received during the comment period. The deletion is finalized after the Regional Administrator places a Notice of Deletion in the Federal Register.

The NPL will reflect any deletions in the next publication of the final rule. Public notices and copies of the Responsiveness Summary will be made available to local residents by Region IV.

IV. Basis for Intended Seldon Clark Property Deletion

The following Site summary provides the Agency's rationale for the proposed intent for partial deletion of this Site from the NPL.

The General Electric/Shepherd Farm Site consists of three non-contiguous disposal areas in East Flat Rock, Henderson County, North Carolina. These disposal areas (subsites) are known as the GE property, the Shepherd Farm property, and the Seldon Clark property.

The ĞE Subsite is approximately 50 acres in size and located at the southeastern corner of Spartanburg Highway and Tabor Road. The Shepherd Farm Subsite is approximately 31 acres in size and is located on Roper Road, approximately 2500 feet southwest of the GE Subsite.

The Seldon Clark Subsite is 1 acre in size and is located at the northeastern corner of Spartanburg Highway and Tabor Road, directly across the street from the GE Subsite. Geographically, the center of the subsite is located at 35°16′35″N latitude and 82°25′00″W longitude according to the Hendersonville, North Carolina, USGS 7.5 minute topographic map.

From 1955 to present, the GE facility has been used to develop, design, and manufacture complete high intensity discharge luminaire systems, which consists of the assembly of optical components, ballasts, mountings, and high mast lowering devices. From about 1955 until 1975, GE also manufactured "constant-current" transformers at this facility. These transformers were filled with PCB-containing oil, which were delivered to the facility in railroad tank cars.

Waste streams generated by GE's facility from the beginning of plant operations have included construction wastes, buffing compound, epoxy compound, phenolic residue, paint sludges, PCB capacitors, solvents, transformer oil, electrical insulators/capacitors, waste acids, dye cast mold released hydrocarbons, heavy petroleum greases, and varnish residues. These waste streams contain many VOCs, heavy metals, acids, and PCBs.

The GE facility contains three landfills, two unlined wastewater

treatment ponds, 26 acres of landspreading plots, and 18 areas where underground storage tanks were located. From approximately 1957 to 1970, GE wastes were also intermittently deposited at the Shepherd Farm property where it was dumped, burned, and bulldozed in an approximate 3-acre area onsite. The Spring Haven community was later constructed over a portion of this dumping area.

During the 1960s and early 1970s, GE wastes were also dumped in an approximate 0.3 acre ravine on the Seldon Clark property. GE reported that the property was used for the disposal of construction rubble only, but according to Mr. Clark, the ravine was also filled in with drums of aluminum paint and drums of cleaning fluid from dye-casting machinery. Old transformers are also reported to have been deposited in the ravine. However, none of these items were found during EPA's investigation.

In 1988 and 1989, EPA conducted Site Inspections and investigations at all three Subsites. The Site was proposed for inclusion on the NPL in February 1992 and finalized on the NPL in December 1994.

EPA performed a Remedial Investigation of all three subsites in September 1994. This Notice of Intent to Delete (NOID) is limited to the Seldon Clark Subsite.

Five soil samples were collected from two soil borings on the Seldon Clark Subsite. Semi-volatile organic compounds, pesticides and PCBs were found, but all were at concentrations under the soil cleanup levels (SCLs) determined in the feasibility study. One surface water/sediment sample was taken downgradient of this Subsite. Again, semi-volatiles and PCBs were found at concentrations below the SCLs. One groundwater sample was collected downgradient of the suspected fill area. This sample contained one semi-volatile compound at trace concentrations.

A Record of Decision (ROD) for the Site was signed on September 29, 1995. The ROD recommended soil and groundwater remediation at the GE Subsite and the Shepherd Farm Subsite, but not for the Seldon Clark Subsite.

The EPA community relations activities at the Site included a public meeting on August 3, 1995 to present to the public the Agency's Proposed Plan for remediation at the Site. Public comments received during the 60-day public comment period were considered and addressed in the Responsiveness Summary. This document was included as an appendix to the ROD.

There are no institutional controls for this Subsite. A five-year review will not be conducted at the Subsite, due to the fact that soil and groundwater contaminants are below the SCLs. The concentrations found in the samples taken do not present a current or future threat to public health or the environment.

EPA, with concurrence of the State of North Carolina, has determined that all appropriate Fund-financed responses under CERCLA for the Seldon Clark Subsite have been completed, and that no further activities by responsible parties are appropriate. Therefore, EPA proposes to delete this Subsite from the NPL.

Dated: June 11, 1996.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Deputy Regional Administrator, Region IV,
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

[FR Doc. 96–21823 Filed 8–30–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Chapter I

[CC Docket No. 87-75]

Provision of Aeronautical Services via the Inmarsat System

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment date.

