Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed authorizations are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for the Applicants to appear or be represented at the hearing. Lois D. Cashell.

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–25148 Filed 10–1–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. ER96-3046-000, et al.]

PECO Energy Company, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

September 25, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. PECO Energy Company

[Docket No. ER96-3046-000]

Take notice that on September 19, 1996, PECO Energy Company (PECO) filed a Service Agreement dated September 10, 1996 with PACIFICORP Power Marketing, Inc. (PPM) under PECO's FERC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 1 (Tariff). The Service Agreement adds PPM as a customer under the Tariff.

PECO requests an effective date of September 10, 1996, for the Service Agreement.

PECO states that copies of this filing have been supplied to PPM and to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

Comment date: October 9, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. PECO Energy Company

[Docket No. ER96-3047-000]

Take notice that on September 19, 1996, PECO Energy Company (PECO) filed a Service Agreement dated September 12, 1996 with Consolidated Edison Company (ConEd) under PECO's FERC Electric Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 4 (Tariff). The Service Agreement adds ConEd as a customer under the Tariff.

PECO requests an effective date of September 12, 1996, for the Service Agreement. PECO states that copies of this filing have been supplied to ConEd and to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

Comment date: October 9, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. PECO Energy Company

[Docket No. ER96-3048-000

Take notice that on September 19, 1996, PECO Energy Company (PECO) filed a Service Agreement dated September 6, 1996 with Illinova Power Marketing, Inc. (ILLINOVA) under PECO's FERC Electric Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 4 (Tariff). The Service Agreement adds ILLINOVA as a customer under the Tariff.

PECO requests an effective date of September 6, 1996, for the Service Agreement.

PECO states that copies of this filing have been supplied to ILLINOVA and to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

Comment date: October 9, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. The Montana Power Company

[Docket No. ER96-3049-000]

Take notice that on September 19, 1996, The Montana Power Company (Montana), tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission pursuant to 18 CFR 35.13, Service Agreements with Questar Energy Trading under FERC Electric Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, a revised Index of Purchasers under said Tariff, and Certificate of Concurrences from Questar Energy Trading.

A copy of the filing was served upon Questar Energy Trading.

Comment date: October 9, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. Southwestern Electric Power Company

[Docket No. ER96-3050-000]

Take notice that on September 19, 1996, Southwestern Electric Power Company (SWEPCO), tendered for filing an executed Scheduling Agent Agreement between SWEPCO and the City of Minden, Louisiana (Minden). The Agreement documents an arrangement whereby SWEPCO will act as Minden's scheduling agent for the purpose of bringing Southwestern Power Administration (SPA) power to Minden's system.

SWEPCO requests an effective date of June 1, 1996, for the Scheduling Agent Agreement. Accordingly, SWEPCO seeks waiver of the Commission's notice requirements. Copies of this filing have been served on Minden, SPA and the Louisiana Public Service Commission.

Comment date: October 9, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Boston Edison Company

[Docket No. ER96-3051-000]

Take notice that on September 19, 1996, Boston Edison Company (Boston Edison), tendered for filing a Service Agreement and Appendix A under Original Volume No. 6, Power Sales and Exchange Tariff (Tariff) for AIG Trading Corporation (AIG). Boston Edison requests that the Service Agreement become effective as of September 1, 1996.

Edison states that it has served a copy of this filing on AIG and the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities.

Comment date: October 9, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. Northern States Power Company (Minnesota Company)

[Docket No. ER96-3052-000]

Take notice that on September 19, 1996, Northern States Power Company (Minnesota)(NSP), tendered for filing a Transmission Service Agreement between NSP and WPS Energy Services, Inc.

NSP requests that the Commission accept the agreement effective August 20, 1996, and requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirements in order for the agreement to be accepted for filing on the date requested.

Comment date: October 9, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. Louisville Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER96-3053-000]

Take notice that on September 19, 1996, Louisville Gas and Electric Company, tendered for filing copies of service agreements between Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Electric Clearinghouse, Inc. under Rate GSS.

Comment date: October 9, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. Delmarva Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER96-3054-000]

Take notice that on September 19, 1996, Delmarva Power & Light Company of Wilmington, Delaware, submitted to the Commission service agreements with the City of Dover, Delaware, for firm point-to-point transmission service under Delmarva's Order No. 888 compliance tariff (Delmarva Power & Light Company, Tariff Volume 13).

Delmarva states that copies of the filing were provided to the City of Dover and its agent, Duke/Louis Dreyfus.

Comment date: October 9, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

10. New York State Electric & Gas Corporation

[Docket No. ER96-3055-000]

Take notice that on September 19, 1996. New York State Electric & Gas Corporation (NYSEG), tendered for filing pursuant to § 35.12 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 35.12, as an initial rate schedule, an agreement with Stand Energy Corporation (Stand). The agreement provides a mechanism pursuant to which the parties can enter into separately schedule transactions under which NYSEG will sell to Stand and Stand will purchase from NYSEG either capacity and associated energy or energy only as the parties may mutually agree.

