States Customs officers to reinstate suspension of liquidation an to assess, upon further advice by the administering authority pursuant to section 706(a)(1) of the Act, countervailing duties for each entry of the subject merchandise in an amount based on the net countervailable subsidy rate for the subject merchandise.

On or after the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register, U.S. Customs officers must require, at the same time as importers would normally deposit estimated duties on this merchandise, a cash deposit equal to the countervailable subsidy rates as noted below. The *ad valorem* countervailable subsidy rates are as follows:

Company	Ad valo- rem rate
Agritalia, S.r.l	2.55
Arrighi S.p.A. Industrie Alimentari	2.44
Barilla G. e R. F.Ili S.p.A	0.65
De Matteis Agroalimentare S.p.A.	2.47
Delverde, S.r.l.	5.90
F.Ili De Cecco di Filippo Fara S.	
Martino S.p.A	3.37
Gruppo Agricoltura Sana S.r.L	0.00
Industria Alimentare Colavita,	
S.p.A	2.04
Isola del Grano S.r.L	11.23
Italpast S.p.A	11.23
Italpasta S.r.L.	2.44
La Molisana Alimentari S.p.A.,	4.17
Labor S.r.L.	11.23
Molino e Pastificio De Cecco	
S.p.A. Pescara	3.37
Pastificio Guido Ferrara	1.21
Pastificio Campano, S.p.A	2.59
Pastificio Riscossa F.Ili	
Mastromauro S.r.L	6.91
Tamma Industrie Alimentari di	
Capitanata	5.90
All Others	3.85

The "All Others" rate applies to all exporters and producers of pasta from Italy not specifically listed.

Since the estimated net countervailable subsidy rate for Barilla G. e R. F.lli S.p.A. ("Barilla") and Gruppo Agricoltura Sana S.r.L. ("Gruppo") is either zero or *de minimis*, these companies are excluded from the application of the countervailing duty order on pasta from Italy. Pursuant to section $7\overline{0}5(c)(3)$ of the Act, we are instructing the U.S. Customs Service to liquidate all pasta from Italy entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption by Barilla between October 17, 1995 and February 14, 1996, and to proceed with liquidation of merchandise from Barilla and Gruppo which enter the United States on or after the date of publication of this countervailing duty order in the Federal Register without regard to countervailing duties. Additionally, we are instructing the U.S. Customs Service that any cash deposit or bond collected with respect to countervailing duties on pasta from Barilla should be released or refunded. Gruppo was assigned a zero margin in our preliminary determination, and, accordingly, was excluded from the suspension of liquidation which resulted from our preliminary determination.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 355.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice constitutes the countervailing duty order with respect to pasta from Italy. Interested parties may contact the Central Records Unit, room B–099 of the main Commerce Building, for copies of an updated list of countervailing duty orders currently in effect.

This notice of amendment of final determination and countervailing duty order is published in accordance with sections 705 (d) and (e) and 706(a) of the Act.

Dated: July 17, 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–18702 Filed 7–23–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A-489-805]

Notice of Antidumping Duty Order and Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Pasta From Turkey

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 24, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Brinkmann at (202) 482–5288, Jennifer Katt at (202) 482–0498, or Sunkyu Kim at (202) 482–2613, Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Duty Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA).

Amended Final Determination

In accordance with section 735(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), on June 3, 1996, the Department made its final determination that certain pasta (pasta) from Turkey is being, or is likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (61 FR 30309 (June 14, 1996)) (Final Determination). Subsequent to the final determination, we received a submission, timely filed pursuant to 19 CFR 353.28(b), from Borden, Inc., Hershey Foods Corp., and Gooch Foods, Inc. (collectively the petitioners) alleging a ministerial error in the Department's final determination for Maktas Makarnicilik ve Ticaret T.A.S. (Maktas). Maktas submitted comments on the petitioners' ministerial error allegation on June 20, 1996. In addition, Maktas submitted a ministerial error allegation on July 2, 1996.

