

Register on June 4, 1996 (61 FR 28037),  
Airspace Docket No. 95-ASW-15.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 12, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Chuck Frankenfield, Operations Branch,  
Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region,  
Federal Aviation Administration, Fort  
Worth, TX 76193-0530, telephone 817-  
222-5591.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**History**

Federal Register Document 96-13929,  
Airspace Docket No. 95-ASW-15,  
published on June 4, 1996 (61 FR  
28037), revised the description of the  
Class E airspace area at Gainesville, TX.  
An error was discovered in the  
geographic coordinates for the  
Gainesville Municipal Airport,  
Gainesville, TX, and for the Gainesville  
Radio Beacon (RBN). The coordinates  
for the Gainesville Municipal Airport  
were published as latitude 33°38'57"N.,  
longitude 97°11'43"W.; they should  
have been published as latitude  
33°39'05"N., longitude 97°11'49"W. The  
coordinates for the Gainesville RBN  
were published as latitude 33°42'24"N.,  
longitude 99°10'19"W.; they should  
have been published as latitude  
33°43'07"N., longitude 97°11'55"W.  
This action corrects the geographic  
coordinates that were published in  
error.

**Correction to Final Rule**

Accordingly, pursuant to the  
authority delegated to me, the  
geographic coordinates for the  
description of the Class E airspace area  
at Gainesville, TX, as published in the  
Federal Register on June 4, 1996 (61 FR  
28037), (Federal Register Document 96-  
13929: page 28037, column 3), are  
corrected as follows:

**§ 71.1 [Corrected]**

\* \* \* \* \*

ASW TX E5 Gainesville, TX [Corrected]

Gainesville Municipal Airport, TX

Removing "(Lat. 33°38'57"N., long.  
97°11'43"W.)" and substituting  
"(Lat. 33°39'05"N., long. 97°11'49"W.)"

Gainesville RBN  
Removing "(Lat. 33°42'24"N., long.  
99°10'19"W.)" and substituting  
"(Lat. 33°43'07"N., long. 97°11'55"W.)"

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Fort Worth, TX, on September 3,  
1996.

Albert L. Viselli,  
*Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division,  
Southwest Region.*

[FR Doc. 96-23367 Filed 9-11-96; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

**Department of the Navy**

**32 CFR Part 706**

**Certifications and Exemptions Under  
the International Regulations for  
Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DOD.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Navy  
is amending its certifications and  
exemptions under the International  
Regulations for Preventing Collisions at  
Sea, 1972 (72 COLREGS), to reflect that  
the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate  
General (Admiralty) of the Navy has  
determined that USS FALCON (MHC  
59) is a vessel of the Navy which, due  
to its special construction and purpose,  
cannot fully comply with certain  
provisions of the 72 COLREGS without  
interfering with its special functions as  
a naval ship. The intended effect of this  
rule is to warn mariners in waters where  
72 COLREGS apply.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 21, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Captain R. R. Pixa, JAGC, U.S. Navy,  
Admiralty Counsel, Office of the Judge  
Advocate General, Navy Department,  
200 Stovall Street, Alexandria, Virginia,  
22332-2400. Telephone Number: (703)  
325-9744.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant  
to the authority granted in 33 U.S.C.  
1605, the Department of the Navy  
amends 32 CFR Part 706. This  
amendment provides notice that the  
Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate  
General (Admiralty) of the Navy, under

authority delegated by the Secretary of  
the Navy, has certified that USS  
FALCON (MHC 59) is a vessel of the  
Navy which, due to its special  
construction and purpose, cannot fully  
comply with the following specific  
provisions of 72 COLREGS without  
interfering with its special function as a  
naval ship: Rule 27(f), pertaining to the  
display of all-round lights by a vessel  
engaged in mineclearance operations;  
and Annex I, paragraph 9(b), prescribing  
that all-round lights be located as not to  
be obscured by masts, topmasts or  
structures within angular sectors of  
more than six degrees. The Deputy  
Assistant Judge Advocate General  
(Admiralty) of the Navy has also  
certified that the lights involved are  
located in closest possible compliance  
with the applicable 72 COLREGS  
requirements.

Moreover, it has been determined, in  
accordance with 32 CFR Parts 296 and  
701, that publication of this amendment  
for public comment prior to adoption is  
impracticable, unnecessary, and  
contrary to public interest since it is  
based on technical findings that the  
placement of lights on this vessel in a  
manner differently from that prescribed  
herein will adversely affect the vessel's  
ability to perform its military functions.

**List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 706**

Marine safety, Navigation (water), and  
Vessels.

Accordingly, 32 CFR part 706 is  
amended as follows:

**PART 706—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for 32 CFR  
part 706 continues to read:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1605.

2. Section 706.2 is amended by  
adding the following entry for USS  
FALCON to Table Four, paragraph 18:

**§ 706.2 Certifications of the Secretary of  
the Navy under Executive Order 11964 and  
33 U.S.C. 1605.**

\* \* \* \* \*

**Table Four**

\* \* \* \* \*  
18. \* \* \*

Vessel	Number	Obscured angles relative to ship's heading	
		Port	STBD
* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *
USS FALCON .....	MHC 59 .....	65.0° to 75.6° .....	284.1° to 294.6°

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: August 21, 1996.

