investor-owned utility that owns generation, transmission, and distribution facilities in the vicinity of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, also engages in activities similar to those of power marketers. In this application, PECO proposes to purchase energy for export in the wholesale power marketplace rather than transmit electric energy from PECO's own system.

PECO asserts that the energy it proposes to transmit to Canada would be surplus to the requirements of the selling utility or generator. PECO would arrange for the exported energy to be wheeled from the selling entities, over existing domestic transmission facilities, and delivered to the foreign purchaser over one or more of the following international transmission lines for which Presidential permits (PP) have been previously issued: Basin Electric's 230-kilovolt (kV) line at Tioga, North Dakota (PP–64); Bonneville Power Administration's (BPA) 2—500–kV lines at Blaine, Washington (PP–10); BPA's 200—230–kV lines at Nelway, British Columbia (PP–36, PP–46); Citizens Utilities' 120–kV line at Derby Line, Vermont (PP–66); Detroit Edison's (Detroit) 2—345–kV lines at St. Clair, Michigan (PP–38, PP–58); Detroit's 230-kV line at Maryville, Michigan (PP–21); Detroit's 230–kV line at Detroit, Michigan (PP–21); Joint Owners of the Highgate Project's 345–kV line (operated at 120-kV) at Franklin, Vermont (PP–82); Maine Electric Power Company's 345–kV line at Houlton, Maine (P–43); Maine Public Service's 138-kV line at Aroostook County, Maine (PP–29); Minnesota Power's 115–kV line at International Falls, Minnesota (PP–78); Minnesota Power's 230-kV line at Roseau County, Minnesota (PP–61); New York Power Authority's (NYPA) 2—230–kV lines at Massena, New York (PP–25); NYPA's 230–kV line at Devil's Hole, New York (PP–30); NYPA's 765-kV line at Fort Covington, New York (PP–56); NYPA's 2—345–kV lines at Niagara Falls, New York (PP–74); Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation's 230–kV line at Devil's Hole, New York (PP–31); Northern States Power's (NSP) 230-kV line at Red River, North Dakota (PP–45); NSP's 500-kV line at Roseau County, North Dakota (PP–63); and Vermont Electric Transmission Company's ±450-kV DC line at Norton, Vermont (PP–76).

In a related matter, in Order No. EA–98–C, issued September 5, 1996 (Docket EA–98–C), PECO was authorized to export electricity to British Columbia Hydro & Power Authority, and other future Canadian members of the Western Systems Power Pool (WSPP), under the terms and conditions of WSPP's pooling agreement and service schedules approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC).

PROCEDURAL MATTERS: Any persons desiring to be heard or to protest this application should file a petition to intervene or protest at the address provided above in accordance with §§ 385.211 or 385.214 of the FERC's Rules of Practice and Procedures (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). Fifteen copies of such petitions and protests should be filed with the DOE on or before the date listed above. Additional copies are to be filed directly with: Marjorie R. Philips, Esq., PECO Energy Company—Power Team, 2004 Renaissance Boulevard, King of Prussia, PA 19406 (facsimile 610–292–6644).

A final decision will be made on this applications after the environmental impacts of the proposed action have been evaluated pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), and a determination is made by the DOE that the proposed action will not adversely impact the reliability of the U.S. electric power supply system.

Copies of this application will be made available, upon request, for public inspection and copying at the address provided above.

Issued in Washington, DC on September 27, 1996.

Anthony J. Como,

Director, Office of Coal & Electricity, Office of Fuels Programs, Office of Fossil Energy.

[FR Doc. 96–25339 Filed 10–2–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP96–803–000]

Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

September 27, 1996.

Take notice that on September 20, 1996, Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Company (Alabama-Tennessee), Post Office Box 918, Florence, Alabama 35631, filed in Docket No. CP96–803–000, a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.211 (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211) of the Commission's Regulations under Natural Gas Act, for authorization to construct and operate facilities in Morgan County, Alabama for the delivery of natural gas to an end-user, Trico Steel Company, under Alabama-Tennessee's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP85–359–000, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Alabama-Tennessee states that the facilities would consist of (1) approximately 100 feet of 6-inch pipeline, and (2) meter and regulator facilities consisting of meter tubes, valves, regulators, relief valves, electronics, and other related equipment. Alabama-Tennessee also states that it estimates that the facilities would cost approximately $185,800. Further, Alabama-Tennessee states that the estimated daily and annual volumes of natural gas delivered would be 10,000 MMBtu and 3 TBtu, respectively, and would be transported under Alabama-Tennessee's IT and FT rate schedules.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–25286 Filed 10–2–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. RP96–388–000]

The Brooklyn Union Gas Company v. Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation; Notice of Complaint

September 27, 1996.