SUMMARY: BT North America, Inc. (BTNA) requested a 45-day extension of time to file comments in response to the Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. BTNA pointed to the numerous changes in the marketplace since the initial petition was filed and the Further *Notice* was issued, and the need for an in-depth analysis of these changes. The Commission found that the public interest would be served by allowing additional time for an in-depth analysis of the technical and policy issues presented in the Further Notice. The Commission granted BTNA's extension request and the comment deadline is extended to September 3, 1996. **DATES:** Comments are due on or before

DATES: Comments are due on or before September 3, 1996. Replies are due on or before October 4, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Olga Madruga-Forti at (202) 418–0749. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of Public Notice, Report No. SPB–52 (released June 26, 1996):

The Commission issued a Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in Provision of Aeronautical Services via the Inmarsat System, FCC 96–161, 61 FR 30579 (June 17, 1996). Based on the publication date, comments are due July 17, 1996 and replies are due August 16, 1996.

BT North America, Inc. (BTNA) has filed a Motion for an Extension of Time to extend the comment date an additional 45 days, or 75 days from publication in the Federal Register. BTNA states that more time is needed for parties to provide in-depth comments based on changes in the industry over the past seven years and to conduct the complex technical analysis required to address the Commission's tentative conclusions.

Accordingly, pursuant to section 0.261 of the Commission's rules on delegations of authority, 47 CFR 0.261, and for good cause shown, BTNA's motion is granted.

Comments may be filed on or before September 3, 1996. Replies may be filed on or before October 4, 1996.

Federal Communications Commission William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary. [FR Doc. 96–22198 Filed 8–30–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-U

47 CFR Parts 1 and 25

[IB Docket No. 95-59]

Preemption of Local Zoning Regulation of Satellite Earth Stations

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Commission issued a Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking adopting rules implementing Section 207 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 relating to nonfederal restrictions on installation of satellite and certain other antennas. The Public Notice seeks to refresh the record and requests comments on any remaining issues pertaining to satellite earth station antennas and local restrictions.

DATES: Comments are due on or before September 27, 1996. Replies are due on or before October 28, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rosalee Chiara at (202) 418–0749.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of Public Notice, Report No. SPB-55 (released August 7, 1996):

On August 6, 1996, the Commission released a Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking adopting rules implementing Section 207 of the Telecommunications Act with respect to nonfederal restrictions on installation of satellite and certain other antennas used to receive video programming. (See FCC 96–328 (released August 6, 1996)) In this order, the Commission stated that the International Bureau would issue this public notice soliciting comment to update and refresh the record with respect to issues that are not addressed in the August 6 order but which remain pending in IB Docket 95-59. Accordingly, we seek comment on any issues pertaining to satellite earth station antennas and local restrictions that remain in light of the Commission's

August 6 action.

Comments filed in response to this public notice should be filed on or before September 27, 1996 and replies should be filed on or before October 28, 1996. Copies of relevant documents can be obtained in the FCC Reference Center, 1919 M Street, NW., Room 239, Washington, DC. and also may be

Washington, DC, and also may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, (202) 857–3800, 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037. For further information contact Rosalee Chiara, 202–418–0749.

Federal Communications Commission. William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-22199 Filed 8-30-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

47 CFR Part 22

[WT Docket No. 96-162; GEN Docket No. 90-314; FCC 96-319]

Competitive Service Safeguards for Local Exchange Carrier Provision of Commercial Mobile Radio Services

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: In this *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)*, in WT Docket No. 96–162 and GEN Docket No. 90–314, the Commission initiates a comprehensive review of the existing regulatory framework of structural and nonstructural safeguards for local exchange carrier (LEC) provision of commercial mobile radio services (CMRS). The Commission proposes to eliminate the current requirement that Bell Operating Companies (BOCs) must provide cellular service through a

structurally separate corporation. The Commission also proposes rule changes necessary to implement those provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Public Law 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) ("the 1996 Act") that govern the joint marketing of CMRS and landline services, protections for customer proprietary network information (CPNI) and network information disclosure. The Commission's objective is to implement further the mandate of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993, Title VI, Sections 6002(b)(2)(A), 6002(b)(2)(B), Public Law No. 103-66, 107 Stat. 312, 392 (1993) to treat similar commercial mobile radio services similarly by placing all CMRS licensees under a uniform set of nonstructural safeguards.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before October 3, 1996. Reply comments are to be filed on or before October 24, 1996. Comment of the Office of Management and Budget on the information collections contained herein are due November 4, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jane Halprin or Mika Savir, Commercial Wireless Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, at (202) 418–0620.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in WT Docket No. 96-162 and GEN Docket No. 90-314, adopted on July 25, 1996 and released on August 13, 1996, is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, Room 575, 2000 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037, (202) 857-3800. Synopsis of the *Notice of Proposed* Rulemaking:

I. Background

1. Currently, there are distinct rules for BOC provision of cellular service versus non-BOC provision of personal communications service (PCS) and other commercial mobile radio services. BOCs are required to provide cellular service through structurally separate subsidiary corporations, whereas all other LECs may provide cellular service on an unseparated basis. Moreover, the Commission has declined to impose these restrictions on LEC, including BOC, provision of other CMRS, such as PCS and specialized mobile radio (SMR)