Issued in Washington, DC, on September 30, 1996. Joseph A. Conte,

Acting Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

# Petitions for Exemption

Docket No.: 28669. Petitioner: Elsinore LP. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 25.857(e), 25.785(d), and 25.813(b).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit the carriage of supernumerary passengers on an all-freighter airplane, pursuant to issuance of a Supplemental Type Certificate for the conversion of Airbus A300B2/B4–200 series and A300–600 series aircraft from passenger to freighter configuration.

**Dispositions of Petitions** 

Docket No.: 010SW.

*Petitioner:* McDonnell Douglas Helicopter Systems.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 27.1(a).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit an increase in maximum gross weight of the McDonnell Douglas Helicopter Systems Model MD900 from 6,000 to 7,000 pounds while maintaining the original normal category rotorcraft certification. Grant, September 5, 1996, Exemption No. 6505.

Docket No.: 22872.

*Petitioner:* Air Transport Association of America (ATA).

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.157(a); Item I(b) of appendix A to part 61; 121.424 (a), (b) and (d) (1); Item I(a) of appendix E to part 121; and Item I(b) of appendix F to part 121.

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To extend Exemption 4416 to continue to permit ATA member airlines and other qualifying part 121 certificate holders to conduct training and checking of pilots of airplanes requiring only two flight crewmembers on the required preflight inspection, both interior and exterior, using approved pictorial means. Grant, August 16, 1996, Exemption No. 4416F.

Docket No.: 23653.

Petitioner: University of North Dakota. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 141 Appendixes A, C, D, F, and H.

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To extend and amend Exemption 3825 to continue to allow UND to graduate students who have been trained to a specific performance level rather than the minimum flight time requirements of part 141 and modify the curriculums in which students may be enrolled while training under this exemption. Grant, August 14, 1996, Exemption No. 3825H. Docket No.: 26915. Petitioner: Pan Am International Flight Academy, Inc. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.55(b)(3); 61.56(h) (1), (2), and (4); 61.57(c)(3) and (d)(2); 61.58(e); 61.64(e)(3); 61.65 (e)(2), and (g)(1) and (3); 61.67(c)(4) and (d)(2); 61.158(d)(1); 61.191(d); and 61.197(e).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To extend exemption 5495 to continue to allow Pan Am to use FAA-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of part 61.Grant, August 21, 1996, Exemption No. 5495B.

Docket No.: 27785.

*Petitioner:* Chevron U.S.A. Production Company.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2). Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To extend Exemption No.

5948 to continue to allow the petitioner to operate without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed on its aircraft operating under to provisions of part 135. *Grant, August 14, 1996, Exemption No. 5948A.* 

Docket No.: 28556.

Petitioner: Harry Veltman. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.183(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To allow the petitioner to be eligible for a flight instructor certificate with an airplane category rating, without holding an instrument rating. Denial, August 13, 1996, Exemption No. 6498.

Docket No.: 28598.

*Petitioner:* Boeing Commercial Airplane Group.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 25.1435(b)(1).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To allow type certification of the Model 777–300 by conducting a 3000 psig test of the modified/added hydraulic tubing runs form the stretched body sections, the spoiler retraction system, and any re-routed sections, while verifying the adequate clearances exist, and testing of the tail skid hydraulic system at the system relief pressure. Grant, September 3, 1996, Exemption No. 6504.

Docket No.: 28646.

Petitioner: Air Georgian, LTD. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.77(a).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Air Georgian, LTD. pilots to be issued a special purpose pilot certificates to perform pilot duties on a Beechcraft-1900 (Registration No. N77YV), a civil airplane of U.S. registry, without that airplane meeting passenger seating configuration and payload capacity requirements. *Grant, August 16, 1996, Exemption No. 6496.* 

Docket No.: 28646.

Petitioner: L. Harvey Poe, Jr.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.77(a).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To amend Exemption No. 6496 to correct the petitioner's name from AIR Georgian, Ltd. to Simo Air, Ltd. Grant, August 22, 1996, Exemption No. 6596.

[FR Doc. 96–25418 Filed 10–3–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

#### [Summary Notice No. PE-96-48]

## Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petitions Received; Dispositions of Petitions Issued

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of petitions for exemption received and of dispositions of prior petitions.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption (14 CFR Part 11), this notice contains a summary of certain petitions seeking relief from specified requirements of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Chapter I), dispositions of certain petitions previously received, and corrections. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

DATES: Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before October 24, 1996. ADDRESSES: Send comment on any petition in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attn: Rule Docket (AGC– 200), Petition Docket No. \_\_\_\_\_, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591.

Comments may also be sent electronically to the following internet address: nprmcmts@mail.hq.faa.gov.

The petition, any comments received, and a copy of any final disposition are filed in the assigned regulatory docket and are available for examination in the Rules Docket (AGC–200), Room 915G, FAA Headquarters Building (FOB 10A), 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591; telephone (202) 267–3132.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Haynes (202) 267–3939 or Marisa Mullen (202) 267–9681 Office of Rulemaking (ARM–1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to paragraphs (c), (e), and (g) of § 11.27 of Part 11 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 11).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on October 1, 1996.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

Petitions For Exemption

Docket No.: 132CE. Petitioner: Raytheon Aircraft Company.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 23.25, 23.29, 23.235, 23.471, 23.473, 23.477, 23.479, 23.481, 23.483, 23.485, 23.493, 23.499, 23.723, 23.725, 23.726, 23.727, 23.959, 23.1583(c)(1)(2), Appendix C23, and Appendix D23.1.

Description of Relief Sought: To permit modification of the petitioner's Model 390 landing gear loads and associated airframe loads.

Docket No.: 28399.

Petitioner: Ameriflight, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 21.197(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought: For the FAA to reconsider a previously issued Denial of Petition for exemption to allow the issuance of a special flight permit with continuing authorization to the petitioner for aircraft that are operated and maintained in accordance with 14 CFR § 135.411(a)(1) and § 135.419 "Approved Aircraft Inspection Program."

# **Dispositions of Petitions**

Docket No.: 17145. Petitioner: United Airlines. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR

121.655 and 121.697 (a) and (b). Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit the petitioner to use computerized load manifests that bear the name and position of the person responsible for loading the aircraft, instead of that person's signature. Grant, August 26, 1996, Exemption No. 2466J.

Docket No.: 26245. Petitioner: Airline Crew Training Corporation.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.55(b)(3); 61.56(h) (1), (2), and (4); 61.57(c)(3) and (d)(2); 61.58(e); 61.64(e)(3); 61.65(e)(2), and (g) (1) and (3); 61.67(c)(4) and (d)(2); 61.158(d)(1); 61.191(d); and 61.197(e). Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit the petitioner to continue to use FAA-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of part 61. Grant, August 16, 1996, Exemption No. 5183D.

Docket No.: 26542.

*Petitioner:* Sky Shows, Inc. *Sections of the FAR Affected:* 14 CFR 105.43(a).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To allow Sky Shows' employees, representatives, and other volunteer experimental parachute test jumpers under its direction and control to make tandem parachute jumps for the purpose of meeting Technical Standard Order (TSO)-C23 certification requirements for live tests of its dualharness, dual-parachute pack. Additionally, this exemption permits pilots in command of aircraft used to make these parachute jumps to allow such persons to make jumps wearing a dual-harness, dual-parachute pack. Grant, August 30, 1996, Exemption No. 5491B.

Docket No.: 26630.

*Petitioner:* Erickson Air-Crane Company.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 133.19(a)(3) and 133.51.

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To allow the petitioner to conduct certain rotorcraft external-load operations in the United States using a Canadian-registered helicopter. Grant, August 26, 1996, Exemption No. 5959A.

Docket No.: 26819.

Petitioner: Vector Air Services. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.55(b)(3); 61.56(h) (1), (2), and (3); 61.57 (c)(3) and (d)(2); 61.58 (e); 61.64(e)(3); 61.65(e)(2), and (g) (1) and (3); 61.67 (c)(4) and (d)(2); 61.158(d)(1); 61.191(d); and 61.197(e).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit the petitioner to use FAA-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of part 61. Grant, August 30, 1996, Exemption No. 5493B.

