as may be necessary to accept and approve the filing as submitted.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C., 20426 in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211). All such protests must be filed on or before July 25, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not service to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-18743 Filed 7-23-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. TM96-12-23-000]

Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company; **Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff**

July 18, 1996.

Take notice that on July 16, 1996 Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company (ESNG) tendered for filing certain revised tariff sheets in the above captioned docket as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, with proposed effective dates of July 1, 1996 and August 1, 1996, respectively.

ESNG states that the purpose of the instant filing is to track rate changes attributable to (a) storage service purchased from Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) under Transco's Rate Schedule GSS the costs of which are included in the rates and charges payable under ESNG's Rate Schedules GSS and PS-1 Excess Delivery Charge effective beginning July 1, 1996 and (b) storage service purchased from Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia) under Columbia's Rate Schedules SST and FSS the costs of which are included in the rates and charges payable under ESNG's Rate Schedules CWS and CFSS effective August 1, 1996. This tracking filing is being made pursuant to Section 24 of the General Terms and Conditions of ESNG's FERC Gas Tariff to reflect changes in ESNG's jurisdictional rates.

ESNG states that copies of the filing have been served upon its jurisdictional customers and interested State Commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C.

20426, in accordance with Rule 211 and Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR Section 385.211 and Section 385.214). All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-18738 Filed 7-23-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-634-000]

Mississippi River Transmission Corporation; Texas Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Joint **Application**

July 18, 1996.

Take notice that on July 11, 1996, Mississippi River Transmission Corporation (MRT), 1600 Smith, Houston, Texas 77002 and Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas), 3800 Frederica Street, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, filed a joint application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act requesting authority to abandon two exchange agreements, represented by MRT's Rate Schedules X-3 and X-10 and Texas Gas' Rate Schedules X-39 and X-60 and one transportation service represented by MRT's Rate Schedule X-22, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

MRT's Rate Schedule X-3 and Texas Gas' Rate Schedule X-39 were originally certificated by an order issued by the Commission in Docket No. CP72–97. This exchange agreement covered the exchange of natural gas at the outlets of the gasoline plants of Union Texas Petroleum in Bossier Parish, Louisiana and of Southwest Gas Producing Company in Lincoln Parish, Louisiana, where both MRT and Texas Gas received gas produced in fields in

northern Louisiana.

MRT's Rate Schedule X-10 and Texas Gas' Rate Schedule X-60 were originally certificated in Docket No. CP74-243. This exchange covered the delivery from one party to the other at one of two exchange points located at the intersections of MRT's and Texas Gas' pipelines near Texas Gas' Bastrop,

Louisiana, compressor station and MRT's Unionville, Louisiana compressor station. This exchange allowed MRT to inject maximum volumes of gas into its West and East Unionville Storage Fields during the summer injection period to help meet its winter season requirements.

MRT's Rate Schedule X-22 was originally certificated in Docket No. CP86-87. This transportation agreement allowed MRT to transport up to 10,000 Mcf/day on an interruptible basis for Texas Gas. Texas Gas was to purchase gas from Amoco Production Company's (Amoco) Woodlawn field in Harrison County, Texas to be delivered into an interconnection to MRT at the outlet side of Damson Gas Processing Company's Woodlawn field processing plant. MRT would then redeliver equivalent quantities of gas to Texas Gas by reducing amounts delivered to MRT by Union Texas Petroleum and by Kerr-McGee Corporation from their plants in Bossier and Lincoln Parishes, Louisiana.

MRT and Texas Gas state that these arrangements are no longer necessary or beneficial to the parties and have been terminated pursuant to mutual written agreement of the parties. Neither MRT or Texas Gas propose to abandon or rearrange any of their facilities as a result of the proposed abandonment.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make a protest with reference to said application should, on or before August 8, 1996, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC. 20426) a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the Protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by

the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for MRT or Texas Gas to appear or be represented at the hearing. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–18745 Filed 7–23–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. CP96-630-000]

Mississippi Valley Gas Company v. Texas Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Complaint

July 18, 1996.

