implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a 'significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a ''significant rule'' under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the final evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:

96–24–05 Aerospace Technologies of Australia (ASTA): Amendment 39–9831; Docket No. 95–CE–93–AD.

Applicability: Nomad Models N22B, N22S, and N24A airplanes with the following serial numbers, certificated in any category.

Nomad N22B and N22S

N22B-5M, N22B-6M, N22B-7, N22B-11M, N22B-12M, N22B-15M, N22B-16M, N22B-16M, N22B-21M, N22B-21M, N22B-23M, N22B-25, N22B-27, N22B-31M, N22B-35, N22B-37, N22B-50, N22B-53, N22B-56, N22B-57, N22B-58, N22B-59, N22B-61, N22B-65M, N22B-66, N22B-67M, N22B-88, N22B-85M, N22S-86, N22B-87, N22B-88M, N22S-90, N22B-91M, N22S-92, N22B-93, N22B-95, N22B-97M, N22B-100M, N22B-102, N22B-103, and N22B-104

Nomad N24A

N24A-44, N24A-46, N24A-62, N24A-64, N24A-71, N24A-72, N24A-73, N24A-74, N24A-75, N24A-76, N24A-77, N24A-78, and N24A-79

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it. Compliance: Required within 1 year after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent corrosion and water accumulation in the flap and aileron control rod fork ends, which, if not detected and corrected, could cause loss of control of the flaps and aileron and possible loss of control of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Inspect for corrosion and water accumulation inside the internally drilled holes of the flap and aileron control rod fork ends in accordance with the

Accomplishment Instructions section of Nomad Service Bulletin (SB) NMD–27–24, dated October 8, 1982.

- (b) If corrosion is present, prior to further flight, replace the control rod fork ends, part number (P/N) 1/N–45–351 or P/N 1/N–45–1059, and seal the drilled holes in accordance with the *Accomplishment Instructions* section of Nomad SB NMD–27–24, dated October 8, 1982.
- (c) If no corrosion is present, prior to further flight, seal the drilled holes to prevent future corrosion in accordance with the *Accomplishment Instructions* section of Nomad SB NMD–27–24, dated October 8, 1982.
- (d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.
- (e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, 3960 Paramount Blvd., Lakewood, California. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office.

(f) The inspection, modification, or replacement required by this AD shall be done in accordance with Nomad Service Bulletin NMD–27–24, dated October 8, 1982. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained

from Aerospace Technologies of Australia, Limited, ASTA DEFENCE, Private Bag No. 4, Beach Road Lara 3212, Victoria, Australia. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(g) This amendment (39–9831) becomes effective on January 17, 1997.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on November 13, 1996.

ames E. Jackson,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 96-29721 Filed 11-21-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 95-CE-62-AD; Amendment 39-9832; AD 96-24-07]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; HOAC Austria Model DV-20 Katana Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to certain HOAC Austria Model DV-20 Katana airplanes. This action requires replacing the muffler with one of improved design, installing a heat shield around the exhaust system endpipe, and adjusting the airplane weight and balance. This AD results from reports of cracks in the welding joint that connects the exhaust system endpipe to the muffler on three of the affected airplanes. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent separation of the exhaust system endpipe from the muffler because of cracks in the welding that connects these parts, which could result in heat damage to the electrical system and engine controls.

DATES: Effective January 17, 1997.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of January 17, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Service information that applies to this AD may be obtained from HOAC Austria Ges.m.b.H., N.A. Otto-Strabe 5, A–2700, Wiener Neustadt. This information may also be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95–CE–62–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; or at the Office of the

Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Greg Holt, Program Manager, Brussels Aircraft Certification Division, FAA, Europe, Africa, and Middle East Office, c/o American Embassy, B–1000 Brussels, Belgium; telephone (32 2) 508.2692; facsimile (32 2) 230.6899; or Mr. Robert Alpiser, Project Officer, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, FAA, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64105; telephone (816) 426–6934; facsimile (816) 426–2169.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Events Leading to the Issuance of This AD

A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an AD that would apply certain HOAC Austria Model DV-20 Katana airplanes was published in the Federal Register on August 22, 1996 (61 FR 43317). The action proposed to require replacing the muffler with one of improved design, installing a heat shield around the exhaust system endpipe, and adjusting the airplane weight and balance. Accomplishment of the proposed muffler replacement as specified in the notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) would be in accordance with the applicable maintenance manual; accomplishment of the proposed heat shield installation as specified in the NPRM would be in accordance with Drawing No. DV2-7800R01-00, as referenced in HOAC Austria Service Bulletin (SB) No. 20-7/ 2, dated September 8, 1994; and accomplishment of the weight and balance adjustment as specified in the NPRM would be in accordance with HOAC Austria SB No. 20-7/2, dated September 8, 1994.

The NPRM resulted from reports of cracks in the welding joint that connects the exhaust system endpipe to the muffler on three of the affected airplanes.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. No comments were received on the proposed rule or the FAA's determination of the cost to the public.

The FAA's Determination

After careful review of all available information related to the subject presented above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed except for minor editorial corrections. The FAA has determined that these minor corrections

will not change the meaning of the AD and will not add any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed.

