briefing.
- update on former Mill Valley AFS (Mount Tamalpais) cleanup.
- San Mateo issues: update on Phleger estate, status of Thornton Beach, SF Watershed issues.
- update on VA/Fort Miley park entrance issue.
- presentation of exotic removal in Olema Valley.
- joint operations agreement between State of California and NPS.
- Alcatraz After Hours Program.
- Fort Mason Reuse.
- updates on issues concerning management and planning at Point Reyes NS.

These meetings will also contain Superintendent's and Presidio General Manager's Reports.

Specific final agendas for these meetings will be made available to the public at least 15 days prior to each meeting and can be received by contacting the Office of the Staff Assistant, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, Building 201, Fort Mason, San Francisco, California 94123 or by calling (415) 556-4484.

These meetings are open to the public. They will be recorded for documentation and transcribed for dissemination. Minutes of the meetings will be available to the public after approval of the full Advisory Commission. A transcript will be available three weeks after each meeting. For copies of the minutes contact the Office of the Staff Assistant, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, Building 201, Fort Mason, San Francisco, California 94123.

Dated: January 9, 1996.

Brian O'Neill,

General Superintendent, Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

[FR Doc. 96-3567 Filed 2-15-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

Bureau of Reclamation

San Diego County Water Authority and City of San Diego's Water Repurification Project

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior and San Diego County Water Authority as Joints Leads for the Proposed Action.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare a draft environmental impact statement and notice of scoping meeting(s) for San Diego County Water Authority and City of San Diego's water repurification project.

SUMMARY: San Diego's Water Repurification Project involves the further treatment of about 20 million gallons per day of tertiary treated reclaimed water and transportation of this water to the City of San Diego's San Vicente Reservoir. Treatment of this water would occur at the North City Water Reclamation Plant (currently under construction), which upon completion, will treat waste water to tertiary levels. It is proposed to construct advanced water purification facilities at the North City Plant, which would treat the tertiary water from that plant to a level of purity which would allow the water to be stored in San Vicente Reservoir. At present, two potential alternatives pipeline routes from the North City Water Reclamation Plant to the reservoir have been identified: a northern route and a southern route. Specific locations for these potential routes have not as yet been determined. They, along with other reasonable routes, will be specified in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The repurified water would be stored in San Vicente Reservoir where it would mix with other water for eventual potable use. When needed, water from this reservoir would be pumped to the Alvarado Water Filtration Plant where the water would be treated prior to being distributed for potable water use.

DATE AND ADDRESSES: Scoping meetings are proposed for the project. However, the schedule and location of these scoping meetings have not as yet been determined. There will be ample notice given in the local papers of the times and locations of all scoping meetings.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Comments and questions should be

addressed to Mr. Del Kidd, Bureau of Reclamation, Lower Colorado Region, P.O. Box 61470, Boulder City, NV 89006-1470, telephone: (702) 293-8698.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The City and County of San Diego depend upon imported water for about 90 percent of their needs. Most of this water comes from the State Water Project and the Colorado River. It is estimated that by 2010 the demand for potable water will reach 900,000 acre-feet per year. Imported water currently accounts for 690,000 acre-feet with another 60,000 acre-feet from local supplies. This means that in the near future there will be a short fall of 150,000 acre-feet of demand over supply. Federal, State, and local entities are actively investigating and planning other potential water sources for the southern California region, such as other water reclamation projects, groundwater development, seawater desalination, and water conservation. Some of these will be implemented in the future; others are infeasible at this time. This proposed project is one of the more feasible options for meeting future water demands.

The Bureau of Reclamation is authorized to participate in this proposed project by Section 1612 of Public Law 102-575.

Dated: February 9, 1996.

Thomas Shrader,

Deputy Office Director, Resource Management and Technical Services.