Docket No.: 26869.

Petitioner: Practical Flight Systems, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.55(b)(3); 61.56(h) (1), (2), and (3); 61.57 (c)(3) and (d)(2); 61.58(e); 61.64(e)(3); 61.65 (e)(2), and (g)(1) and (3); 61.67 (c)(4) and (d)(2); 61.158(d)(1); 61.191(d); and 61.197(e).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To allow the petitioner to use FAA-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of part 61. Grant, August 30, 1996, Exemption No. 5497B. Docket No.: 26897. Petitioner: Northwest Aerospace Training Corporation.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.55(b)(3); 61.56(h) (1), (2), and (3); 61.57 (c)(3) and (d)(2); 61.58(e); 61.64(e)(3); 61.65 (e)(2), and (g)(1) and (3); 61.67 (c)(4) and (d)(2); 61.158(d)(1); 61.191(d); and 61.197(e).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To allow the petitioner to use FAA-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of part 61. Grant, August 30, 1996, Exemption No. 5338C.

Docket No.: 27007.

*Petitioner:* Air Transport Association of America (ATA).

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 121.311(b).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit ATA-member airlines and other similarly situated part 121 operators to permit those qualified flight attendants not required by § 121.391(c) to perform duties related to the safety of the airplane and its occupants during aircraft movement on the surface. Grant, August 26, 1996, Exemption No. 5533B.

Docket No.: 27207.

*Petitioner:* Universal West Indies, S.A.R.L. Trading Company.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.9(a).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To allow Universal West Indies to operate its own DC–6A cargo airplane (Registration No. N4163Q, Serial No. 43681) at increased zero fuel weights and landing weights. Denial, August 26, 1996, Exemption No. 6499.

Docket No.: 27712.

Petitioner: American Airlines Flight Academy.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.57(e); 121.401(c), 121.433(c)(1)(iii), 121.440(a), 121.441 (a)(1) and (b)(1), Appendix F to part 121, and Special Federal Aviation Regulation (SFAR) No. 58, paragraph 6(b)(3)(ii)(A).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To allow American Airlines Flight Academy to: (1) Combine recurrent flight and ground training and proficiency checks for American's flight crewmembers in a single annual training and proficiency evaluation program, and (2) meet the line-check requirements of § 121.440(a) and SFAR No. 58 through an alternative line-check program. Grant, August 26, 1996, Exemption No. 5950A.

Docket No.: 28211.

Petitioner: Milton J. Songy.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR

121.383(c). Description of Relief Sought/

*Disposition:* To permit the petitioner to

act as a pilot in operations conducted under part 121 after his 60th birthday. *Denial, August 30, 1996, Exemption No.* 6502.

Docket No.: 28465.

*Petitioner:* Comair Aviation Academy, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 141.15.

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To allow Comair to operate an FAA-approved part 141 satellite base in Taipei, Taiwan, offering interested U.S. citizens and others in Taiwan the opportunity to attend an FAA-approved part 141 private pilot ground training course. Denial, August 30, 1996, Exemption No. 6444.

Docket No.: 28486.

Petitioner: Zero-Gravity Corporation. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.303 and 91.307(c).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To allow Zero-Gravity to conduct: (1) parabolic flights without meeting the limitations on aerobatic flights specified in § 91.303, and (2) certain flight maneuvers that exceed the limitations specified in § 91.307(c), without requiring aircraft occupants to wear an approved parachute. Denial, August 29, 1996, Exemption No. 6501.

Docket No.: 28543.

*Petitioner:* Bombardier Inc., Canadair. *Sections of the FAR Affected:* 14 CFR 25.562.

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To relieve the petitioner of the requirement to show compliance with the provisions of § 25.562 which require that each seat approved for crew or passenger occupancy during takeoff and landing must be designed to withstand dynamic test conditions and the requirement to perform dynamic tests on the seat and restraint system for all aircraft having Amendment 25–64 as their certification basis. Denial, September 9, 1996, Exemption No. 6506.

Docket No.: 28580.