Compliance Time of This AD

The FAA has determined that an interval of three calendar months is an appropriate compliance time to address the identified unsafe condition in a timely manner. This compliance time was deemed appropriate after considering the safety implications, the average utilization rate of the affected fleet, and the availability of the replacement parts.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 5 airplanes in the U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 1 workhour per airplane to accomplish the required action, and that the average labor rate is approximately \$60 an hour. HOAC Austria will provide parts at no cost to the affected airplane owners/operators. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$300 or \$60 per airplane. The FAA is unaware of any affected airplane that already has the required muffler replacement and heat shield installation.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the final evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 USC 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:

96-24-07 HOAC Austria: Amendment 39-9832; Docket No. 95-CE-62-AD.

Applicability: Model DV–20 Katana airplanes, serial numbers 20005 through 20078, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required within the next three calendar months after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent separation of the exhaust system endpipe from the muffler because of cracks in the welding that connects these parts, which could result in heat damage to the electrical system and engine controls, accomplish the following:

(a) For any Model DV–20 Katana airplane incorporating a serial number in the range of 20005 through 20078, replace the muffler with one that incorporates a type "f" endpipe. The letter "F" is stamped on the endpipe of these type "f" parts. Accomplish this action in accordance with HOAC Austria Maintenance Manual, Doc No. 4.02.02.

(b) For any Model DV–20 Katana airplane incorporating a serial number in the range of 20005 through 20058, accomplish the following:

(1) Install a heat shield in accordance with Drawing No. DV2–7800R01–00, as referenced in HOAC Austria Service Bulletin (SB) No. 20–7/2, dated September 8, 1994.

(2) Adjust the mass (weight) and center of gravity (CG) in accordance with the instructions in HOAC Austria SB No. 20–7/2, dated September 8, 1994.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR

21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Brussels Aircraft Certification Division, FAA, Europe, Africa, and Middle East Office, c/o American Embassy, B-1000 Brussels, Belgium. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Brussels Aircraft Certification

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Brussels Aircraft Certification Division.

(e) The installation required by this AD shall be done in accordance with HOAC Drawing No. DV2-7800R01-00, as referenced in HOAC Austria Service Bulletin No. 20-7/ 2, dated September 8, 1994. The adjustment required by this AD shall be done in accordance with HOAC Austria Service Bulletin No. 20-7/2, dated September 8, 1994. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from HOAC Austria Ges.m.b.H., N.A. Otto-Strabe 5, A-2700, Wiener Neustadt. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(f) This amendment (39-9832) becomes effective on January 17, 1997.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on November 15, 1996.

Michael Gallagher,

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 96-29862 Filed 11-21-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 96-ASW-29]

Revocation of Class D Airspace; Blytheville, AR

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule; Request for

comments.

SUMMARY: This action revokes the Class D airspace at Blytheville, AR. The decommissioning of the Blytheville, Arkansas International Airport control tower removes the need for Class D airspace extending upward from the surface to, but not including, 2,800 feet Mean Sea Level (MSL) within a 4.6-mile radius of the airport. This action is intended to revoke the unnecessary Class D airspace.

EFFECTIVE DATE: 0901 UTC, December 9,

Comment Date: Comments must be received on or before January 21, 1997. **ADDRESSES:** Send comments on the rule in triplicate to Manager, Operations Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration Southwest Region, Docket No. 96-ASW-29, Fort Worth, TX 76193-0530.

The official docket may be examined in the Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, 2601 Meacham Boulevard, Room 663, Fort Worth, TX, between 9:00 AM and 3:00 PM, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. An informal docket may also be examined during normal business hours at the Operations Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, Room 414, Forth Worth, TX. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Donald J. Day, Operations Branch, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, Fort Worth, TX 76193-0530, telephone 817-222-5593.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Request for Comments on the Rule

Although this action is a final rule, which involves the revocation of Class D airspace at Blytheville, AR, and was not preceded by notice and public procedure, comments are invited on the rule. However, after the review of any comments and, if the FAA finds that further changes are appropriate, it will initiate rulemaking proceedings to extend the effective date or to amend the regulation.

Interested parties are invited to participate in this rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in evaluating the effects of the rule, and in determining whether additional rulemaking is required.

Class D airspace designations are published in Paragraph 5000 of FAA Order 7400.9D dated September 4, 1996, and effective September 16, 1996, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class D airspace designation listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

The Rule

This amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) revokes the Class D airspace, providing controlled airspace for terminal instrument operations, located at Blytheville, Arkansas International Airport, AR. The current Class D airspace was supported by a control tower, which was decommissioned following the closure of Eaker Air Force Base, subsequently renamed Blytheville, Arkansas International Airport.

Since this action merely involves the revocation of Class D airspace as a result of closing the airport control tower, notice and public procedure under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) are unnecessary. Since there will no longer be a control tower at Blytheville, Arkansas International Airport, the Class D airspace must be removed to avoid confusion on the part of the pilots flying in the vicinity of the airport, and to promote the safe and efficient handling of air traffic in the area. Therefore, I find that notice and public procedure under 5 U.S.C. 553 are unnecessary and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than thirty days.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations that need frequent and routine amendments to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

Adoption of the Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. app. 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854; 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959-1963 Comp., p. 389; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 14 CFR 11.69.

§71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9D, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated September 4, 1996, and