Take notice that on September 24, 1996, pursuant to Section 5(a) of the Natural Gas Act, 15 U.S.C. § 717d, and Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.206, The Brooklyn Union Gas Company (Brooklyn Union) submitted for filing a complaint against Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco).

Brooklyn Union argues that Transco has, without color of authority and in derogation of Brooklyn Union's rights and entitlements under Transco's FERC Gas Tariff and applicable Commission orders, refused to transport and deliver quantities of natural gas to lawfully nominated secondary delivery points designated by Brooklyn Union.

Brooklyn Union seeks a Commission order directing Transco to permit Brooklyn Union and other similarly situated shippers in Zone 6 under Transco's Part 284 transportation rate schedules, to nominate, schedule and deliver gas on a secondary basis at Transco's Leidy, Pennsylvania and Wharton, Pennsylvania delivery points and any other point where Transco may require delivery of gas for subsequent injection pursuant to Rate Schedule GSS.

Any person desiring to be heard or protest said complaint should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions or protests must be filed on or before October 25, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. Answers to this complaint shall be due on or before October 25, 1996.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–25295 Filed 10–2–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. CP 96–804–000]

Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

September 27, 1996.

Take notice that on September 20, 1996, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia Gas), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E., Charleston, West Virginia 25314–1599, filed in Docket No. CP96–80–000, a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211) for authorization to construct and operate the facilities to establish three additional points of delivery to existing customers. Columbia Gas makes such request, under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83–76–000, pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Columbia Gas is proposing to construct and operate an additional delivery point to Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. in Holmes County, Ohio. Columbia Gas is also proposing to construct and operate two additional delivery points to Mountaineer Gas Company, in West Virginia, one of which will be located in Wayne County, and the other to be located in Barbour county. It is stated that each of the delivery points is slated to receive firm service of up to 1.5 Dt of natural per day and up to 150 Dt annually for residential service under Part 284 of the Commission's regulation.

Columbia Gas states that the volumes to be delivered at the proposed delivery points will be within the certificated entitlement of the respective customer. Columbia Gas estimates that the proposed delivery facilities will cost $150 each, and that such cost will be treated as an operating and maintenance expense.

Any person or the Commission's staff may within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–25287 Filed 10–2–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. RP96–390–000]

Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

September 27, 1996.

Take notice that on September 25, 1996, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia) filed revisions to the following tariff sheets to its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, to permit negotiated rate arrangements in accordance with the Commission's orders on similar pipeline filings, and in accordance with the Commission's January 31, 1996 Statement of Policy and Request for Comments in Alternatives to Traditional Cost-of-Service Ratemaking for Natural Gas Pipelines, Docket No. RM95–6–000, to be effective November 1, 1996:

Second Revised Sheet No. 101
First Revised Sheet No. 107
First Revised Sheet No. 116
First Revised Sheet No. 122
Second Revised Sheet No. 123
First Revised Sheet No. 132
Second Revised Sheet No. 146
Second Revised Sheet No. 147
Second Revised Sheet No. 169
First Revised Sheet No. 182
Second Revised Sheet No. 184
Second Revised Sheet No. 197
Second Revised Sheet No. 280
Third Revised Sheet No. 281
Third Revised Sheet No. 282
First Revised Sheet No. 310
Fourth Revised Sheet No. 353
Fourth Revised Sheet No. 374
Fifth Revised Sheet No. 395

Columbia states that copies of its filing have been mailed to all firm customers, interruptible customers, and affected state regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the public reference room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–25297 Filed 10–02–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. RP96–389–000]

Columbia Gulf Transmission Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

September 27, 1996.

Take notice that on September 25, 1996 Columbia Gulf Transmission Company (Columbia Gulf) filed revisions to the following tariff sheets to its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No 1, to permit negotiated rate arrangements in accordance with the Commission's orders on similar pipeline filings, and in accordance with the Commission's January 31, 1996