*Petitioner:* Alfred Flores, Ronald Miller, and Robert Mora.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 65.71(a)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To allow these individuals, who are hearing impaired, to be eligible for a mechanic certificate with associated ratings, specifically airframe and powerplant (A&P) ratings. Grant, August 30, 1996, Exemption No. 6503.

Docket No.: 28606.

Petitioner: Petroleum Helicopters, Inc. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 135.167(b)(3) (xvi), (xviii), and (xix).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit the petitioner to conduct helicopter extended overwater operations in the Gulf of Mexico without carrying certain emergency equipment in the life raft in the helicopter. *Denial, August 26, 1996, Exemption No. 6500.* 

[FR Doc. 96–25543 Filed 10–3–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

## **Federal Highway Administration**

# Environmental Impact Statement: Johnson County, Kansas; Jackson County, Missouri; Cass County, Missouri

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of Intent Cancellation.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that a Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for a proposed highway project located in Johnson County, Kansas, Cass County, Missouri, and Jackson County, Missouri is cancelled. The NOI was originally published in the Federal Register on June 7, 1993. The cancellation is based on a decision not to proceed with the project at this time.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

- Johnny R. Dahl, Operations Engineer, FHWA, 3300 South Topeka Boulevard, Suite 1, Topeka, Kansas 66611–2237, Telephone: (913) 267– 7284
- Donald L. Neuman, Programs Engineer, FHWA, P.O. Box 1787, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, Telephone: (314) 636–7104
- Warren Sick, P.E., Chief of Bureau of Design, Kansas Department of Transportation, Docking State Office Building, Topeka, Kansas 66612, Telephone: (913) 296–3525
- Bob Sfreddo, Division Engineer— Design, Missouri Highway and Transportation Department, P.O. Box 270, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, Telephone: (314) 751–2876
- James F. Philley, P.E., Johnson County Engineer, 1800 West 56 Highway, Olathe, Kansas 66061, Telephone: (913) 782–2640.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The 21st Century Corridor Major Investment and Environmental Impact Study (MIS/EIS) was a joint effort to examine future transportation capacity needs in northwestern, western and southern Johnson County, Kansas and northwestern Cass County, Missouri. In 1995, the Johnson County Commission voted to withdraw its support and sponsorship of the 21st Century Corridor MIS/EIS, after which the Missouri Highway and Transportation Department (now the Missouri Department of Transportation) also withdrew its support and sponsorship. Both project sponsors have directed staff to bring closure to the study effort.

The MIS/EIS documentation compiled to date for the proposed 21st Century Corridor will become a resource document and will not be considered a completed study document. There is agreement that there will be future needs for improved north/south and east/west transportation movement in the subject corridor. Comments and questions concerning this proposed action and the EIS should be directed to the FHWA, Johnson County, or the Kansas Department of Transportation at the addresses provided.

Issued on: September 24, 1996. David R. Geiger,

Division Administrator, Kansas Division, Federal Highway Administration. [FR Doc. 96–25444 Filed 10–3–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–22–M

#### Surface Transportation Board 1

[STB Finance Docket No. 33051]

Fay Penn Industrial Development Corporation—Acquisition Exemption— CSX Transportation, Inc.

[STB Finance Docket No. 33051 (Sub-No. 1)]

# Southwest Pennsylvania Railroad Company—Operation Exemption— CSX Transportation, Inc.

Fay Penn Industrial Development Corporation (Fay Penn IDC) and Southwest Pennsylvania Railroad Company (Southwest) have filed a joint notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1150.41: (1) For Fay Penn IDC to acquire and for Southwest to operate a total of approximately 26.80 miles of rail line owned by CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSXT); and (2) for Southwest to acquire a total of approximately 4 miles of incidental trackage rights from CSXT. The lines are located in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Consummation was expected to occur on or after September 13, 1996.

The acquisition and operation involve lines operated by CSXT between a point

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The ICC Termination Act of 1995, Pub. L. No. 104–88, 109 Stat. 803, which was enacted on December 29, 1995, and took effect on January 1, 1996, abolished the Interstate Commerce Commission and transferred certain functions to the Surface Transportation Board (Board). This notice relates to functions that are subject to Board jurisdiction pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 10902.