FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Sandra M. Peay or Parcellena P.
Fielding, Contact Representatives,
Federal Trade Commission, Premerger
Notification Office, Bureau of
Competition, Room 303, Washington,
D.C. 20580, (202) 326-3100.

By Direction of the Commission.

## Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.
[FR Doc. 96-30219 Filed 11-26-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

## Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[30 DAY-23]

## Agency Forms Undergoing Paperwork Reduction Act Review

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) publishes a list of information collection requests under review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). To request a copy of these requests, call the CDC Reports Clearance Office on (404) 639-7090. Send written comments to CDC, Desk Officer; Human Resources and Housing Branch, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235; Washington, DC 20503. Written comments should be received within 30 days of this notice.

The following requests have been submitted for review since the last publication date on November 6, 1996.

## Proposed Projects

1. Studies of Adverse Reproductive Outcomes in Female Occupational Groups-(0920-0367)—Revised-An estimated 50,000 to 60,000 chemicals are in common use throughout society today and hundreds of new chemicals are introduced each year. Y et the list of environmental chemi cals and agents that have been investigated to determine whether they have adverse effects on reproductive health is still limited. With the growing number of women in the
work force, it is becoming increasingly important to eval uate the potential female reproductive heal th effects of occupational and physical agents.

In this program, NIOSH is planning to undertake a series of five studies to focus on potential reproductive effects of chemical and physical agents in the workplace. In the studies planned under this program, the reproductive heal th of a group of femal e workers exposed to the agent of interest, will be compared to the reproductive heal th of a group of working women with no occupational exposure to known or suspected reproductive toxicants.

For all studies, data from company personnel records containing demographic, and work history information will be used to estimate workplace exposures. Each woman will be asked to compl ete a tel ephone questionnai re on reproductive history and other factors (such as cigarette smoking) that may influence reproductive function. Each questionnai re will take approximately 60 minutes to complete. Medical records will be requested to confirm adverse reproductive outcomes reported by the participants. The risk of adverse reproductive outcomes between the two groups of women will then be compared.

The first study to be conducted under this program will be a study of reproductive disorders among female flight attendants. Approximately 66,000 flight attendants are currently employed by U.S. commercial airlines and are potentially exposed to ionizing radiation and disruption of circadian rhythms, two exposures that may adversely affect reproductive function. The other studies to be conducted under this program have not yet been determined. The total annual burden is 6,800.

| Respondents | Number <br> of re- <br> spond- <br> ents | Number <br> of re- <br> sponses/ <br> respond- <br> ent | Average <br> burden// <br> re- <br> sponse <br> (in hrs.) |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Workers ...... | 6,200 | 1 | 1 |

2. National Hospital Discharge Survey-(0920-0212)-Extension. The National Hospital Discharge Survey (NHDS), which has been conducted continuously by the National Center for Heal th Statistics, CDC, since 1965, is the principal source of data on inpatient utilization of short-stay, non-Federal hospitals and is the only annual source of nationally representative esti mates on the characteristics of discharges, the lengths of stay, diagnoses, surgical and non-surgical procedures, and the patterns of use of care in hospitals in various regions of the country. It is the benchmark against which special programmatic data sources are compared. Data collected through the NHDS are essential for eval uating health status of the population, for the planning of programs and policy to el evate the heal th status of the Nation, for studying morbidity trends, and for research activities in the health field. NHDS data have been used extensively in the production of goals for the Year 2000 Health Objectives and the subsequent monitoring of these goals. In addition, NHDS data provide annual updates for numerous tables in the Congressi onally-mandated NCHS report, Health, United States. Data for the NHDS are collected annually on approximately 275,000 discharges from a nationally representati ve sample of noninstitutional hospitals, exclusive of Federal, military and Veterans' Administration hospitals. The data items collected are the basic core of variables contained in the Uniform Hospital Discharge Data Set (UHDDS). Data for approximately half of the responding hospitals are abstracted from medical records while the remai nder of the hospitals supply data through commercial abstract service organizations, state data systems, inhouse tapes or printouts. There is no actual cost to respondents since hospital staff who actively participate in the data collection effort are compensated by the government for their time. The total annual burden is 2,513.

| Respondents | Number of respondents | Number of responses/ respondent | Average burden/response (in hrs.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical Record Abstracts Primary Procedure Hospitals | 77 | 250 | 0.0833 |
| Alternate Procedure Hospitals | 134 | 250 | 0.01666 |
| In-House Tape or Printout Hospitals | 103 | 12 | 0.18333 |
| Update Form (Abstract Service Hospitals) | 164 | 2 | 0.0333 |
| Quality Control Forms | 50 | 40 | 0.1666 |
| Induction Forms | 40 | 1 | 2 |

Dated November 20, 1996.
Wilma G. Johnson,
ActingAssociate Director for Policy, Planning And Evaluation, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).
[FR Doc. 96-30270 Filed 11-26-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4163-18-P

