

Dated: August 1, 1996.
 Mary Josie Blanchard,
Assistant Director, Program Support.
 [FR Doc. 96-20330 Filed 8-8-96; 8:45 am]
 BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION AGENCY

Overseas Private Investment Corporation

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Overseas Private Investment Corporation, IDCA.

ACTION: Request for comments.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), agencies are required to publish a Notice in the Federal Register notifying the public that the Agency is preparing an information collection request for OMB review and approval and to request public review and comment on the submission. Comments are being solicited on the need for the information, its practical utility, the accuracy of the Agency's burden estimate, and on ways to minimize the reporting burden, including automated collection techniques and uses of other forms of technology. The proposed form under review is summarized below.

DATES: Comments must be received October 8, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the subject form and the request for review prepared for submission to OMB may be obtained from the Agency Submitting Officer. Comments on the form should be submitted to the Agency Submitting Officer.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

OPIC Agency Submitting Officer

Lena Paulsen, Manager, Information Center, Overseas Private Investment Corporation, 1100 New York Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20527; 202/336-8565.

Summary of Form Under Review

Type of Request: Revised form.

Title: Self Monitoring Questionnaire.

Form Number: OPIC-162.

Frequency of Use: Annually.

Type of Respondents: Business or other individuals.

Standard Industrial Classification Codes: All.

Description of Affected Public: U.S. companies assisted by OPIC.

Reporting Hours: 2 hours per form.

Number of Responses: 180 annually.

Federal Cost: \$2,700 annually.
Authority for Information Collection: Section 231(k)2, of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended.
Abstract (Needs and Uses): The questionnaire is completed by OPIC-assisted investors annually. The questionnaire allows OPIC's assessment of effects of OPIC-assisted projects on the U.S. economy and employment, as well as on the environment and economic development abroad.

Dated: August 5, 1996.
 Marc Monheimer,
Senior Commercial Counsel, Department of Legal Affairs.
 [FR Doc. 96-20276 Filed 8-8-96; 8:45 am]
 BILLING CODE 3210-01-M

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-383]

Notice of Commission Decision Not To Modify or Vacate an Initial Determination Granting Temporary Relief, and Issuance of a Temporary Limited Exclusion Order and a Temporary Cease and Desist Order, Subject to Posting of Bond by Complainant

In the Matter of Certain Hardware Logic Emulation Systems and Components Thereof.
AGENCY: International Trade Commission.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Commission has determined not to modify or vacate the presiding administrative law judge's (ALJ) initial determination (ID) granting temporary relief in the above-referenced investigation, and has issued a temporary limited exclusion order and a temporary cease and desist order, subject to posting of a bond by complainant.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jay H. Reiziss, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-3116.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and Commission rule 210.66, 19 CFR 210.66.

On March 4, 1996, Quickturn Design Systems Incorporated ("Quickturn" or "complainant") filed a complaint under section 337 alleging unfair acts in the importation, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain hardware logic emulation systems and components thereof by two proposed

respondents: Mentor Graphics Corporation ("Mentor") of Wilsonville, Oregon and Meta Systems ("Meta") of Saclay, France (collectively "respondents"). Quickturn also simultaneously filed a motion for temporary relief.

In the motion for temporary relief, complainant alleged infringement of claims 1, 2, 3, and 15 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,448,496 and claim 8 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,036,473, both owned by Quickturn. On March 8, 1996, the Commission voted to institute an investigation of the complaint and to accept provisionally the motion for temporary relief, and published a notice of investigation in the Federal Register. 61 FR 9486 (March 8, 1996). The temporary relief phase of this investigation was designated "more complicated" by the presiding ALJ on April 14, 1996 (Order No. 14). The ALJ held an evidentiary hearing on temporary relief from April 23, 1996, through May 4, 1996. Complainant, respondents, and the Commission investigative attorney (IA) participated in the hearing. Thereafter, oral argument was held before the ALJ on June 5, 1996. The Commission received submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding from all parties on June 23, 1996, in accordance with Commission rule 210.67(b).

On July 8, 1996, the ALJ issued his ID (Order No. 34) granting Quickturn's motion for temporary relief. On July 18, 1996, respondents and the IA filed written comments on the temporary relief ID, as provided for in rule 210.66(c). Complainant and the IA filed replies to respondents' comments, and respondents filed a reply to the IA's comments on July 22, 1996, as provided for in rule 210.66(e).

The Commission, having considered the ID, the comments and responses to comments of the parties, and the record in this investigation, determined that there were no clearly erroneous findings of fact, no errors of law, or policy reasons to vacate or modify the ID. Consequently, pursuant to Commission rule 210.66(f), the ID became the Commission's determination on the issue of whether there is reason to believe a violation of section 337 has occurred.

