

representative rate of the Mexican fresh cut flower industry.

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following dumping margins exist for the period April 1, 1993, through March 31, 1994:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (per-cent)
Rancho el Aguaje	0.00
Rancho Guacatay	0.00
Rancho el Toro	0.00
Rancho del Pacifico	0.00
Rancho Daisy	*0.00
Visaflor	*0.00
Tzitzic Tareta	39.95
Rancho Mision el Descanso	39.95
Rancho Alisitos	39.95
Las Flores de Mexico	39.95
Mexipel, S.A. de CV	39.95
All others	18.20

*No shipments subject to this review. Rate is from the last relevant segment of the proceeding in which the firm had shipments.

The following deposit requirements shall be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise that are entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies shall be the above rates; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate shall be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review, the cash deposit rate will be 18.28 percent, the all others rate established in the LTFV investigation. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative

protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d) or 355.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and section 353.22 of the Department's regulations.

Dated: July 29, 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-19862 Filed 8-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-201-820]

Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Antidumping Duty Determination: Fresh Tomatoes From Mexico

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 5, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Judith Rudman (202-482-0192) or Jennifer Katt (202-482-0498), Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230.

POSTPONEMENT OF PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION:

On April 18, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an antidumping duty investigation of fresh tomatoes from Mexico (61 FR 18377, April 25, 1996). The notice of initiation stated that if this investigation proceeds normally, the Department would issue its preliminary determination by September 5, 1996.

In accordance with section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), on July 26, 1996, the petitioners¹ made a timely request for an extension of no more than 30 days of the period within which the preliminary determination must be made. Under section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act and section 353.15(c) of the

¹ The petitioners in this investigation are: The Florida Tomato Growers Exchange; the Florida Tomato Exchange; the Tomato Committee of the Florida Fruit and Vegetable Association; the South Carolina Tomato Association; the Gadsden County Tomato Growers Association; and an Ad Hoc Group of Florida, California, Georgia, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, and Virginia Tomato Growers.

Department's regulations if, not later than 25 days before the scheduled date for the preliminary determination, the Department receives a request for postponement of the preliminary determination from the petitioners, the Department will, absent compelling reasons for denial, grant the request. Given that there are no compelling reasons to deny this request, we are postponing our preliminary determination in this investigation until no later than October 7, 1996.

This notice is published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act, and 19 CFR 353.15(d).

Dated: July 30, 1996.

Barbara R. Stafford,

Deputy Assistant Secretary Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-19864 Filed 8-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-588-815]

Gray Portland Cement and Clinker From Japan: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Intent To Revoke Order in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation and preliminary results of changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review, and intent to revoke order in part.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from Surecrete, Inc., (Surecrete), the Department of Commerce (the Department) is initiating a changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review and issuing an intent to revoke in part the antidumping duty order on gray portland cement and clinker from Japan. Surecrete requested that the Department revoke the order in part with regard to imports of New Super Fine Cement from Nittetsu Cement Company, Ltd., of Japan (New Super Fine Cement). Based on the fact that the Ad Hoc Committee of Southern California Producers of Gray Portland Cement (petitioner) has expressed no interest in the importation of New Super Fine Cement as described by Surecrete, we intend to partially revoke this order.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 5, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amy S. Wei or Zev Primor, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution

Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-5253.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

THE APPLICABLE STATUTE AND REGULATIONS

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the Federal Register on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

Background

On April 9, 1996, Surecrete requested that the Department conduct a changed circumstances administrative review to determine whether to partially revoke the order with regard to New Super Fine Cement. In addition, the petitioner informed the Department that it does not object to the changed circumstances review and has no interest in the importation or sale of New Super Fine Cement as described by Nittetsu. The order with regard to imports of other cements is not affected by this request.

Scope of Review

The merchandise covered by this changed circumstances review is New Super Fine Cement from Japan. This changed circumstance administrative review covers all manufacturers/exporters of cement meeting the following specifications of New Super Fine Cement: (1) a median grain size of less than three microns; and (2) a maximum grain size of approximately ten microns. This cement is not feasible for use in concrete production.

Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Intent To Revoke Order in Part

Pursuant to section 751(d) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department may partially revoke an antidumping duty order based on a review under section 751(b) of the Act (i.e., a changed circumstances review). Section 751(b)(1) of the Act requires a changed circumstances administrative review to be conducted upon receipt of a request containing sufficient information concerning changed circumstances.

The Department's regulations at 19 CFR 353.25(d)(2) permit the Department to conduct a changed circumstances administrative review under section

353.22(f) based upon an affirmative statement of no interest from the petitioner in the proceeding. Section 353.25(d)(1)(i) further provides that the Department may revoke an order or revoke an order in part if it determines that the order under review is no longer of interest to interested parties. In addition, in the event that the Department concludes that expedited action is warranted, section 353.22(f)(4) of the regulations permits the Department to combine the notices of initiation and preliminary results.

Therefore, in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 751(d) of the Act, 19 CFR 353.25(d), and 353.22(f), we are initiating this changed circumstances administrative review and have determined that expedited action is warranted. Based on an affirmative statement of no interest in the proceeding by petitioner, we have preliminarily determined that the order in so far as it applies to New Super Fine Cement, as described in Surecrete's request for a changed circumstances review, no longer is of interest to domestic interested parties. Because we have concluded that expedited action is warranted, we are combining these notices of initiation and preliminary results. Therefore, we are hereby notifying the public of our intent to revoke in part the antidumping duty order as to imports of this type of New Super Fine Cement from Japan.

If final revocation in part occurs, we intend to instruct the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties and to refund any estimated antidumping duties collected for all unliquidated entries of the subject merchandise made on or after August 18, 1995. The current requirement for a cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties will continue until publication of the final results of this changed circumstances review.

Public Comment

Parties to the proceeding may request disclosure within 5 days of the date of publication of this notice and any interested party may request a hearing within 10 days of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held no later than 28 days after the date of publication of this notice, or the first working day thereafter. Case briefs and/or written comments from interested parties may be submitted no later than 14 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to the issues raised in those comments, may be filed no later than 21 days after the date of publication of this notice. All written

comments shall be submitted in accordance with 19 CFR 353.31(e) and shall be served on all interested parties on the Department's service list in accordance with 19 CFR 353.31(g). Persons interested in attending the hearing should contact the Department for the date and time of the hearing. The Department will publish the final results of this changed circumstances review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any written comments.

This notice is in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and (d) of the Act and sections 353.22(f) and 353.25(d) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: July 29, 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-19859 Filed 8-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-570-822]

Helical Spring Lock Washers From the People's Republic of China; Extension of Time Limit of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Extension of Time Limit of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limits for the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on helical spring lock washers (lock washers) from the People's Republic of China (PRC), covering the period October 1, 1994, through September 30, 1995, because it is not practicable to complete the review within the time limits mandated by the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). **EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 5, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Donald Little or Maureen Flannery, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4733.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 16, 1995, in response to requests from interested parties, the Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on lock washers from the PRC (60 FR 57573), covering the period October 1, 1994 through September 31, 1995.