## Administration for Children and Families

Notice of Allotment Percentages for Child Welfare Services State Grants

Agencr: Administration on Children, Youth and Families, Administration for Children and Families, Department of Health and Human Services.
ACTION: Biennial publication of al lotment percentages for States under the Title IV-B subpart 1, Child Welfare Services State Grants Program.
SUMMARY: As required by section 421(c) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 621(c)), the Department is publishing the allotment percentage for each State under the Title IV-B subpart 1, Child Welfare Services State Grants Program. Under section 421(a), the allotment percentages are one of the factors used in the computation of the Federal grants awarded under the Program.
dates: Effective for Fiscal Y ears 1998 and 1999.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joanne Moore, Family Support Branch, Division of Formula, Entitlement and Block Grants, Office of Program Support, Administration for Children and Families, 370 L'Enfant Promenade, S.W., Washington D.C. 20447.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The allotment percentage for each State is determined on the basis of paragraphs
(b) and (c) of section 421 of the Act. The al lotment percentage for each State is as follows:

| State | Allotment percentage |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 58.72 |
| Alaska | 47.24 |
| Arizona | 56.11 |
| Arkansas | 61.15 |
| California | 47.95 |
| Colorado | 48.34 |
| Connecticut | 31.65 |
| Delaware | 43.56 |
| District of Columbia | 30.00 |
| Florida | 50.36 |
| Georgia | 53.36 |
| Hawaii | 45.69 |
| Idaho | 58.89 |
| Illinois | 45.73 |
| Indiana | 53.70 |
| Iowa | 55.13 |


| State | Allotment percentage |
| :---: | :---: |
| Kansas | 52.69 |
| Kentucky | 59.43 |
| Louisiana | 59.20 |
| Maine | 56.57 |
| Maryland | 42.88 |
| Massachusetts | 40.05 |
| Michigan | 49.21 |
| Minnesota | 48.43 |
| Mississippi | 64.32 |
| Missouri | 53.26 |
| Montana | 59.56 |
| Nebraska | 53.55 |
| Nevada | 47.19 |
| New Hampshire ....................... | 45.54 |
| New Jersey ... | 35.42 |
| New Mexico | 60.99 |
| New York | 40.50 |
| North Carolina | 54.72 |
| North Dakota | 59.47 |
| Ohio | 51.78 |
| Oklahoma | 59.52 |
| Oregon. | 53.73 |
| Pennsylvania | 49.11 |
| Rhode Island | 49.00 |
| South Carolina | 59.33 |
| South Dakota | 57.67 |
| Tennessee | 54.88 |
| Texas | 54.22 |
| Utah | 60.97 |
| Vermont | 54.16 |
| Virginia | 48.09 |
| Washington | 48.44 |
| West Virginia | 61.75 |
| Wisconsin | 52.18 |
| Wyoming | 54.67 |
| American Samoa | 70.00 |
| Guam | 70.00 |
| Northern Marianas | 70.00 |
| Puerto Rico | 70.00 |
| Virgin Islands ............................. | 70.00 |

Dated: November 18, 1996.
James A. Harrell,
Deputy Commissioner, Administration for Children, Youth and Families.
[FR Doc. 96-30285 Filed 11-26-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4184-01-P

Federal Allotments to States for Social Services Expenditures, Pursuant to Title XX, Block Grants to States for Social Services; Revised Promulgation for Fiscal Year 1997
AGENCY: Administration for Children and Families, Department of Health and Human Services.
ACTION: Notification of revised allocation of title XX—social services block grant allotments for Fiscal Y ear 1997.
summary: The Federal al lotments to states for social services under title XX of the Social Security Act were first published on December 1, 1995 and based upon the authorization amount of $\$ 2.8$ million. The second notice was
published on September 13, 1996 based upon legislation which decreased the authorization amount to $\$ 2.380$ million. This notice promul gates the funds actual ly appropriated for fiscal year 1997 in the amount of $\$ 2.5$ million. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Frank A. Burns, (202) 401-5536. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For Fiscal Year 1997, the al lotments are based upon the Bureau of Census population statistics contai ned in its reports "Updated National/State Population Esti mates" (CB95-39 Table 1) released March 1, 1995, and " 1990 Census of Population and Housing" (CPH-6-AS and CPH-6-CNMI) published A pril 1992, which was the most recent data available from the Department of Commerce at the time of the
Department's initial promulgation.
effective date: The allotments are effective October 1, 1996.

Fiscal Year 1997 Revised Federal Allotments to States for SoCial Services-Title XX Block GRANTS

|  | Appropriation level |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total | \$2,500,000,000 |
| Alabama | 40,287,742 |
| Alaska | 5,786,767 |
| American Samoa | 93,025 |
| Arizona | 38,912,667 |
| Arkansas | 23,423,994 |
| California | 300,138,416 |
| Colorado | 34,911,586 |
| Connecticut | 31,273,371 |
| Delaware | 6,741,680 |
| Dist. of Col. | 5,442,999 |
| Florida | 133,238,883 |
| Georgia | 67,369,047 |
| Guam | 431,035 |
| Hawaii | 11,258,414 |
| Idaho | 10,819,153 |
| Illinois | 112,221,268 |
| Indiana | 54,926,543 |
| lowa | 27,014,462 |
| Kansas | 24,388,455 |
| Kentucky | 36,544,485 |
| Louisiana | 41,204,456 |
| Maine | 11,840,910 |
| Maryland | 47,802,899 |
| Massachusetts | 57,686,239 |
| Michigan ........................ | 90,678,451 |
| Minnesota | 43,610,835 |
| Mississippi | 25,486,604 |
| Missouri | 50,400,259 |
| Montana | 8,174,047 |
| Nebraska | 15,498,224 |
| Nevada | 13,913,068 |
| New Hampshire ............. | 10,857,350 |
| New Jersey | 75,476,251 |
| New Mexico | 15,794,246 |
| New York | 173,497,976 |
| North Carolina | 67,512,284 |
| North Dakota | 6,092,339 |
| No. Mariana Islands ........ | 86,207 |
| Ohio ....................... | 106,014,339 |