Take notice that on July 9, 1996, Mississippi Valley Gas Company (Mississippi Valley), P.O. Box 3348, Jackson, Mississippi 39207–3348, filed with the Commission in Docket No. CP-96–630–000 a complaint pursuant to Rule 206 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 385.206(a)) against Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas).

Mississippi Valley complains of the Texas Gas May 30, 1996, declared intention (filed in its pending Docket No. CP96-104-000 bypass case under the Natural Gas Act) to construct a delivery point under the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 to bypass Mississippi Valley's longstanding natural gas services to an end-user, USG Interiors, Inc. (Interiors) in Greenville, Mississippi Valley states that in the May 30, 1996 filing Texas Gas reports that Interiors has arranged to purchase and receive gas to be transported under NGPA Section 311 and that Texas Gas says it intends to construct the delivery point at issue under supposed NGPA Section 311 authority. Mississippi Valley states that any attempt to construct such a delivery point would be illegal and should be rejected.

Mississippi Valley concludes that the Texas Gas May 30, 1996 filing at Docket No. CP96–104–000 should be rejected in its entirety and in all respects and that Texas Gas lawfully may not construct the subject facilities under Section 311. Mississippi Valley further states that in lieu of acquiescing in that filing, the Commission should proceed with reasoned analysis of Texas Gas' pending December 15, 1995, CP96–104 proposal to bypass Mississippi Valley Gas Company's existing service to Interiors.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make a protest with reference to Mississippi Valley's complaint should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or protest in accordance with the Commissions Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 or 385.214). All such motions, together with the answer of Respondent to the complaint and motions should be filed on or before August 19, 1996. Any person desiring to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–18746 Filed 7–23–96; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP96-45-000]

Northern Border Pipeline Company; Notice of Informal Settlement Conference

July 18, 1996.

Take notice that an informal settlement conference in this proceeding will be convened on Thursday, August 1, 1996, at 10:00 a.m. and if necessary, Friday, August 2, 1996, at 10:00 a.m.

The settlement conference will be held at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, for the purpose of exploring the possible settlement of the above referenced docket.

Any party, as defined by 18 CFR 385.102(c), or any participant as defined in 18 CFR 385.102(b), is invited to attend. Persons wishing to become a party must move to intervene and receive intervenor status pursuant to the Commission's regulations (18 CFR 385.214).

For additional information, contact Betsy R. Carr at (202) 208–1240 or Anja M. Clark at (202) 208–2034.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–18740 Filed 7–23–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. RP95-407-008]

Questar Pipeline Company; Notice of Compliance Tariff Filing

July 18, 1996.

Take notice that on July 16, 1996, Questar Pipeline Company, tendered for filing and acceptance tariff sheets to its FERC Gas Tariff to comply with the Commission's July 1, 1996, order to become effective as indicated on the tariff sheets.

Questar tendered for filing and acceptance the following tariff sheets:

First Revised Volume No. 1

Third Substitute Alternate Fifth Revised Sheet No. 5

Second Substitute Fifth Revised Sheet No. 6 Substitute Third Revised Sheet No. 6A Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 13 Substitute Second Revised Sheet No. 14 First Revised Sheet No. 80 First Revised Sheet No. 80A Second Revised Sheet No. 81 Substitute Second Revised Sheet No. 92 Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 92 Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 98A Second Substitute Original Sheet No. 98A Second Substitute Original Sheet No. 98B Third Revised Sheet No. 172 Third Revised Sheet No. 173

Original Volume No. 3

Second Substitute Fifteenth Revised Sheet No. 8

On March 8, 1996, Questar filed a comprehensive settlement, including pro forma tariff sheets, in this proceeding. The Commission issued an Order on Settlement and Rehearing on July 1, 1996, which accepted Questar's proposed comprehensive settlement and required Questar to file revised tariff language to provide for the flowthrough of affiliate penalty revenues to its other customers.

Questar has included on its proposed tariff sheet No. 80A the change required by the July 1 order. Questar states that the attached tariff sheets provide for the payment by Questar to non-affiliated firm shippers, the imbalance charges received from its affiliated shippers in an amount proportional to the reservation charge paid by each firm shipper. The payment to firm shippers may be credited against amounts due from the receiving shipper, if any.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rule 385.211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211). All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulation's. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–18741 Filed 7–23–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M