

on which they are providing additional information.

The following service has been proposed for addition to Procurement List for production by the nonprofit agency listed:

Food Service Attendant, Fort Richardson, Alaska

NPA: Alaska Specialized Education and Training Services, Anchorage, Alaska

Beverly L. Milkman,

*Executive Director.*

[FR Doc. 96-24194 Filed 9-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6353-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-429-601]

#### **Solid Urea From the German Democratic Republic: Termination of Changed Circumstances Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of termination of changed circumstances review of solid urea from the German Democratic Republic.

**SUMMARY:** On May 30, 1996, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on solid urea from the former German Democratic Republic (GDR). The purpose of the review was to calculate a new cash deposit rate using a market-economy analysis for any shipments of solid urea from the five German states (Brandenburg, Mecklenburg-Vorpommern, Saxony, Saxony-Anhalt, and Thuringia (plus any other territory included in the former GDR)) that formerly constituted the GDR (hereinafter "the Five States") occurring after May 1, 1995 and before May 31, 1996. On August 15, 1996, the Department initiated, based upon receipt of a timely request from the Ad Hoc Committee of Domestic Nitrogen Producers (hereinafter "the petitioners"), an administrative review covering shipments by SKW Stickstoffwerke Piesteritz GmbH (hereinafter "SKW Piesteritz") from the Five States for the period July 1, 1995 to June 30, 1996. Because the time periods covered by the changed circumstances review and the administrative review substantially overlap, and because the Department would conduct essentially the same analysis in both reviews, the

Department is now terminating the changed circumstances review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 20, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Donna L. Kinsella, Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary, Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone (202) 482-4093 or telefax (202) 273-0957.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On May 30, 1996, the Department published in the Federal Register (61 FR 27049) the initiation of a changed circumstances review in which the Department stated that it would calculate a new cash deposit rate using a market-economy analysis for any shipments of solid urea from the Five States occurring after May 1, 1995 and before May 31, 1996. On August 15, 1996, the Department initiated, in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(c), an administrative review covering shipments of solid urea by SKW Piesteritz from the Five States for the period July 1, 1995 to June 30, 1996 (61 FR 42416). This initiation was based upon a timely request for review submitted by petitioners in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a). Because the time periods covered by the changed circumstances review and the administrative review substantially overlap, and because the Department would conduct essentially the same analysis in both reviews, the Department is now terminating the changed circumstances review. This notice does not affect the pending changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order covering solid urea from the former GDR initiated on February 12, 1992 (57 FR 5130).

This notice is published pursuant to § 353.22(f) of the Department's regulations (19 CFR 353.22(f) (1995)).

Dated: September 11, 1996.

Joseph A. Spetrini,  
*Deputy Assistant Secretary, Enforcement Group III.*

[FR Doc. 96-24184 Filed 9-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

[C-549-501]

#### **Certain Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Thailand; Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of countervailing duty administrative Review.

**SUMMARY:** The countervailing duty order on certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand was revoked effective January 1, 1995, pursuant to section 753 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (the Act) (60 FR 40568). The Department of Commerce (the Department) has conducted an administrative review of this order to determine the appropriate assessment rate for entries made during the last review period prior to the revocation of the order (January 1, 1994, through December 31, 1994). On May 20, 1995, the Department published in the Federal Register its preliminary results of review (61 FR 25205). The Department has now completed this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended. For information on the net subsidy for each reviewed company, and for all non-reviewed companies, please see the *Final Results of Review* section of this notice. We will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess countervailing duties as detailed in the *Final Results of Review* section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 20, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brian Albright or Kelly Parkhill, Office of CVD/AD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2786.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

Pursuant to section 355.22(a) of the Department's *Interim Regulations*, this review covers only those producers or exporters of the subject merchandise for which a review was specifically requested. See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duties: Interim regulations; request for comments*, 60 FR 25130, 25139 (May 11, 1995) ("*Interim Regulations*"). Accordingly, this review covers Saha Thai Steel Pipe Co., Ltd. (Saha Thai) and SAF Pipe Export Co., Ltd. (SAF). This review also covers the period January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994, and nine programs.

We published the preliminary results on May 20, 1995 (61 FR 25205). We invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary results. We received no comments from any of the parties.

### Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA") effective January 1, 1995 ("the Act").

### Affiliated Parties/Trading Companies

In accordance with section 355.22 of the Department's Interim Regulations, this review covers only those producers or exporters of the subject merchandise for which a review was specifically requested. A review was requested for Saha Thai. However, Saha Thai is affiliated with SAF, an export trading company that began operations in 1993. All pipe exported by SAF is produced by Saha Thai. Because these two companies are affiliated, we are treating them as one corporate entity for purposes of our calculations.

### Scope of the Review

On March 29, 1994, the Department clarified the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) numbers that were applicable to the subject merchandise (see *Memorandum to Susan Esserman from Susan Kuhbach*, available in the Central Records Unit, Room B099, Main Commerce Building). This clarification was necessary because of annual changes in the HTS. The scope now reads:

Imports covered in this review are shipments of circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes (pipes and tubes) with an outside diameter of 0.375 inches or more but not over 16 inches, of any wall thickness. These products, commonly referred to in the industry as standard pipe or structural tubing, are produced to various ASTM specifications, most notably A-120, A-53 and A-135. During the review period, this merchandise was classified under item numbers 7306.30.10 and 7306.30.50 of the HTS. The HTS numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

### Analysis of Programs

#### *Programs Preliminarily Determined To Be Not Used*

In our preliminary results, we determined that Saha Thai/SAF did not apply for or receive benefits under the following programs during the review period:

- A. Export Packing Credit;
- B. Tax Certificates for Exporters;
- C. Electricity Discounts for Exporters;
- D. Tax and Duty Exemptions Under Section 28 of the Investment Promotion Act;
- E. Repurchase of Industrial Bills;

- F. Export Processing Zones;
- G. International Trade Promotion Fund/Export Promotion Fund;
- H. Reduced Business Taxes for Producers of Intermediate Goods for Export Industries;
- I. Additional Incentives under the IPA.

We received no comments. Therefore, our findings remain unchanged.

### Final Results of Review

In accordance with section 355.22(c)(4)(ii) of the Department's *Interim Regulations*, we calculated an individual subsidy rate for each producer/exporter subject to this administrative review. For the period January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994, we determine the net subsidy for Saha Thai/SAF to be zero.

Net subsidies—producer/exporter	Net subsidy rate (percent)
Saha Thai/SAF .....	0.00

As provided for in the Act, any rate less than 0.5 percent *ad valorem* in an administrative review is *de minimis*. Accordingly, the Department intends to instruct Customs to liquidate, without regard to countervailing duties, shipments of the subject merchandise from Saha Thai/SAF exported on or after January 1, 1994, and on or before December 31, 1994.

The URAA replaced the general rule in favor of a country-wide rate with a general rule in favor of individual rates for investigated and reviewed companies. The procedures for countervailing duty cases are now essentially the same as those in antidumping cases, except as provided for in section 777(e)(2)(B) of the Act. Requests for administrative reviews must now specify the companies to be reviewed. See 19 CFR § 355.22(a). The requested review will normally cover only those companies specifically named. Pursuant to 19 CFR § 355.22(g), for all companies for which a review was not requested, duties must be assessed at the cash deposit rate previously ordered. Accordingly, for the period January 1 through December 31, 1994, the assessment rates applicable to all non-reviewed companies covered by this order are the cash deposit rates in effect at the time of entry.

This countervailing duty order was subject to section 753 of the Act. See, *Countervailing Duty Order; Opportunity to Request a Section 753 Injury Investigation*, 60 FR 27,963 (May 26, 1995). Because no domestic interested parties exercised their right under section 753(a) of the Act to request an injury investigation, the International

Trade Commission made a negative injury determination with respect to this order, pursuant to section 753(b)(4) of the Act. As a result, the Department revoked this countervailing duty order, effective January 1, 1995, pursuant to section 753(b)(3)(B) of the Act.

*Revocation of Countervailing Duty Orders*, 60 FR 40,568 (August 9, 1995). Accordingly, the Department will not issue further instructions with respect to cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 C.F.R. § 355.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)).

Dated: September 12, 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 96-24185 Filed 9-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

### National Institute of Standards and Technology

#### Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award's Judges Panel; Notice of Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Standards and Technology, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of closed meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. app. 2, notice is hereby given that the Judges Panel of the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award will meet on Tuesday, October 8, 1996, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., on Wednesday, October 9, 1996, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.; on Thursday, October 10, 1996, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., and on Friday, October 11, 1996, from 8:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. The Judges Panel is composed of nine members prominent in the field of quality management and appointed by the Secretary of Commerce. The Panel's agenda includes reviewing the 1996 award process and final judging of 1996 applicants, including a review of each of the 1996 site visits. The review process involves