We determine, in accordance with section 735(e) of the Act, that ministerial errors were made in our margin calculation for Maktas. Specifically, we erroneously did not revise Maktas's reported cost of production (COP) and constructed value (CV) to include certain depreciation expenses which the Department determined were excluded improperly by Maktas. Further, we incorrectly calculated these depreciation expenses. Additionally, in preparing the recalculation for the ministerial errors described above, we noted a minor inadvertent error in the programming for Maktas which constitutes a ministerial error within the meaning of section 735(e) of the Act. Although not noted by other parties, we are correcting this error for Maktas. For a detailed discussion of the above-cited ministerial errors and the Department analysis, see Memorandum from Case Analysts to Barbara R. Stafford, dated June 27, 1996 and Memorandum from William H. Jones to Christian B. Marsh dated July 15, 1996. In accordance with 19 CFR 353.28(c), we are amending the final determination of the antidumping duty investigation of pasta from Turkey to correct these ministerial errors. The revised final weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:

Manufacturer/producer/ exporter	Origi- nal margin per- cent- age	Re- vised margin per- cent- age
Filiz	63.29 56.87 56.87	63.29 60.87 60.87

Scope of Order

The scope of this order consists of certain non-egg dry pasta in packages of five pounds (or 2.27 kilograms) or less, whether or not enriched or fortified or containing milk or other optional ingredients such as chopped vegetables, vegetable purees, milk, gluten, diastases, vitamins, coloring and flavorings, and up to two percent egg white. The pasta covered by this scope is typically sold in the retail market, in fiberboard or cardboard cartons or polyethylene or polypropylene bags, of varying dimensions.

Excluded from the scope of this order are refrigerated, frozen, or canned pastas, as well as all forms of egg pasta, with the exception of non-egg dry pasta containing up to two percent egg white. In the companion countervailing and antidumping duty investigations involving pasta from Italy, we have excluded imports of organic pasta that are accompanied by the appropriate certificate issued by the Associazione Marchigiana Agricultura Biologica (AMAB) or by Bioagricoop scrl. The Department has determined that AMAB and Bioagricoop scrl are legally authorized to certify foodstuffs as organic for the Government of Italy (GOI). If certification procedures similar to those implemented by the GOI are established by the Government of Turkey for exports of organic pasta to the United States, we would consider an exclusion for organic pasta at that time.

The merchandise under investigation is currently classifiable under item 1902.19.20 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS)*. Although the *HTSUS* subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Antidumping Duty Order

On July 17, 1996, in accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) notified the Department that the U.S. pasta industry is materially injured by imports of pasta from Turkey. Therefore, in accordance with section 736 of the Act, the Department will direct United States Customs officers to assess, upon further advice by the administering authority pursuant to section 736(a)(1)

of the Act, antidumping duties equal to the amount by which the normal value of the merchandise exceeds the export price for all entries of pasta from Turkey. These antidumping duties will be assessed on all unliquidated entries of pasta from Turkey entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after January 19, 1996, the date on which the Department published its preliminary determination notice in the Federal Register (61 FR 1347).

On or after the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register, U.S. Customs officers must require, at the same time as importers would normally deposit estimated duties, the following cash deposits for the subject merchandise:

Manufacturer/producer/exporter	Re- vised margin per- cent- age
Filiz	63.29 60.87 60.87

Article VI(5) of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (1947) prohibits assessing dumping duties on the portion of the margin attributable to an export subsidy. In this case, the product under investigation is subject to a countervailing duty investigation (see Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination: Certain Pasta from Turkey (61 FR 30288) (June 14, 1996)). Therefore, for all entries of pasta from Turkey, entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption made on or after the date on which the order in the companion countervailing duty investigation is published in the Federal Register, we will request for duty deposit purposes, that United States Customs deduct the portion of the margin attributable to the export subsidy from the countervailing duty investigation. As discussed in the Final Determination, Filiz's margin is based on total adverse facts available, taken from the petition. Insofar as the dumping margin for Filiz is not a calculated margin, we have not subtracted the amount for any export subsidy from that margin. The cash deposit rates for antidumping purposes will be as follows:

Manufacturer/producer/exporter	De- posit rate
Filiz	63.29 48.26

Manufacturer/producer/exporter	De- posit rate
All Others	51.49

This notice constitutes the antidumping duty order with respect to pasta from Turkey, pursuant to section 736(a) of the Act. Interested parties may contact the Central Records Unit, Room B–099 of the Main Commerce Building, for copies of an updated list of antidumping duty orders currently in effect.

This order is published pursuant to section 736(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673e(a)) and 19 CFR 353.21.

Dated: July 17, 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–18703 Filed 7–23–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[C-489-806]

Notice of Countervailing Duty Order: Certain Pasta ("Pasta") From Turkey

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 24, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth Graham, Import

Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 3099, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–4105.

Case History

On June 14, 1996, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published its final determination in the countervailing duty investigation of pasta from Turkey (61 FR 30366). On July 17, 1996, the International Trade Commission ("ITC") notified the Department of its final determination that an industry in the United States suffered material injury as a result of subsidized imports of pasta from Turkey.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA").

Scope of Order

The scope of this order consists of certain non-egg dry pasta in packages of five pounds (or 2.27 kilograms) or less,