that the series of meetings or portions of meetings of the Committee and of any Subcommittee thereof, dealing with the classified materials listed in 5 U.S.C. 552(c)(1) shall be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in section 10 (a)(1) and (a)(3) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The remaining series of meetings or portions thereof will be open to the public.

A copy of the Notice of Determination to close meetings or portions of meetings of the Committee is available for public inspection and copying in the Central Reference and Records Inspection Facility, Room 6020, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. For further information or copies of the minutes call (202) 482–2583.

Dated: August 13, 1996.

Lee Ann Carpenter,

Director, Technical Advisory Committee Unit. [FR Doc. 96–20986 Filed 8–16–96: 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-M

### Transportation and Related Equipment Technical Advisory Committee; Notice of Partially Closed Meeting

A meeting of the Transportation and Related Equipment Technical Advisory Committee will be held September 17, 1996, 9:00 a.m., in the Herbert C. Hoover Building, Room 1617M(2), 14th Street between Constitution & Pennsylvania Avenues, N.W., Washington, D.C. The Committee advises the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration with respect to technical questions that affect the level of export controls applicable to transportation and related equipment or technology.

### General Session

- 1. Opening remarks by the Chairman and introductions.
- 2. Presentation of public papers or comments.
- 3. Discussion on renewal of the Committee charter.
- 4. Update on status of Wassenaar Arrangement negotiations and plans for U.S. implementation.
- 5. Update on status of commercial satellite and "hot section" technology jurisdiction negotiations and on schedule for publication of the implementing regulations.
- 6. Report on Missile Technology Control Regime multilateral negotiations and changes under consideration.

### Closed Session

7. Discussion of matters properly classified under Executive Order 12958, dealing with the U.S. export control program and strategic criteria related thereto.

The General Session of the meeting will be open to the public and a limited number of seats will be available. To the extent time permits, members of the public may present oral statements to the Committee. Written statements may be submitted at any time before or after the meeting. However, to facilitate distribution of public presentation materials to Committee members, the Committee suggests that you forward your public presentation materials two weeks prior to the meeting to the following address: Ms. Lee Ann Carpenter, TAC Unit/OAS/EA Room 3886C, Bureau of Export Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

The Assistant Secretary for Administration, with the concurrence of the delegate of the General Counsel, formally determined on December 22, 1994, pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, that the series of meetings or portions of meetings of the Committee and of any Subcommittee thereof, dealing with the classified materials listed in 5 U.S.C. 552(c)(1) shall be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in section 10 (a)(1) and (a)(3), of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The remaining series of meetings or portions thereof will be open to the public.

A copy of the Notice of Determination to close meetings or portions of meetings of the Committee is available for public inspection and copying in the Central Reference and Records Inspection Facility, Room 6020, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. For further information or copies of the minutes call (202) 482–2583.

Dated: August 13, 1996.

Lee Ann Carpenter,

Director, Technical Advisory Committee Unit. [FR Doc. 96–20985 Filed 8–16–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DT–M

# Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 833]

# Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 50 Long Beach, CA, Area

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, an application from the Board of Harbor Commissioners of the City of Long Beach, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 50, Long Beach, California, area, for authority to expand its generalpurpose zone to include a site in San Bernardino, California, was filed by the Board on October 5, 1995 (FTZ Docket 60–95, 60 FR 53583, 10/16/95); and,

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the Federal Register and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest:

*Now, therefore,* the Board hereby orders:

The application to expand FTZ 50 is approved, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 16th day of July 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Attest:

John J. Da Ponte, Jr., *Executive Secretary.* 

[FR Doc. 96–21062 Filed 8–16–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### [Order No. 835]

# Grant of Authority; Establishment of a Foreign-Trade Zone, Sebring, FL

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, by an Act of Congress approved June 18, 1934, an Act "To provide for the establishment of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes," as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u) (the Act), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) is authorized to grant to qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs ports of entry;

Whereas, the Sebring Airport Authority (the Grantee) has made application to the Board (FTZ Docket 2–95, 60 FR 7939, 2/10/95), requesting the establishment of a foreign-trade zone in Sebring, Florida, adjacent to the Port Manatee Customs port of entry; and,

Whereas, notice inviting public comment has been given in the Federal Register, and the Board adopts the

findings and recommendations of the examiner's report and finds that the requirements of the Act and the Board's regulations are satisfied, and that approval of the application is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby grants to the Grantee the privilege of establishing a foreign-trade zone, designated on the records of the Board as Foreign-Trade Zone No. 215, at the site described in the application, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 26th day of July 1996.