The Commission having determined that there is reason to believe that a violation of section 337 has occurred in the importation, sale for importation, or sale in the United States of the accused hardware logic emulators, subassemblies thereof, or component parts thereof, and having determined that temporary relief is warranted, considered the issues of the appropriate

form of such relief, whether the public interest precludes issuance of such relief, complainant's bond, and respondents' bond during the period such relief is in effect.

The Commission determined that a temporary limited exclusion order and a temporary cease and desist order directed to respondent Mentor are the appropriate form of temporary relief. The Commission further determined that the statutory public interest factors do not preclude the issuance of such relief, and that respondents' bond under the temporary limited exclusion order and the temporary cease and desist orders shall be in the amount of forty-three (43) percent of the entered value of the imported articles.

Commission rule 210.68 requires that all bonds posted by a complainant must be approved by the Commission Secretary before the temporary relief which the bond will secure will be issued. Consequently, the issuance of temporary relief described in the preceding paragraph is subject to the posting and approval of complainant's bond in the amount of \$200,000. Complainant is to file its bond with the Commission Secretary within seven (7) business days of publication of this notice in the Federal Register.

Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

Issued: August 5, 1996.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-20338 Filed 8-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Donald E. Stoops, D.O.; Revocation of Registration

On January 31, 1996, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to Donald E. Stoops, D.O., (Respondent) of Truth or Consequences, New Mexico, notifying

him of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not revoke his DEA Certificate of Registration, AS3251814, under 21 U.S.C. 824(a), and deny any pending applications for renewal of such registration as a practitioner under 21 U.S.C. 823(f), for the reason that, on or about November 19, 1994, the New Mexico Board of Osteopathic Medical Examiners had ordered the revocation of his state license to practice osteopathic medicine. The order also notified the Respondent that, should no request for a hearing be filed within 30 days, the hearing right would be deemed waived. The order was mailed by certified mail, and a signed return receipt dated February 7, 1996, was received by the DEA. However, no request for a hearing or any other reply was received by the DEA from the Respondent or anyone purporting to represent him in this matter. Subsequently, on March 28, 1996, the investigative file was transmitted to the Deputy Administrator for final agency action.

Therefore, the Deputy Administrator, finding that (1) more than thirty days have passed since the issuance of the Order to Show Cause, and (2) no request for a hearing has been received, concludes that the Respondent is deemed to have waived his hearing right. After considering relevant material from the investigative file in this matter, the Deputy Administrator now enters his final order without a hearing pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.54(e) and 1301.57.

The Deputy Administrator finds that on November 19, 1994, the Board of Osteopathic Medical Examiners ordered the revocation of the Respondent's license to practice osteopathic medicine in the State of New Mexico. Further, on December 14, 1995, the New Mexico Board of Pharmacy notified the DEA that the Respondent did not have a controlled substance registration number. The Respondent has not submitted a statement or any evidence to dispute this information.

The Drug Enforcement Administration cannot register or maintain the registration of a practitioner who is not duly authorized to handle controlled substances in the state in which he conduct his business. See 21 U.S.C. 823(f) (authorizing the Attorney General to register a practitioner to dispense controlled substances only if the applicant is authorized to dispense controlled substances under the laws of the state in which he or she practices); 802(21) (defining "practitioner" as one authorized by the United States or the state in which he or she practices to handle controlled substances in the

course of professional practice or research); and 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3) (authorizing the Attorney General to revoke a registration upon a finding that the registrant "has had his State license or registration suspended, revoked, or denied by competent State authority and is no longer authorized by State law to engage in . . . dispensing of controlled substances. . ."). This prerequisite has been consistently upheld. See *Dominick A. Ricci, M.D.*, 58 FR 51,104 (1993); *James H. Nickens, M.D.*, 57 FR 59,847 (1992); *Roy E. Hardman, M.D.*, 57 FR 49,195 (1992); *Myong S. Yi, M.D.*, 54 FR 30,618 (1989); *Bobby Watts, M.D.*, 53 FR 11,919 (1988).

Here, it is clear and undisputed that the Respondent currently is not authorized to handle controlled substances in New Mexico. Likewise, since the Respondent lacks state authority to handle controlled substances, DEA lacks authority to continue his registration.

Accordingly, the Deputy Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration, pursuant to the authority vested in him by 21 U.S.C. 823 and 824, and 28 C.F.R. 0.100(b) and 0.104, hereby orders that DEA Certificate of Registration, AS3251814, previously issued to Donald E. Stoops, D.O., be, and it hereby is, revoked, and any pending application for renewal of such registration is hereby denied. This order is effective September 9, 1996.

Dated: August 2, 1996.

Stephen H. Greene,

Deputy Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-20360 Filed 8-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

Immigration and Naturalization Service

Agency Information Collection Activities: Extension of Existing Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice of information collection under review; petition for nonimmigrant worker.

The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" from the date listed at the top of this page in the Federal Register.

Request written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary