Department's Position: We agree with the Committee that Myung Jin previously received a rate of 1.51 percent. This is the rate assigned to it in the 1992–1994 administrative review and remains the rate applicable to Myung Jin, given that it did not make shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.

### Final Results of Review

We determine the following percentage weighted-average margins exist for the period March 1, 1994, through February 28, 1995:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Atlantic & Pacific	1.51
Boo Kook Corporation	1.51
Chun Kee Steel & Wire Rope	0.04
Co., Ltd.	0.01 0.04
Chung Woo Rope Co., Ltd	
Dae Heung Industrial Co	(1)
Dae Kyung Metal	1.51
Dong-II Metal Dong-II Steel Manufacturing Co.,	1.51
Ltd	1.51
Dong Young Rope	1.51
Hanboo Wire Rope, Inc	1.51
Jinyang Wire Rope, Inc	1.51
Korea Sangsa Co	(1)
Korope Co	1. <del>`</del>
Kumho Rope	0.01
Kwang Shin Ind	1.51
Kwangshin Rope	1.51
Manho Rope & Wire, Ltd	0.00
Myung Jin Co	(2) 1.51
Seo Hae Ind	`´1.51
Seo Jin Rope	1.51
Ssang Yong Steel Wire Co., Ltd	0.06
Sung Jin	0.00
Sungsan Special Steel Process-	
ing Inc	(1)
TSK (Korea) Co., Ltd	(¹)
Yeonsin Metal	0.18( <sup>2</sup> )

<sup>1</sup>No shipments subject to this review. The firm has no individual rate from any segment of this proceeding.

<sup>2</sup> No shipments subject to this review. Rate is from the last relevant segment of the proceeding in which the firm had shipments/sales.

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between export price and normal value may vary from the percentages stated above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions on each exporter directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be those rates

established above (except that, if the rate for a firm is *de minimis, i.e.*, less than 0.5 percent, a cash deposit of zero will be required for that firm); (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review or the original investigation, the cash deposit rate will be 1.51 percent, the "All Others" rate established in the LTFV Final Determination (58 FR 11029).

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d)(1). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: October 22, 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–27858 Filed 10–29–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A-588-054, A-588-604]

Tapered Roller Bearings, Four Inches or Less in Outside Diameter, and Components Thereof, From Japan, and Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From Japan; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Correction; Notice of Opportunity to Request Administrative Review of Antidumping Finding and Antidumping Duty Order.

## Background

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspension of an investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, may request, in accordance with section 353.22 or 355.22 of the Department of Commerce (the Department) Regulations (19 CFR 353.22 and 355.22) that the Department conduct an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation. On October 1, 1996, the Department published in the Federal Register its "Notice of Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" and invited interested parties to request an administrative review of the listed antidumping and countervailing duty orders, findings or suspended investigations (61 FR 51259). However, the listed cases did not include the antidumping finding on tapered roller bearings (TRBs), four inches or less in outside diameter, and components thereof, from Japan (A-588-054)

Not later than October 31, 1996, interested parties may request administrative review of either the antidumping finding on TRBs, four inches or less in outside diameter, and components thereof or the antidumping duty order on TRBs and parts thereof from Japan (A–588–604) for the period October 1, 1995 through September 30 1996.

In accordance with sections 353.22(a) of the Department's regulations, an interested party as defined by section 353.2(k) may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. Section 353.22(a)(1) requires that an interested party must specify the individual producers or resellers for which they are requesting a review, and the requesting party must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or resellers.

Seven copies of the request should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room B-099, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230. The Department also asks parties to serve a copy of their requests to the Office of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement, Attention: Sheila Forbes, in Room 3064 of the main Commerce building. Further, in accordance with section 353.31(g) of the regulations, a copy of each request must be served on every party on the Department's service list.

The Department will publish in the Federal Register a notice of "Initiation of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," for requests received by October 31, 1996. If the Department does not receive by October 31, 1996 a request for review of entries covered by the order or finding listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct the Customs Service to assess antidumping duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of, or bond for, estimated antidumping duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

This notice is not required by the statute, but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: October 23, 1996.
Roland L. MacDonald,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for
Enforcement Group III.
[FR Doc. 96–27770 Filed 10–29–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### **International Trade Administration**

## Cornell University; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 96–087. Applicant: Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853. Instrument: Scanning Tunneling Microscope, Model JSTM–4500. Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 61 FR 46783, September 5, 1996.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides: (1) an ultra-high vacuum STM chamber operable to  $2\times 10^{-8}$  Pa or less and (2) resolution of 0.14 nm (horizontal) with drift ≤0.05 nm/s at a sample temperature of 30K. A National Science Foundation engineering research center advises that (1) these capabilities are pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States. Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 96–27774 Filed 10–29–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

### **International Trade Administration**

# Mayo Foundation; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 96–084. Applicant: Mayo Foundation, Rochester, MN 55905. Instrument: IR Mass Spectrometer with Gas Sampling Inlet, Model TracerMAT. Manufacturer: Finnigan MAT, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 61 FR 46782, September 5, 1996.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides: (1) a magnetic sector analyzer with three Faraday collectors tuned to isotopically labelled CO<sub>2</sub>, (2) an autosampler gas chromatograph designed specifically to separate CO<sub>2</sub> from other gases in breath samples and (3) a precision of 0.3 per mil. Two domestic manufacturers of similar

equipment advise that (1) these capabilities are pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) they know of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States. Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 96–27861 Filed 10–29–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## National Institutes of Health, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 96–085. Applicant:
National Institutes of Health, Bethesda,
MD 20892. Instrument: Electron
Microscope, Model CM 120.
Manufacturer: Philips, The Netherlands.
Intended Use: See notice at 61 FR
46782, September 5, 1996. Order Date:
March 5, 1996.

Docket Number: 96–088. Applicant: The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model JEM–2010. Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 61 FR 46783, September 5, 1996. Order Date: September 30, 1993.

Docket Number: 96–093. Applicant: The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model CM300. Manufacturer: Philips, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 61 FR 49113, September 18, 1996. Order Date: December 5, 1995.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. Reasons: Each foreign instrument is a conventional transmission electron microscope (CTEM) and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring a CTEM. We know of no CTEM, or any