October 4, 1996 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 5, 1996 (61 FR 15251).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-FHW-C40138-NY Rating LO, NY-17 Highway Conversion from a Partial to a Full Access Control Facility, Five-Mile Point to Occanum and NY-17 Rehabilitation or Reconstruction, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Towns of Kirkwood and Windsor, Broome County, NY.

Summary: EPA believed that the proposed project will not result in significant adverse environmental impacts. EPA had no objection to its implementation.

ERP No. D-GSA-C81017-NY Rating EC2, US Brooklyn Court Project, Demolition of the Emanuel Celler Federal Building, Construction of a New Courthouse and Renovation/Adaptive Reuse of the General Post Office at Cadman Plaza East, Kings County, NY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the project's potential impacts to air quality and lead and asbestos abatement activities. Additional information is requested in the final EIS to address these concerns.

ERP No. D-MMS-E02008–00 Rating LO, Central and Western Planing Areas, Gulf of Mexico 1997 Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Sales 166 (March 1997) and 168 (August 1997) Lease Offering, Offshore Marine Environment and coastal counties, Parishes of AL, MS, TX and LA.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the selection of the preferred alternative; however, we would ask that MMS provide commitment in the Record of Decision to incorporate mitigation stipulations on live bottom, topographic features, archaeological resources, and military areas.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-FHW-E40256–AL Southern Bypass and Weatherly Road Extension Project, Hobbs Island Road to I–565 Interchange, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, City of Huntsville, Madison County, AL.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern regarding the impact to wetlands. EPA requested that coordination continues as the mitigation plan is finalized.

ERP No. F-FHW-E40762–AL Montgomery Outer Loop Construction, US 80 southwest of Montgomery to I–85 east of Montgomery, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Montgomery County, AL.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the loss of wetland and forest resources. EPA requested that coordination continue as the mitigation plan is finalized.

ERP No. F-FHW-G40141-OK Canadian River Bridge Crossing Construction, OK-37 east of Tuttle northward to OK-152 in or near Mustang, Funding, COE Section 404 and EPA NPDES Permits Issuance, Canadian and Grady Counties, OK.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the selection of the preferred plan of action and has no other comment to offer.

ERP No. F–NPS–K65180–CA Lava Beds National Monument, General Management Plan, Implementation, Siskiyou and Modoc Counties, CA.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–USN–A11073–00 United States Navy Shipboard Solid Waste Disposal, Implementation, MARPOL Special Areas: Designation Baltic Sea, North Sea, Wilder Caribbean, Antarctic Ocean, Mediterranean Sea, Black Sea and Red Sea, Gulfs Region: Persian Gulf and Gulf of Oman.

Summary: EPA continued to have environmental concerns with allowing waste disposal in the Antarctic Ocean in view of the Protocol on Environmental Protection to the Antarctic Treaty, recently ratified by the United States. EPA also questioned allowing disposal in the Baltic and North Seas, urged the Navy to restrict discharges near sensitive ecosystems and recommend research designed to assure full Navy compliance with MARPOL Annex V.

ERP No. F–USN–K11069–CA Port Hueneme Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory (NCEL) Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, Ventura County, CA.

Summary: The Final EIS responds to our concerns, which involved air quality and NEPA issues, therefore EPA had no objection to the proposed action.

Dated: October 15, 1996.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96–26820 Filed 10–17–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U [FRL-5637-6]

National Advisory Council for Environmental Policy and Technology—Total Maximum Daily Load Committee; Public Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: Under the Federal Advisory Committee Act, PL 92463, EPA gives notice of a three-day meeting of the National Advisory Council for Environmental Policy and Technology's (NACEPT) Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Committee. NACEPT provides advice and recommendations to the Administrator of EPA on a broad range of environmental policy issues. The TMDL Committee has been charged to provide recommendations for actions which will lead to a substantially more effective TMDL program. This meeting is being held to enable the Committee and EPA to hear the views and obtain the advice of a widely diverse group of stakeholders in the national Water Program.

