

within a 27-mile radius of the Pullman VOR/DME extending clockwise from the 342° radial to the 060° radial of the VOR/DME; that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within 7.8 miles northwest and 5.2 miles southeast of the Pullman VOR/DME 052° and 232° radials extending from 15.2 miles southwest to 6.5 miles northeast of the VOR/DME.

Issued in Seattle, Washington, on September 6, 1996.

Glenn A. Adams III,

*Assistant Manager, Air Traffic Division,
Northwest Mountain Region.*

[FR Doc. 96-24175 Filed 9-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[NC-043-1-9618b; FRL-5609-2]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plan, North Carolina: Approval of Cape Industries, Air Permit No. 130R17

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: On August 17, 1989, the State of North Carolina issued to Cape Industries, located in Wilmington, New Hanover County, North Carolina, air permit number 130R11, which set the sulfur dioxide emission limit at 2.3 pounds per million British Thermal Units (BTU). The State then submitted this permit to EPA on September 21, 1989, for approval as a revision to the State implementation plan (SIP). Air permit number 130R11 expired on October 1, 1991, and was subsequently replaced by the current Cape Industries air permit number 130R17 in December 29, 1994. Upon review of the permit, EPA finds that the designated limit for Cape Industries is adequate to protect the ambient standard and approves this permit. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the EPA views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not

institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: To be considered, comments must be received by October 21, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to Mr. Randy Terry at the EPA Regional Office listed below.

Copies of the documents relative to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day.

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 443, 401 M Street, SW, Washington DC 20460.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4 Air Programs Branch, 345 Courtland Street, NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30365.

North Carolina Department of Environment, Health and Natural Resources, 512 North Salisbury Street, Raleigh, North Carolina 27604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Randy Terry, Regulatory Planning and Development Section, Air Programs Branch, Air, Pesticides, and Toxics Management Division, Region 4 Environmental Protection Agency, 345 Courtland Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30365. The telephone number is 404/347-3555, ext. 4212.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: June 6, 1996.

Michael V. Peyton,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-24044 Filed 9-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[NC-78-1-7236b; NC-80-2-9631b; FRL-5606-2]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans North Carolina: Approval of Revisions to State of North Carolina's State Implementation Plan (SIP)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP)

revision submitted by the State of North Carolina and the Forsyth County Department of Environmental Affairs for the purpose of allowing the State and the County to utilize exclusionary rules for the purpose of limiting potential to emit air pollutants for certain source categories to less than the title V permitting major source thresholds. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the EPA views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: To be considered, comments must be received by October 21, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Scott Miller of the EPA Regional office listed below.

Copies of the material submitted by the State of North Carolina may be examined during normal business hours at the following locations:

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4 Air Planning Branch, 100 Alabama Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

North Carolina Department of Environment, Health, and Natural Resources, P.O. Box 29535, Raleigh, North Carolina 27626.

Forsyth County Environmental Affairs Department, Air Quality Section, 537 North Spruce Street, Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Scott Miller, Air Programs Branch, Air, Pesticides & Toxics Management Division, Region 4 Environmental Protection Agency, 345 Courtland Street, NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30365. The telephone number is 404/347-3555 ext. 4153.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: August 5, 1996.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-24042 Filed 9-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Parts 153 and 159

[OPP-60010F; FRL-5396-1]

RIN 2070-AB50

Reporting Requirements for Risk/ Benefit Information; Extension of Comment Period to Request Comments on Burden Estimates; Denial of Petition

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposal; extension of comment period; denial of petition.

SUMMARY: In the Federal Register of August 12, 1996, EPA reopened the comment period for a proposed rule that published in the Federal Register of September 24, 1992, which defined the specifics of reporting requirements under section 6(a)(2) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act. This document announces the extension of the comment period for an additional 30 days. This document also announces the Agency's decision to deny a petition request to reopen the comment period to address broader issues of the proposed rule.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before October 21, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments identified by the docket control number OPP-60010F by mail to: Public Response Section, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. In person, bring comments directly to the OPP docket which is located in Rm. 1132 of Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

Comments and data may also be submitted electronically by sending electronic mail (e-mail) to: opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov. Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form or encryption. Comments and data will also be accepted on disks in WordPerfect 5.1 file format or ASCII file format. All comments and data in electronic form must be identified by the docket number "OPP-60010F." No Confidential Business Information (CBI) should be submitted through e-mail. Electronic comments on this document may be

filed online at many Federal Depository Libraries.

Information submitted as a comment concerning this document may be claimed confidential by marking any part or all of that information as CBI. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2. A copy of the comment that does not contain CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public record. Information not marked confidential may be disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice. All comments will be available for public inspection in Rm. 1132 at the Virginia address given above from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jim Roelofs, Policy and Special Projects Staff, Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code (7501C), 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, Telephone: (703) 308-2964, e-mail: roelofs.jim@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

In the Federal Register of August 12, 1996 (61 FR 41764) (FRL-5388-1), EPA announced the reopening of the comment period to a proposed rule published in the Federal Register of September 24, 1992 (57 FR 44290), which defined the specifics of reporting requirements under section 6(a)(2) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). Comments were limited to the sole issue of the costs or burdens associated with the proposed rule and the latest draft of the final rule.

On August 29, 1996, a number of industry trade associations formally petitioned the Agency to extend the comment period for 60 days, and to initiate a broader reopening of the rulemaking record to take comment on a number of provisions in the June 14, 1996 "draft final" version of the rule. In addition to specific provisions, the petitioners seem to argue that this broader reopening is necessary in order to allow commenters to address the value and legality of the requested information in addition to the burden associated with the information. The Agency believes that all the information covered by the draft final rule is information contained within the broad scope of section 6(a)(2). The Agency does not believe that a notice and comment opportunity is necessary or would be particularly helpful to resolve this legal issue. Similarly, the Agency does not believe that a notice and comment opportunity is either legally

mandated or would be particularly helpful in assisting the Agency to assess the regulatory utility of the information covered in the draft final rule. Finally, the petitioners assert that specific provisions of the June 14 "draft final" rule which differ from provisions of the 1992 proposed rule were wholly unanticipated and did not arise from comments received on the proposed rule. The Agency does not agree; the specific provisions noted by the petitioners arose from the Agency's interpretation of and response to comments received, including, in some instances, comments from the petitioners themselves. While the Agency appreciates the concerns of the petitioners and has no interest in the imposition of unnecessary or undue reporting burdens on pesticide registrants, EPA continues to believe that a reopening of the record limited to information concerning the nature of the burden associated with the draft final reporting requirements is both legally sufficient and the best way of providing interested parties with an opportunity to provide information to the Agency that could be helpful in concluding this rulemaking.

The Agency is therefore denying the petition request to reopen the record to include issues other than that of the burden associated with the reporting requirements. The Agency believes an additional period of 30 days is appropriate and sufficient to give petitioners added opportunity to comment on burden issues.

List of Subjects in Part 153 and 159

Environmental protection, Information collection requests, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: September 12, 1996.

Lynn R. Goldman,

*Assistant Administrator for Prevention,
Pesticides and Toxic Substances.*

[FR Doc. 96-24201 Filed 9-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

49 CFR Part 571

[Docket No. 96-095, Notice 02]

RIN 2127-AG50

Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards; Child Restraint Systems

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT.