

no request for information. There is, however, a paperwork burden on States because they must prepare and transmit formal requests for the authority to request advances and the repayment of said advances.

A copy of the proposed procedure can be obtained by contacting the addressee listed below.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before October 15, 1996.

Written comments should:

- Evaluate whether the proposed extension of the current procedure is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed extension of the current procedure, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the procedure; and
- Minimize the burden of the procedure on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

ADDRESSES: James E. Herbert, Unemployment Insurance Service, Employment and Training Administration, Department of Labor, Room C 4514, 200 Constitution Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210; 202 219-5309 (this is not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Title XII Section 1201 of the SSA provides for advances to States from the FUA. The law further sets out specific requirements to be met by a State requesting an advance:

- The Governor must apply for the advance;
- The application must cover a three month period and the Secretary of Labor must be furnished with estimates of the amounts needed in each month of the three month period;
- An application for an advance shall be made on such forms and shall contain such information and data (fiscal and otherwise) concerning the operation and administration of the State unemployment compensation law as the Secretary of Labor deems necessary or relevant to the performance of his duties under this title;

- The amount required by any State for the payment of compensation in any month shall be determined with due allowance for contingencies and taking into account all other amounts that will be available in the State's unemployment fund for the payment of compensation in such month;

- The term "compensation" means cash benefits payable to individuals with respect to their unemployment exclusive of expenses of administration.

Section 1202(a) of the SSA provides that the Governor of any State may at any time request that funds be transferred from the account of such State to the FUA in repayment of part or all of the balance of advances made to such State under section 1201. These applications and repayments may be requested by an individual designated for that authority in writing by the Governor. The DOL proposes to extend this procedure through September, 1999.

II. Current Actions

This action is requested to maintain the continuity of current procedures which have succeeded in the orderly application and repayment operations at both the State and Federal levels. This is not a data collection process.

This is a request for OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 USC 3506 (c)(2)(A)) of an extension to an existing procedure previously approved and assigned OMB control No. 1205-0199.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration, Department of Labor.

Title: Governor's requests for advances from the Federal unemployment account or requests for voluntary repayment of such advances.
OMB Number: 1205-0199.

Affected Public: State governments (State Employment Security Agencies).

Total Respondents: 50 States, Washington, D.C., the Virgin Islands, and Puerto Rico are covered by this process. The DOL estimates that one State will be requesting advances and making repayments in FY 1997. Absent recessionary periods in FY 1998 and FY 1999, an average of two States per year will be doing so. During the last recession, six states requested advances.

Frequency: As needed, based on a State's discretion.

Average Time Per Response: 1 hour.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 5 State/years \times 14 responses (4 requests for advances and 10 repayments) \times 1 hour = 70 burden hours.

Estimated Total Burden Cost: 70 hours \times \$27.75 = \$1,942.50.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or

included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: August 5, 1996.

Mary Ann Wyrsh,
Director, Unemployment Insurance Service.
[FR Doc. 96-20604 Filed 8-12-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

Proposed Information Collection Request Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations; Youth Opportunity Area Pilot

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Employment and Training Administration is soliciting comments concerning proposed information collection on the Youth Opportunity Area Pilot. A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the employee listed below in the contact section of this notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before October 15, 1996. Written comments should:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions use;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological

collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

ADDRESSES: David Lah, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room N-5637, Washington, D.C. 20210, 202-219-5782.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Youth Opportunity Area Pilot is an attempt on the part of the Department of Labor to improve the labor market prospects of out-of-school youth in a small number of high poverty areas. In this pilot, funds will be provided to three Opportunity Areas, one each in the cities of Chicago, Los Angeles and Houston, to expand employment, education, and training opportunities for out-of-school youth ages 16-24, with priority given to high school dropouts. Each Opportunity Area will consist of an identified target area within a designated empowerment zone (EZ) or enterprise community (EC) with a population of between 10,000 and 20,000 persons and a poverty rate in the 1990 Census that is among the highest in the EZ/EC. Under this evaluation, a baseline youth employment rate will be determined for the three Opportunity Areas. This will be compared to an employment rate similarly calculated at the end of the pilot to determine its impact on the ability of youth in these areas to find jobs. In addition, information will be collected on whether the subject young people are parents and on any exposure they may have had to the criminal justice system.

Type of Review: Paperwork Reduction.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration.

Title: Youth Opportunity Area Pilot.

Affected Public: Individuals and households.

Total Respondents: 720.

Frequency: One follow-up survey.

Total Responses: 1440.

Average Time Per Response: One-half hour.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 720.

Estimated Total Burden Cost: \$380,000.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: August 7, 1996.

Gerard F. Fiala,

Administrator, Office of Policy and Research.
[FR Doc. 96-20606 Filed 8-12-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

[NAFTA-00838]

Winona Knitting Mills, Inc., Berwick Knitwear, Berwick, Pennsylvania; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273), the Department of Labor issued a Revised Determination on Reconsideration concerning eligibility to apply for NAFTA-Transitional Adjustment Assistance on May 8, 1996, applicable to all workers of Winona Knitting Mills, Berwick Knitwear, Formerly Komar & Sons Berwick Knitwear, located in Berwick, Pennsylvania. The notice was published in the Federal Register on May 24, 1996 (FR 61 26224).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New findings show that the Department set the incorrect impact date for the worker certification. Other findings show that all workers of Komar & Sons were separated from employment on March 2, 1995, when the company closed its Berwick, Pennsylvania production facility. Those workers did not file a TAA petition, and should not be included in this worker group certification. Winona Knitting Mills purchased the Berwick production facility from Komar & Sons on March 3, 1995, and on March 6, 1995 reopened the plant and hired some of the former Komar & Sons employees. Accordingly, the Department is amending the worker certification to exclude workers who were separated from Komar & Sons, and change the impact date from February 26, 1995, to March 6, 1995, the date the Winona Knitting Mills began their production operations in Berwick, Pennsylvania.

The intent of the Department's certification is to cover only those workers of Winona Knitting Mills adversely affected by increased imports from Mexico and Canada.

The amended notice applicable to NAFTA-00838 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Winona Knitting Mills, Berwick Knitwear, Berwick, Pennsylvania, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after March 6, 1995,

are eligible to apply for NAFTA-TAA under Section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC this 30th day of July 1996.

Russell T. Kile,

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 96-20600 Filed 8-12-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

Proposed Collection: Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [U.S.C. 3506 (c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently the Occupational Safety and Health Administration is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of the information collection request for The 13 Carcinogens Standard § 1910.1003. On March 7, 1996, OSHA published a final rule entitled Miscellaneous Minor and Technical Amendments; Final rule (61 FR 9229). As part of this final, the 13 separate carcinogen standards were combined into one standard entitled "13 carcinogens." This information collection request combines the following 13 collections into one package: § 1910.1003 4-Nitrobiphenyl (1218-0085); § 1910.1004 alpha-Naphthylamine (1218-0084); § 1910.1006 Methylchloromethyl ether (1218-0086); § 1910.1007 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine (and its salts) (1218-0083); § 1910.1008 bis-Chloromethyl ether (1218-0087); § 1910.1009 beta-Naphthylamine (1218-0089); § 1910.1010 Benzidine (1218-0082); § 1910.1011 4-Aminodiphenyl (1218-0090); § 1910.1012 Ethyleneimine (1218-0080); § 1910-1013 beta-Propiolactone (1218-0079); § 1910.1014 2-Acetylaminofluorene (1218-0088); § 1910.1015 4-