R.R. Pixa,

*Captain, JAGC, U.S. Navy, Deputy Assistant  
Judge Advocate General (Admiralty).*

[FR Doc. 96-23163 Filed 9-11-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

**POSTAL SERVICE****39 CFR Part 111****Classification Reform; Implementation  
Standards****AGENCY:** Postal Service.**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This final rule sets forth additional Domestic Mail Manual (DMM) standards adopted by the Postal Service to implement the Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on the Recommended Decision of the Postal Rate Commission on Nonprofit Standard Mail, Nonprofit Enhanced Carrier Route Standard Mail, Nonprofit Periodicals, and Within County Periodicals, Docket No. MC96-2, Classification Reform II.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 6, 1996.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Leo F. Raymond, (202) 268-5199.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On April 4, 1996, pursuant to its authority under 39 U.S.C. 3621, *et seq.*, the Postal Service filed with the Postal Rate Commission (PRC) a request for a recommended decision on several mail classification reform proposals for nonprofit Periodicals and Standard Mail (Classification Reform II). The PRC designated the filing as Docket No. MC96-2. On April 11, 1996, the PRC published a notice of the filing, with a description of the Postal Service's proposals, in the Federal Register (61 FR 16129-16146). The PRC issued its Opinion and Recommended Decision on Docket No. MC96-2 on July 19, 1996. In that document, the PRC favorably recommended what the Postal Service had proposed, with the exception of those provisions in the Classroom Periodicals rate schedule; the PRC reopened the record in Docket No. MC96-2 for further proceedings on that category of mail. On August 6, 1996, the Governors of the Postal Service accepted the Recommended Decision and the Board of Governors set October 6, 1996, as the date on which the provisions of Docket No. MC96-2 would take effect. A notice of the Decision of the Governors was published on August 15, 1996 (61 FR 42464-42476).

The DMM standards that were proposed to take effect to implement

Docket No. MC96-2 were published for public comment in the Federal Register on June 24, 1996 (61 FR 32606-32616). Because no comments were received on the proposed rule, it was adopted without change as the final rule, except as noted therein to reflect the absence of rate provisions for Classroom Periodicals in the PRC's Recommended Decision that was accepted and implemented by the Governors, and published on August 15, 1996 (61 FR 42478-42489).

Because the PRC's Recommended Decision, as accepted and implemented by the Governors, excluded rate changes for Classroom Periodicals that had been proposed by the Postal Service, the rate schedule for Classroom Periodicals was unchanged, retaining ZIP+4 Classroom rates. Because of this difference from what the Postal Service had proposed in its request and in the proposed rule, the August 15 final rule also proposed standards for ZIP+4 Classroom rate mail that were different from those published in DMM Issue 50. (In general, the Postal Service proposed to establish eligibility standards for ZIP+4 Classroom rate mail that parallel those for other automation rate Periodicals. Mail preparation standards for ZIP+4 Classroom rate mail would be essentially similar to those for upgradable mail in other classes, except a ZIP+4 code would be required in the address. The Postal Service anticipated minimal adverse impact from this proposal on the mailing community, given the applicability of ZIP+4 rates to only letter-size pieces and the likely absence of a significant volume of letter-size mail in the Classroom Periodicals subclass.) Because those specific standards were not part of the June 24 proposed rule, the Postal Service accepted further comments on those standards from interested parties for an additional 21 days (i.e., through September 5, 1996).

No comments were received on the proposed rule for ZIP+4 Classroom Periodicals rate eligibility and mail preparation. Therefore, the Postal Service adopts the corresponding DMM standards as the final rule.

These relevant standards are shown below for the information of readers, but are the same as the corresponding standards published in the August 15 final rule.

All references to DMM sections shown in this rule are based on DMM Issue 50 (July 1, 1996) as amended by the August 15 final rule.

**List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 111**

Postal Service.

For the reasons discussed above, the Postal Service hereby adopts the

following amendments to the Domestic Mail Manual, which is incorporated by reference in the Code of Federal Regulations (see 39 CFR part 111).

**PART 111—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for 39 CFR part 111 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552(a); 39 U.S.C. 101, 401, 403, 404, 3001-3011, 3201-3219, 3403-3406, 3621, 3626, 5001.

2. Revise the following sections of the Domestic Mail Manual as set forth below:

**C CHARACTERISTICS AND CONTENT**

\* \* \* \* \*

**C800 Automation-Compatible Mail****C810 Letters and Cards**

\* \* \* \* \*

**2.0 DIMENSIONS**

\* \* \* \* \*

[Revise the heading of 2.3 to read as follows:]

**2.3 Maximum Weight**

[Revise 2.3a to read as follows:]

Maximum weight limits are as follows:

a. 2.5 ounces: upgradable Presorted First-Class Mail, ZIP+4 Classroom Periodicals, and upgradable nonautomation Standard Mail.

\* \* \* \* \*

**C840 Barcoding Standards**

\* \* \* \* \*

**2.0 BARCODE LOCATION—LETTER-SIZE PIECES****2.1 Barcode Clear Zone**

[Amend 2.1 by revising the first sentence to read as follows:]

Each letter-size piece in an automation rate mailing, each piece of ZIP+4 Classroom Periodicals, and each piece of upgradable Presorted First-Class Mail or upgradable Standard Mail (A) must have a barcode clear zone unless the piece bears a DPBC in the address book. \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

**E ELIGIBILITY**

\* \* \* \* \*

**E200 Periodicals**

\* \* \* \* \*

**E240 Automation Rates****1.0 BASIC STANDARDS****1.1 All Pieces**

[Amend 1.1 by revising the introductory text to read as follows:]