Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106 (g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Fokker: Docket 95-NM-59-AD.

Applicability: Model F28 Mark 0100 airplanes; having serial numbers 11244 through 11420 inclusive, 11422, 11424 through 11428 inclusive, 11432 through 11439 inclusive, and 11443 through 11445 inclusive; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified. altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent reduced structural integrity of the horizontal stabilizer, accomplish the following:

Note 2: Inspections and modifications accomplished prior to the effective date of this amendment in accordance with Fokker Service Bulletin SBF100-55-021, Revision 1, dated September 6, 1993, are considered acceptable for compliance with the

inspections and modifications required by this amendment.

(a) Prior to the accumulation of 15,000 total flight cycles, or within 1 year after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later: Perform a rotor probe inspection and a pencil probe inspection to detect cracking of the Hi-lok bolt holes in the main hinge fittings of the horizontal stabilizer, in accordance with Part 5 of the Accomplishment Instructions of Fokker Service Bulletin SBF100-55-021, Revision 2, dated December 27, 1993. This inspection is not required for airplanes that have been modified as specified in paragraph (b) of this AD, provided that the modification is accomplished prior to the accumulation of 1,000 total flight cycles.

(b) Either prior to the accumulation of 1,000 total flight cycles; or prior to further flight after the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD if, as a result of that inspection, no cracking is found, or all cracks that are found are less than or equal to the values specified in the Decision Diagram (Figure 2) of Fokker Service Bulletin SBF100-55-021, Revision 2, dated December 27, 1993: Accomplish the modification requirements specified in paragraph (b)(1) and (b)(2) of this AD.

(1) Modify the main hinge fittings of the horizontal stabilizer; and replace or modify the connecting angles at Rib 215, as applicable; in accordance with Fokker Service Bulletin SBF100-55-021, Revision 2, dated December 27, 1993, and as specified in either paragraph (b)(1)(i) or (b)(1)(ii) of this AD, as applicable.

(i) For airplanes that have accumulated less than 1,000 total flight cycles at the time of modification: Accomplish the modification in accordance with either Part 3 or Part 4 of the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin, as applicable.

(ii) For airplanes that have accumulated 1,000 or more total flight cycles at the time of modification: Accomplish the modification in accordance with either Part 6 or Part 7 of the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin, as applicable.

(2) Modify Rib 215 of the horizontal stabilizer to close the lightening holes in accordance with Part 8 of the Accomplishment Instructions of Fokker Service Bulletin SBF100-55-021, Revision 2, dated December 27, 1993.

(c) If any cracking is found as a result of the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, and the cracking exceeds the values specified in the Decision Diagram (Figure 2) of Fokker Service Bulletin SBF100-55-021, Revision 2, dated December 27, 1993: Prior to further flight, repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM–113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM-113. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM-113.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Standardization Branch,

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on February 7, 1996.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 96-3151 Filed 2-12-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[IL106-1-6707b; FRL-5411-4]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Illinois

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The United States **Environmental Protection Agency** (USEPA) proposes to approve Illinois' State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision request, submitted on May 5, 1995, and May 26, 1995, which tightens the stringency of Volatile Organic Matter emission limitations for certain surface coating operations in the Chicago and Metro-East St. Louis ozone nonattainment areas. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the USEPA is approving this action as a direct final rule without prior proposal because USEPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If USEPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. USEPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before March 14, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be mailed to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Regulation Development Branch (AR18– J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the State submittal and USEPA's analysis of it are available for inspection at: Regulation Development Section, Regulation Development Branch (AR18–J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mark J. Palermo, Regulation Development Section, Regulation Development Branch (AR18–J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–6082.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: January 12, 1996. Valdas V. Adamkus, Regional Administrator. [FR Doc. 96–3085 Filed 2–12–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

40 CFR Part 52

[WI54-01-7000b; FRL-5416-3]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plan; Wisconsin

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve a revision to the Wisconsin State Implementation Plan (SIP) for ozone that was submitted on June 30, 1994, and supplemented on July 15, 1994. This revision consists of a volatile organic compound (VOC) regulation which establishes reasonably available control technology (RACT) for iron and steel foundries. This regulation was submitted to address, in part, the requirement of section 182(b)(2)(C) of the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act) that States revise their SIPs to establish RACT regulations for major sources of VOCs for which the USEPA has not issued a control technology guidelines (CTG) document. In addition, emission reductions resulting from this rule are being used by the State to fulfill, in part, the requirement of section 182(b)(1) of the Act that States submit a plan which provides for a 15 percent reduction in VOC emissions by 1996. In the final rules section of this Federal Register,

the EPA is approving this action as a direct final without prior proposal because EPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed action must be received by March 14, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: Carlton T. Nash, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Toxics and Radiation Branch (AT–18J), EPA, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604– 3590

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen D'Agostino, (312) 886–1767.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, see the Direct Final rule which is located in the Rules section of this Federal Register. Copies of the request and the EPA's analysis are available for inspection at the following address: (Please telephone Kathleen D'Agostino at (312) 886–1767 before visiting the Region 5 office.) EPA, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604–3590.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q.
Dated: January 22, 1996.
Valdas V. Adamkus,
Regional Administrator.
[FR Doc. 96–3083 Filed 2–12–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 140-5-7275b; FRL-5402-6]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision; Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District; Kern County Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which

concern the control of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from organic liquid storage and loading, and petroleum sumps, pits and well cellars. The intended effect of proposing approval of these rules is to regulate emissions of VOCs in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by March 14, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Daniel A. Meer, Rulemaking Section (A–5–3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Copies of the rule revisions and EPA's evaluation report of each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are also available for inspection at the following locations: California Air Resources Board,

Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

Kern County Air Pollution Control District, 2700 M Street, Suite 290, Bakersfield, CA 93301.

Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District, 26 Castilian Drive, B– 23, Goleta, CA 93117.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mae Wang, Rulemaking Section (A–5–3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901, Telephone: (415) 744–1200.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document concerns Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District (SBCAPCD) Rule 344, Petroleum