Michael Kantor,

Secretary of Commerce, Chairman and Executive Officer.

Attest

John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-21060 Filed 8-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### [Order No. 840]

# Grant of Authority; Establishment of a Foreign-Trade Zone, Ocala, FL

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, by an Act of Congress approved June 18, 1934, an Act "To provide for the establishment of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes," as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u) (the Act), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) is authorized to grant to qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs ports of entry;

Whereas, the Economic Development Council, Inc. (of Ocala/Marion County) (the Grantee), a Florida non-profit corporation, has made application to the Board (FTZ Docket 23–95, 60 FR 27077, 5/22/95), requesting the establishment of a foreign-trade zone at sites in Ocala and Marion County, Florida, at and adjacent to the Ocala Regional Airport, a Customs user fee airport; and,

Whereas, notice inviting public comment has been given in the Federal Register, and the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report and finds that the requirements of the Act and the Board's regulations are satisfied, and that approval of the application is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby grants to the Grantee the privilege of establishing a foreign-trade zone, designated on the records of the Board as Foreign-Trade Zone No. 217, at the sites described in the application, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, subject to the standard 2,000-acre activation limit.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 7th day of August 1996.

Michael Kantor,

Secretary of Commerce, Chairman and Executive Officer.

John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–21061 Filed 8–16–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

# International Trade Administration [A–301–602]

### Certain Fresh Cut Flowers From Colombia; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews.

SUMMARY: On June 8, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of three concurrent administrative reviews of the antidumping duty order on certain fresh cut flowers from Colombia. These reviews cover a total of 348 producers and/or exporters of fresh cut flowers to the United States for at least one of the following periods: March 1, 1991 through February 29, 1992; March 1, 1992 through February 28, 1993; and March 1, 1993 through February 28, 1994.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Based on our analysis of the comments received and the correction of certain clerical errors, we have made certain changes for the final results. The review indicates the existence of dumping margins for certain firms during the review periods. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 19, 1996.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Schauer, J. David Dirstine, or Richard Rimlinger, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–4733.

### APPLICABLE STATUTE AND REGULATIONS:

The Department is conducting these administrative reviews in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On March 5, 1992, March 12, 1993, and March 4, 1994, the Department published notices in the Federal Register of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" (57 FR 7910, 58 FR 13583, and 59 FR 10368, respectively) of the antidumping duty order on certain fresh cut flowers from Colombia. On May 21, 1992, May 28, 1993, and May 2, 1994, in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(c)(1994), we initiated administrative reviews of this order for more than 500 Colombian firms covering the periods March 1, 1991 through February 29, 1992 (the 5th review), March 1, 1992 through February 28, 1993 (the 6th review), and March 1, 1993 through February 28, 1994 (the 7th review), respectively (see 57 FR 21643, 58 FR 31010, and 59 FR 22579, respectively).

On June 8, 1995, we published a notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Partial Termination of Administrative Reviews, and Notice of Intent to Revoke Order (In Part) (Preliminary Results), wherein we invited interested parties to comment. See 60 FR 30270 (June 8, 1995). At the request of interested parties, we held a public hearing on September 8, 1995.

Although the Preliminary Results indicated that Cultivos Miramonte, Flores Aurora, the Funza Group, and Industrial Agricola were being considered for revocation, our recalculations for these final results indicate that these firms no longer meet our requirements of not selling the subject merchandise at less than fair value for a period of at least three years and that it is not likely that they will sell the subject merchandise at less than fair value in the future. See 19 CFR 353.25(a)(2). Therefore, we are no longer considering these firms for revocation.

A number of respondents have asked that we correct clerical errors contained in their responses. We have had a longstanding practice of correcting a respondent's clerical errors after the preliminary results only if we can assess