

interested parties and proceed with the revocation. However, if an interested party does request an administrative review in accordance with the Department's notice of opportunity to request administrative review, or a domestic interested party does object to the Department's intent to revoke pursuant to this notice, the Department will not revoke the order.

Countervailing duty order	
Canada: Steel Rail (C-122-805).	09/22/89, 54 FR 39032

#### Opportunity To Object

Not later than the last day of September 1996, domestic interested parties may object to the Department's intent to revoke this countervailing duty order. Any submission objecting to the revocation must contain the name and case number of the order and a statement that explains how the objecting party qualifies as a domestic interested party under sections 355.2 (i)(3), (i)(4), (i)(5), or (i)(6) of the Department's regulations.

Seven copies of any such objections should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room B-099, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230.

This notice is in accordance with 19 CFR 355.25(d)(4)(i).

Dated: August 21, 1996.

Jeffrey P. Bialos,

*Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 96-22236 Filed 8-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### Export Trade Certificate of Review; Notice of Application To Amend Certificate

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Export Trading Company Affairs ("OETCA"), International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, has received an application to amend an Export Trade Certificate of Review. This notice summarizes the proposed amendment and requests comments relevant to whether the Certificate should be issued.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** W. Dawn Busby, Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, (202) 482-5131. This is not a toll-free number.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of

1982 (15 U.S.C. 4001-21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. A Certificate of Review protects the holder and the members identified in the Certificate from state and federal government antitrust actions and from private, treble damage antitrust actions for the export conduct specified in the Certificate and carried out in compliance with its terms and conditions. Section 302(b)(1) of the Act and 15 CFR 325.6(a) require the Secretary to publish a notice in the Federal Register identifying the applicant and summarizing its proposed export conduct.

#### Request for Public Comments

Interested parties may submit written comments relevant to the determination whether an amended Certificate should be issued. An original and five (5) copies should be submitted no later than 20 days after the date of this notice to: Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, Room 1800H, Washington, D.C. 20230. Information submitted by any person is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). Comments should refer to this application as "Export Trade Certificate of Review, application number 89-7A016."

Geothermal Energy Association's ("GEA") original Certificate was issued on February 5, 1990 (55 FR 4647, February 9, 1990) and previously amended on November 7, 1990 (55 FR 47784, November 15, 1990); April 17, 1991 (56 FR 16328, April 22, 1991); September 11, 1991 (56 FR 47068, September 17, 1991); October 25, 1993 (58 FR 58325, November 1, 1993); September 26, 1994 (59 FR 50575, October 4, 1994); and March 6, 1996 (61 FR 11189). A summary of the application for an amendment follows.

#### Summary of the Application

**Applicant:** Geothermal Energy Association ("GEA"), 2001 Second Street, Suite 5, Davis, California 95616.

**Contact:** John Armstrong, Counsel, Telephone: (703) 356-3100.

**Application No.:** 89-7A016.

**Date Deemed Submitted:** August 23, 1996.

**Proposed Amendment:** Geothermal Energy Association seeks to amend its Certificate to:

1. Add the following company as a new "Member" of the Certificate within the meaning of section 325.2(1) of the Regulations (15 CFR 325.2(1)): Ormat Technologies, Inc. as the controlling

entity of the GEA Certificate Member Ormat International, Inc.

2. Delete the following companies as "Members" of the Certificate: University of Utah Research Institute; and Big Bear Mud & Engineering Company; and

3. Change the listing of the company names for the current members: "Calpine Corporation" d.b.a "Santa Rosa Geothermal Company, L.P." to the new listing "Calpine Corporation"; and "Unocal Geothermal Division and its controlling entity, "Unocal Corporation" to "Union Oil of California", d.b.a. "Unocal and/or Unocal Corporation".

Dated: August 26, 1996.

W. Dawn Busby,

*Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 96-22161 Filed 8-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

## CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Meeting

**AGENCY:** U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**DATE AND TIME:** Thursday, September 5, 1996, 10:00 a.m.

**LOCATION:** Room 410, East West Towers, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland.

**STATUS:** Closed to the Public.

#### MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED:

Compliance Status Report

The staff will brief the Commission on the status of various compliance matters.

For a recorded message containing the latest agenda information, call (301) 504-0709.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:** Sadye E. Dunn, Office of the Secretary, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20207 (301) 504-0800.

Dated: August 27, 1996.

Todd A. Stevenson,

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-22423 Filed 8-28-96; 3:25 pm]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Recognition of Accrediting Agencies

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Request for comments on an accrediting agency's requested expansion of scope during the review of its application to the Secretary for renewal of recognition.

**DATES:** Commentors should submit their written comments by September 13, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Karen W. Kershenstein, Director, Accreditation and State Liaison Division, U.S. Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue, S.W., Room 3915 ROB-3, Washington, DC 20202-5244, telephone: (202) 708-7417.

Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 7 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

**SUBMISSION OF THIRD-PARTY COMMENTS:**

The Secretary of Education is required by law to publish a list of accrediting agencies that he determines to be reliable authorities regarding the quality of education or training offered by institutions or programs they accredit. The National Advisory Committee on Institutional Quality and Integrity (the "Advisory Committee") advises the Secretary on specific accrediting agencies that seek to be recognized by the Secretary or to be granted an expansion of scope.

The agency listed in this notice is seeking renewal of recognition and an expansion of scope, which was inadvertently omitted from the Federal Register notice dated Tuesday, July 9, 1996. The Advisory Committee will consider this petition for renewal and expansion of scope, along with the petitions listed in the July Notice, at its November 18-20, 1996 meeting.

The purpose of this notice is to invite interested third parties to present written comments on the agency that will be reviewed by the Advisory Committee. In order for Department staff to give full consideration to the comments received, the comments must arrive at the address listed above not later than September 13, 1996. All written comments received by the Department in response to this notice will be reviewed by Department staff as part of its evaluation of the agency's compliance with the criteria for recognition.

A subsequent Federal Register notice will announce the meeting and invite individuals and/or groups to submit requests for oral presentation before the Advisory Committee on the AMDA and other agencies being reviewed at the meeting. That notice, however, does not constitute another call for written comment. This notice is the only call for written comment.

**Request for Renewal of Recognition and Expansion of Scope**

The agency listed below is seeking renewal of recognition and expansion of scope:

1. The American Dietetic Association (requested scope of recognition: the accreditation of coordinated undergraduate programs in Dietetics and postbaccalaureate Dietetic Internships). The agency is seeking an expansion of scope for (1) Coordinated Programs at the graduate level; (2) Dietetic Technician Programs (associated degree level); and (3) preaccreditation status for all programs.

**Public Inspection of Petitions and Third-Party Comments**

All third-party comments received in response to this call for comment, as well as the agency's original petition and supporting documentation, and the Department staff analysis of that petition will be available for public inspection and copying at the U.S. Department of Education, ROB-3, Room 3915, 7th and D Streets, S.W., Washington, DC 20202-5244, telephone (202) 708-7417 between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday. It is preferred that an appointment be made in advance of such inspection and copying.

Dated: August 26, 1996.

David A. Longanecker,  
Assistant Secretary for Postsecondary Education.

[FR Doc. 96-22220 Filed 8-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-M

**Arbitration Panel Decision Under the Randolph-Sheppard Act**

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Notice of Arbitration Panel Decision Under the Randolph-Sheppard Act.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that on January 22, 1996, an arbitration panel rendered a decision in the matter of *Johnny Wilson v. Georgia Department of Human Resources*, (Docket No. R-S/92-4). This panel was convened by the U.S. Department of Education pursuant to 20 U.S.C. 107d-2, upon receipt of a complaint filed by Johnny Wilson.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** A copy of the full text of the arbitration panel decision may be obtained from George F. Arsnow, U.S. Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue, S.W., Room 3230, Mary E. Switzer Building, Washington, D.C. 20202-2738. Telephone: (202) 205-9317. Individuals who use a telecommunications device

for the deaf (TDD) may call the TDD number at (202) 205-8298.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to the Randolph-Sheppard Act (20 U.S.C. 107d-2(c)), the Secretary publishes a synopsis of arbitration panel decisions affecting the administration of vending facilities on Federal and other property.

**Background**

In October 1990 the Georgia Department of Human Resources, the State licensing agency (SLA), announced a vacancy at a new facility, No. 1-350. This was a vending machine facility at the United States Postal Service Mail Processing Center in Duluth, Georgia. The announcement for this facility indicated that a manager and an assistant manager would be needed at this location.

Mr. Johnny Wilson was the successful applicant for this position and several weeks later another vendor was selected as the assistant manager. The complainant employed his spouse at the facility. The assistant manager at various times also employed his spouse and occasionally members of his family. The relationship between the two vendors became increasingly strained. The SLA initiated action to discharge the spouse of each vendor.

The complainant filed a complaint with the SLA under the State fair hearing procedures. Mr. Wilson's complaint included two additional grievances. The first concerned the equipment required for the start-up of his facility. The equipment to begin operation of complainant's facility had been purchased by Georgia Co-op for the Blind and leased to the SLA under a lease-purchase agreement that required monthly payments. The SLA passed these payments on to Mr. Wilson and the assistant manager at facility No. 1-350. This charge was in addition to the 12 per cent set-aside fee on net proceeds. Secondly, Mr. Wilson grieved the decision of the SLA to place an additional blind vendor at a cafeteria facility at the Mail Processing Center.

A fair hearing was conducted by the SLA on February 21, 1992, regarding the three issues: (1) Dismissal of Mr. Wilson's spouse. (2) The assignment of the equipment lease payment in addition to the set-aside fee to complainant's facility. (3) The SLA's proposal to establish the cafeteria as a separate facility at the Mail Processing Center.

On March 16, 1992, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) ruled in Mr. Wilson's favor on the following issues. The ALJ ruled that the SLA had exceeded its authority in terminating