NYSEG requests that the agreement become effective on September 20, 1996, so that the parties may, if mutually agreeable, enter into separately scheduled transactions under the agreement. NYSEG has requested waiver of the notice requirements for good cause shown.

NYSEG served copies of the filing upon the New York State Public Service Commission and Stand.

Comment date: October 9, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

11. Northern Power Wisconsin Corporation

[Docket No. ES96-47-000]

Take notice that on September 23, 1996, Northern Power Wisconsin Corporation filed an application, under § 204 of the Federal Power Act, seeking the following authorizations in connection with the proposed merger and reorganization for which authority is being sought separately in Docket No. EC95–16–000:

- (1) to issue up to 68,811,523 shares of common stock, par value \$2.50 per share:
- (2) to issue up to 3,900,000 shares of cumulative preferred stock, par value \$100.00 per share; and
- (3) to assume all of the outstanding obligations and liabilities of Northern State Power Company, a Minnesota corporation which amounted to approximately \$1.86 billion as of June 30, 1996.

Comment date: October 22, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-25191 Filed 10-1-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP96-790-000, et al.]

Nautilus Pipeline Company, L.L.C., et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

September 25, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Nautilus Pipeline Company, L.L.C.

[Docket Nos. CP96–790–000; CP96–791–000; CP96–792–000]

Take notice that, on September 16, 1996, Nautilus Pipeline Company, L.L.C. (Nautilus), 5555 San Felipe, Houston, Texas 77056, filed an application for: (1) a certificate of public convenience and necessity, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), authorizing Nautilus to construct and operate approximately 101 miles of 30-inch diameter pipeline and ancillary facilities (Docket No. CP96-790-000); (2) a blanket certificate, pursuant to Part 284, Subpart G of the Commission's Regulations, authorizing Nautilus to provide both firm and interruptible transportation services to others (Docket No. CP96-791-000); and (3) a blanket certificate, pursuant to Part 157, Subpart F of the Commission's Regulations, authorizing Nautilus to construct and operate certain facilities under Section 7 of the NGA (Docket No. CP96-792-000), all as more fully set forth in the application, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Nautilus is a limited liability company, organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located in Houston,

Texas. Nautilus' owners include: (1) Sailfish Pipeline Company, L.L.C., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Leviathan Gas Pipeline Partners, L.P. (25.67%); (2) Marathon Gas Transmission, Inc., an affiliate of Marathon Oil Company (24.33%); and (3) Shell Seahorse Company, an affiliate of Shell Offshore, Inc. (50.00%). Nautilus states that, although it does not currently own any pipeline facilities and is not currently engaged in any natural gas transportation operations, it will become a natural gas company, subject to the Commission's jurisdiction, upon acceptance of the certificates requested in the subject application.

Nautilus proposes to construct and operate approximately 101 miles of 30inch diameter pipeline. According to Nautilus, the proposed pipeline will be able to deliver up to 600,000 Mcfd on a firm basis, and will cost approximately \$121 million in 1996 dollars. Nautilus states that the pipeline will receive gas at Ship Shoal Block 207, from Manta Ray Offshore Gathering Company, L.L.C., and transport it to Exxon U.S.A. Inc.'s Garden City Gas Processing Facility (Exxon's Garden City Plant), located at Garden City, in St. Mary Parish, Louisiana. As proposed, the pipeline will extend from a platform in Ship Shoal Block 207, offshore Louisiana, to a location near Burns Point, onshore Louisiana, where it will interconnect with the Burns Point Gas Processing Facility. From there, it will extend to and terminate at Exxon's Garden City Plant.

Nautilus states that the proposed pipeline has been designed to transport natural gas to the onshore pipeline grid, from both shallow and deep water locations in the Gulf of Mexico, including offshore, Louisiana sources in the Green Canyon, Ship Shoal, Grand Isle, Eugene Island, South Timbalier, and Ewing Bank areas. According to Nautilus, it will neither own nor operate any gas processing facilities and does not plan to enter into any gas processing agreements. Nautilus states that, at Garden City, gas exiting the proposed pipeline may be delivered to the pipeline facilities of Koch Gateway Pipeline Company, Trunkline Gas Company, Columbia Gulf Transmission Company, Louisiana Intrastate Gas Company, Acadian Pipeline System, and Cypress Gas Pipeline Company.

Nautilus states that it has received transportation commitments for reserves from more than 100 blocks in the Ship Shoal, South Timbalier, Ewing Bank, and Green Canyon areas, and that additional commitments are expected to result from an open season to be held