DATES: The three-day public meeting will be held on Tuesday, November 19, 1996, Wednesday, November 20, 1996, and Thursday, November 21, 1996 beginning at 8:30 a.m. each day. The meeting is scheduled to adjourn at 5:30 p.m. on November 19 and 20, and at 2:30 p.m. on November 21.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Hilton Washington Dulles Airport, 13869 Park Center Road, Herndon, Virginia.

Materials, or written comments, may be transmitted to the Committee through Corinne S. Wellish, Designated Federal Official, NACEPT/TMDL, U.S. EPA, Office of Water, Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds, Assessment and Watershed Protection Division (4503F), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Corinne S. Wellish, Designated Federal Official for the Total Maximum Daily Load Committee at 202–260–0740.

Dated: October 10, 1996.

Corinne S. Wellish,

Designated Federal Official.

[FR Doc. 96-26815 Filed 10-17-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[OPP-00453; FRL-5571-5]

Food Safety Advisory Committee; Open Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: As required by section 9(c) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92–463), EPA's Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) is giving notice of the second meeting of the Food Safety Advisory Committee (FSAC). **DATES:** This meeting will take place October 22–23, 1996.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at: The Washington Marriott, 1221, 22nd St., NW., Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Margie Fehrenbach, Designated Federal Officer or Carol Peterson, Office of Pesticide Programs (7501C), Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location and telephone number: Room 1119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202, (703) 305–7090; e-mail: Peterson.Carol@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA), signed into law on August 3, 1996, (Public Law 104–170) amends the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA) to provide greater protection for U.S. consumers, particularly infants and children.

EPA formed the FSAC as a subcommittee under the auspicies of the National Advisory Council for Environmental Policy and Technology (NACEPT) to provide a structured environment for exchange of information and ideas on regulatory, policy, and implementation issues. These discussions will assist EPA in the implementation of the new food safety statute and are essential if EPA is to be responsive to the needs of the public and the affected industry.

II. Participation

The FSAC is composed of a balanced group of participants from the following sectors: pesticide user and commodity groups; environmental/public interest groups, including the general public; federal and state governments; academia; industry; the public health community; and congressional offices. FSAC meetings are open to the public. Outside statements by observers are welcome. Oral statements will be limited to five minutes, and it is preferred that only one person per organization present the statement. Any person who wishes to file a written statement can do so before or after an FSAC meeting. These statements will

become part of the permanent file and will be provided to FSAC members for their information.

Materials related to the Food Safety Advisory Committee are maintained in a public record. These materials are available for inspection from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The public record is located in Rm. 1132 of the Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

III. Meeting Schedule

The topics to be discussed are at this meeting are: Consideration of pesticide benefits under FQPA; reduced risk, Integrated Pest Management (IPM), and Pollution Prevention; minor use pesticide issues; communication and right-to-know requirements; and human health risk issues associated with exposure from various pesticide products that have a common mode of action, as well as aggregate exposure to pesticides (e.g., dietary, drinking water, swimming pools; residential aggregate exposure including dietary, drinking water, in the home, lawns, gardens and recreational areas).

A third meeting is now planned for November 14–15, 1996. Meeting location and agenda topics will be published prior to the meeting. To receive an agenda for this (Oct 22–23) meeting please write or call one of the people listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection.

Dated: October 15, 1996.

Daniel M. Barolo,

Director, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 96–26916 Filed 10–17–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collections Being Reviewed by FCC for Extension Under Delegated Authority 5 CFR Part 1320 Authority, Comments Requested

October 11, 1996.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this

opportunity to comment on the following proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commissions burden estimates; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

The FCC is reviewing the following information collection requirements for possible 3-year extension under delegated authority 5 CFR part 1320, authority delegated to the Commission by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before December 17, 1996. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Dorothy Conway, Federal Communications Commission, Room 234, 1919 M St., NW., Washington, DC 20554 or via internet to dconway@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Dorothy Conway at 202–418–0217 or via internet at dconway@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Number: 3060–0176 Title: Section 73.1510, Experimental authorizations

Form Number: None

Type of Review: Extension

Respondents: Business or other forprofit

Number of Respondents: 30 Estimated time per response: 15 minutes (respondent time)—5 hours (consulting engineer)

Total annual burden: 8 hours