Office of Hearing and Appeals

Notice of Cases Filed During the Week of April 8 Through April 12, 1996

During the Week of April 8 through April 12, 1996, the appeals and applications for other relief listed in the Appendix to this Notice were filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy. Under DOE procedural regulations, 10 CFR Part 205, any person who will be aggrieved by the DOE action sought in these cases may file written comments on the application within ten days of service of notice, as prescribed in the procedural regulations. For purposes of the regulations, the date of service of notice is deemed to be the date of publication of this Notice or the date of

receipt by an aggrieved person of actual notice, whichever occurs first. All such comments shall be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. 20585–0107.

Dated: July 12, 1996. George B. Breznay,

Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

LIST OF CASES RECEIVED BY THE OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS [Week of April 8 Through April 12, 1996]

Date	Name and location of applicant	Case No.	Type of submission
4/9/96	Garvie Marks Gulf, Carrollton, Georgia	RR300-282	Request for Modification/Rescission in the Gulf Oil Refund Proceeding. If granted: The January 30, 1996 Dismissal in Case No. RF300–21406 issued to Garvie Marks Gulf would be modified regarding the firm's application for refund submitted in the Gulf Oil refund proceeding.
4/9/96	Mercury Fuel Service, Inc., Waterbury, Connecticut.	VEE-0020	Exception to the Reporting Requirements. If granted: Mercury Fuel Service, Inc. would not be required to file Form EIA–782B Reseller's/Retailer's Monthly Petroleum Products Sales Report.
4/9/96	Oakland Operations Office, Oakland, California.	VSO-0088	Request for Hearing under 10 CFR Part 710. If granted: An individual employed at Oakland Operations Office would receive a hearing under 10 CFR Part 710.
4/9/96	Oakland Operations Office, Oakland, California.	VSO-0089	Request for Hearing under 10 CFR Part 710. If granted: An individual employed at Oakland Operations Office would receive a hearing under 10 CFR Part 710.
4/9/96	Schenectady Naval Reactors, Schnenectady, New York.	VSO-0090	Request for Hearing under 10 CFR Part 710. If granted: An individual employed at Schenectady Naval Reactors Office would receive a hearing under 10 CFR Part 710.
4/10/96	Tonka Products Div. of Tonka Corp., Pawtucket, Rhode Island.	RR272-236	Request for Modification/Rescission in the Crude Oil Refund Proceeding. If granted: The March 20, 1996 Dismissal in Case No. RF272–78126 issued to Tonka Products Div. Of Tonka Corp. would be modified regarding the firm's application for refund submitted in the Crude Oil refund proceeding.

REFUND APPLICATION RECEIVED

Date received	Name of refund proceeding/name of refund applicant	Case No.
4/8/96 thru 4/12/96	Crude Oil Supplemental Refunds	RK272-3487 thru RK272-3492.

[FR Doc. 96–18593 Filed 7–22–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

Notice of Cases Filed; Week of April 1 Through April 5, 1996

During the Week of April 1 through April 5, 1996, the appeals and applications for exception or other relief listed in the Appendix to this Notice were filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of

Energy.
Under DOE procedural regulations, 10
CFR Part 205, any person who will be aggrieved by the DOE action sought in these cases may file written comments on the application within ten days of service of notice, as prescribed in the procedural regulations. For purposes of the regulations, the date of service of

notice is deemed to be the date of publication of this Notice or the date of receipt by an aggrieved person of actual notice, whichever occurs first. All such comments shall be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. 20585–0107.

Dated: July 12, 1996. George B. Breznay,

Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

LIST OF CASES RECEIVED BY THE OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS [Week of April 1 Through April 5, 1996]

Date	Name and location of applicant	Case No.	Type of submission
April 2, 1996	Chuck Dahlem Texaco Service, Wichita, Kansas	RR321–195	Request for Modification/Rescission in the Texaco Refund Proceeding. If granted: The July 29, 1993 Decision and Order (Case No. RF321–16802) is- sued to Chuck Dahlem Texaco Service would be modified regarding the firm's application for refund
Do	Dianna McNew, Baltimore, Maryland	VFA-0146	submitted in the Texaco refund proceeding. Appeal of an information request denial. If granted: The January 23, 1996 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by the Department of Energy, Oak Ridge Operations Office would be rescinded, and Dianna McNew would receive ac-
Do	Glen M. Jameson, Lakewood, Colorado	VFA-0147	cess to certain Department of Energy information. Appeal of an information request denial. If granted: The March 5, 1996 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by the Department of Energy, Oak Ridge Office would be rescinded and Glen M. Jameson would receive access to certain De-
Do	Industrial Constructors Corp., Missoula, Montana	VFA-0144	partment of Energy information. Appeal of an information request denial. If granted: The March 15, 1996 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by the Department of Energy, Albuquerque Operations Office would be rescinded, and Industrial Constructors Corp. would receive access to certain Department of Energy information.
Do	Stoel Rives LLP, Portland, Oregon	VFA-0145	Appeal of an information request denial. If granted: The March 8, 1996 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by the Department of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration would be rescinded, and Stoel Rives LLP would receive access to certain Department of Energy information.
April 3, 1996	William H. Payne, Albuquerque, New Mexico	VFA-0148	Appeal of an information request denial. If granted: The March 21, 1996 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by Sandia National Laboratories would be rescinded, and William H. Payne would receive access to certain DOE information.
April 4, 1996	New York Times, Memphis, Tennessee	RR272-235	Request for modification/rescission in the crude oil refund proceeding. If granted: The February 26, 1996 Dismissal (Case No. RF272–78117) issued to New York Times would be modified regarding the firm's application for refund submitted in the Crude Oil refund proceeding.
Do	Providence Journal Company, Memphis, Tennessee	RR272-234	Request for modification/rescission in the crude oil refund proceeding. If granted: The February 28,1996 Dismissal (Case No. RF272–78127) issued to Providence Journal Company would be modified regarding the firmn's application for refund submitted in the Crude Oil refund proceeding.
April 5, 1996	Honeywell, Inc., Phoenix, Arizona	VFA-0149	Appeal of an information request denial. If granted: The February 23, 1996 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by Oak Ridge Operations Office would be rescinded, and Honeywell, Inc. would receive access to certain DOE information.

REFUND APPLICATIONS RECEIVED [Week of April 1 to April 5, 1996]

Date received	Name of refund proceeding/name of refund applicant	Case No.
4/1/96 thru 4/5/96	Crude Oil Supplemental Refunds	RK272-3474 thru RK272-3486.

[FR Doc. 96–18595 Filed 7–22–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Docket No. 96-43; Notice 2]

International Regulatory Harmonization, Motor Vehicle Safety; Motor Vehicles and Motor Vehicle Engines and the Environment

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT; Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On June 17, 1996, NHTSA and EPA published a joint notice in the Federal Register requesting written comments and announcing two public meetings regarding recommendations by the U.S. and European automotive industry for actions by the U.S. and European Union governments. These industry recommendations, made at the Transatlantic Automotive Industry Conference on International Regulatory, concern the international harmonization of motor vehicle safety and environmental regulation, the intergovernmental regulatory process necessary to achieve such harmonization, and the coordination of related motor vehicle safety and environmental research. NHTSA and EPA requested public comments to facilitate their making informed decisions about their responses to the industry recommendations as well as to ensure that all interested parties were made aware of ongoing activity in the area of international harmonization of motor vehicle safety and environmental regulations. In response to two requests for an extension of the period for submitting written comments, NHTSA and EPA have decided to extend the comment deadline from July 25, 1996 to October 1, 1996.

DATES: Written Comments: All written comments on the June 17, 1996 request for comments, Docket 96–43, Notice 1, must be received by NHTSA's docket section no later than October 1, 1996.

ADDRESSES: All written comments should refer to Docket No. 96–43, Notice 1, and be submitted to: Docket Section, NHTSA, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590. Docket hours are 9:30 to 4 p.m. Monday through Friday.

To facilitate the reading of comments relating to a particular issue, commenters are requested to divide their comments into two different sections: (1) Safety and regulatory process; and (2) Environment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

NHTSA: Mr. Stanley C. Feldman, Office of Chief Counsel, NHTSA, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20590 telephone (202–366– 5265), fax (202–366–3820)

EPA: Kenneth E. Feith, Office of Air and Radiation, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, telephone (202–260–4996), fax (202–260–9766).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the June 17, 1996 (61 FR 30657) Federal Register, NHTSA and EPA published a joint notice requesting written comments and announcing two public meetings, held on July 10 and 11, 1996, to seek comments on recommendations by the U.S. and European automotive industry for actions by the U.S. European Union (EU) governments concerning international harmonization of motor vehicle safety and environmental regulation, the intergovernmental regulatory process necessary to achieve such harmonization, and coordination of vehicle safety and environmental research. The industry recommendations were made at the Transatlantic Automotive Industry Conference on International Regulatory Harmonization, held in Washington, D.C., on April 10-11, 1996. NHTSA and EPA requested public comments to facilitate their making informed decisions about their responses to the industry recommendations as well as to ensure that all interested parties were made aware of ongoing activity in the area of international harmonization of motor vehicle safety and environmental regulations.

The notice discussed the background that led to the Washington Conference, the industry principles and recommendations for current and future harmonization actions needed by the U.S. and EU in three specific areas (i.e., regulatory process, safety, and the environment), and U.S. government statements concerning the goal of harmonization of existing and future motor vehicle safety and environmental standards and regulations. To provide a focus for public comments, the notice also discussed the broad subject areas and set forth a series of questions and issues that NHTSA and EPA would like the public to address. The agencies specified that written comments had to be submitted before the close of

business on the comment closing date of July 25, 1996 to be assured of consideration.

Subsequent to the July 10 and 11, 1996 public meetings, NHTSA received requests for extension of the period for submitting written comments on the notice from Consumers Union and Advocates for Highway and Auto Safety. A copy of each request has been placed in NHTSA's docket at Docket No. 96-43, Notice 1. Both Consumers Union and Advocates for Highway and Auto Safety indicted that they wish to file substantive written comments on these issues but can only do so if the comment deadline of July 25, 1996, is extended substantially, or until October 1, 1996. After reviewing these requests, NHTSA agrees that commenters need more time to formulate their responses given the complexity of the issues involved.

Accordingly, the period for submitting written comments, originally scheduled to end July 25, is extended to October 1, 1996.

Issued on: July 17, 1996.

Frank Turpin,

Director, NHTSA Office of International Harmonization.

[FR Doc. 96-18693 Filed 7-22-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4915-00-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Public Information Collections Approved by Office of Management and Budget

July 17, 1996.

The Federal Communications
Commission (FCC) has received Office
of Management and Budget (OMB)
approval for the following public
information collections pursuant to the
Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995,
Public Law 104–13. An agency may not
conduct or sponsor and a person is not
required to respond to a collection of
information unless it displays a
currently valid control number. For
further information contact Shoko B.
Hair, Federal Communications
Commission, (202) 418–1379.

Federal Communications Commission

OMB Control No.: 3060–0730. Expiration Date: 10/31/96. Title: Toll Free Service Access Codes—800/888 Number Release Procedures.

Form No.: N/A.

Estimated Annual Burden: 2010 total annual hours; 1 hour per respondent (avg.); 2010 respondents.