[FR Doc. 96-3564 Filed 2-15-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-94-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. 94-62]

James W. Shore, M.D., Denial of Application

On July 6, 1994, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to James W. Shore, M.D., (Respondent) of Martin, Tennessee, notifying him of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not deny his pending application for registration as a practitioner, under 21 U.S.C. 823(f), as being inconsistent with the public interest. Specifically, the Order to Show Cause alleged, among other things, that (1) in May of 1991, the Respondent's medical license was placed on probation for two years, and his authority to handle Schedule II and III controlled substances was suspended

for one year, as a result of his prescribing Schedule II controlled substances and anabolic steroids in a manner which violated State law; and (2) on October 25, 1991, the Respondent entered a guilty plea in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Tennessee, to three felony counts of unlawfully prescribing a controlled substance, and he was sentenced to eighteen months probation and ordered to surrender his controlled substances registration.

On July 21, 1994, the Respondent, through counsel, filed a timely request for a hearing, and following prehearing procedures, a hearing was held in Memphis, Tennessee, on January 11, 1995, before Administrative Law Judge Mary Ellen Bittner. At the hearing both parties called witnesses to testify and introduced documentary evidence, and after the hearing, counsel for both sides submitted proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law and argument. On July 10, 1995, Judge Bittner issued her Opinion and Recommended Ruling, recommending that the Respondent's application for DEA registration be denied. Neither party filed exceptions to her decision, and on August 28, 1995, Judge Bittner transmitted the record of these proceedings to the Deputy Administrator.

The Deputy Administrator has considered the record in its entirety, and pursuant to 21 CFR 1316.67, hereby issues his final order based upon findings of fact and conclusions of law as hereinafter set forth. The Deputy Administrator adopts, in full, the Opinion and Recommended Ruling, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision of the Administrative Law Judge, and his adoption is in no manner diminished by any recitation of facts, issues and conclusions herein, or of any failure to mention a matter of fact or law.

The Deputy Administrator finds that on June 16, 1993, the Respondent signed a DEA Application for Registration as a practitioner, seeking registration to handle Schedules II through V controlled substances. On that application, the Respondent disclosed that he had had restrictions placed upon his practice of medicine and his prescribing of controlled substances. The parties do not contest the facts concerning the Respondent's past misconduct in prescribing controlled substances. Also, the parties have stipulated that (1) Biphedamine is a brand name for a product containing amphetamine, a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to 21 CFR 1308.12(d); (2) Percodan and Percocet are brand names for products containing

oxycodone, a Schedule II narcotic controlled substance pursuant to 21 CFR 1308.12(b); (3) Fastin is a brand name for a product containing phentermine hydrochloride, a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to 21 CFR 1308.14(e); (4) Tylox is a brand name for a product containing oxycodone, a Schedule II narcotic controlled substance pursuant to 21 CFR 1308.12(b); and (5) anabolic steroids are Schedule III controlled substances pursuant to 21 CFR 1308.13(f).

The Deputy Administrator specifically finds that on May 8, 1986, an undercover agent for the Tennessee Bureau of Investigation (TBI), received two prescriptions for Biphedamine from the Respondent for no legitimate medical purpose and not in the usual course of his professional practice, for the Respondent had failed to take a medical history, to conduct a physical examination of the agent and to diagnose a condition requiring such medication. On July 10, 1986, a second TBI agent received two prescriptions for Biphedamine from the Respondent for no legitimate medical purpose and not in the usual course of his professional practice, for again the Respondent had failed to conduct a physical examination or any other clinical tests, and he had failed to identify a medical condition requiring such a prescription. In the same manner, on June 16, 1986, an undercover police officer acquired from the Respondent two prescriptions for the controlled substance Fastin for no legitimate medical purpose and not in the usual course of professional practice. Tape recordings were made of the conversations between these law enforcement officials and the Respondent, and transcripts of these tape recordings were made a part of the record.

As part of its investigation of the Respondent's conduct, the Tennessee Board of Pharmacy conducted a prescription audit of prescriptions issued by the Respondent in Weakley County, Tennessee, from February of 1984 through February of 1987. This prescription audit was sent to Dr. Harbison, a research scientist, pharmacist, and teacher at the University of Arkansas, for his review and comment. Dr. Harbison wrote that the Respondent had prescribed controlled substances not in the usual course of medical practice to more than a dozen patients, concluding that "it is my opinion that after reviewing the prescription records, [the Respondent] did not prescribe [] Biphedamine, Tylox, Percocet, Percodan [], and Mepergan Fortis in a manner consistent with the usual course of medical practice."