

Amendment No.: 167
Facility Operating License No. DPR-64: Amendment revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: May 8, 1996 (61 FR 20853) The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated July 3, 1996. No significant hazards consideration comments received: No

Local Public Document Room location: White Plains Public Library, 100 Martine Avenue, White Plains, New York 10610.

Power Authority of the State of New York, Docket No. 50-333, James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, Oswego County, New York

Date of application for amendment: April 24, 1996

Brief description of amendment: The amendment proposes to relocate Specification 3.11.B/4.11.B "Crescent Area Ventilation" and associated Bases from the TS to an Authority controlled procedure.

Date of issuance: June 28, 1996
Effective date: As of the date of issuance to be implemented within 30 days.

Amendment No.: 231
Facility Operating License No. DPR-59: Amendment revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: May 22, 1996 (61 FR 25710) The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated June 28, 1996. No significant hazards consideration comments received: No

Local Public Document Room location: Reference and Documents Department, Penfield Library, State University of New York, Oswego, New York 13126.

Public Service Electric & Gas Company, Docket Nos. 50-272 and 50-311, Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, Salem County, New Jersey

Date of application for amendments: February 6, 1996

Brief description of amendments: The amendments change the Technical Specifications to lower the 125 Volt Battery Charger surveillance amperage from at least 200 amps to at least 170 amps.

Date of issuance: June 27, 1996
Effective date: As of date of issuance, to be implemented within 30 days.

Amendment Nos. 183 and 164
Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-70 and DPR-75. The amendments revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: February 28, 1996 (61 FR

7556) The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated June 27, 1996. No significant hazards consideration comments received: No
Local Public Document Room location: Salem Free Public Library, 112 West Broadway, Salem, New Jersey 08079

Union Electric Company, Docket No. 50-483, Callaway Plant, Unit 1, Callaway County, Missouri

Date of application for amendment: June 26, 1995, as supplemented by letter dated February 2, 1996.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment revised the allowed outage time for component cooling water motor operated containment isolation valves, moved the list of containment isolation valves from the technical specifications to the final safety analysis report, and allowed containment penetration check valves to be used as isolation devices.

Date of issuance: June 28, 1996
Effective date: June 28, 1996, to be implemented within 30 days of the date of issuance.

Amendment No.: 113
Facility Operating License No. NPF-30: The amendment revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: August 30, 1995 (60 FR 45187) The February 2, 1996, supplemental letter provided additional clarifying information and did not change the staff's original no significant hazards consideration determination. The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated June 28, 1996. No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Local Public Document Room location: Callaway County Public Library, 710 Court Street, Fulton, Missouri 65251.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 10th day of July 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Steven A. Varga,
Director, Division of Reactor Projects - I/II,
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[Doc. 96-18007 Filed 7-16-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-F

[Docket No. 55-21849-OT; ASLBP No. 96-716-01-OT]

Emerick S. McDaniel; Notice of Reconstitution of Board

Pursuant to the authority contained in 10 CFR § 2.721, the Presiding Officer for Emerick S. McDaniel, with the above-identified Docket Number, is hereby reconstituted by appointing

Administrative Judge Peter B. Bloch as Presiding Officer in place of Chief Administrative Judge B. Paul Cotter, Jr. who is unavailable to serve. Administrative Judge Peter A. Morris will continue to assist the Presiding Officer in taking evidence and preparing the record.

All correspondence, documents and other material shall be filed with Judge Bloch and Judge Morris in accordance with 10 CFR § 2.701 (1980). Their addresses are:

Administrative Judge Peter B. Bloch,
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission, Washington, DC 20555
Administrative Judge Peter A. Morris,
10825 South Glen Road, Potomac, MD
20854

Issued at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of July 1996.

B. Paul Cotter, Jr.,
*Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic Safety
and Licensing Board Panel.*

[FR Doc. 96-18136 Filed 7-16-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 37420; File No. SR-MBSCC-96-03]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; MBS Clearing Corporation; Notice of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Eliminating the Monthly Audit Package Requirements

July 11, 1996.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ notice is hereby given that on June 18, 1996, the MBS Clearing Corporation ("MBSCC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared primarily by MBSCC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

MBSCC proposes to modify its rules and procedures to eliminate the requirement that it provide a monthly audit package to each participant and the requirement that such participant review and respond to the package.

¹ 15 U.S.C. § 78s(b)(1) (1988).

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, MBSCC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. MBSCC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.²

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

MBSCC proposes to modify its rules and procedures to eliminate the requirement that it provide a monthly audit package to each participant and the requirement that such participant review and respond to the package. MBSCC currently provides each participant with the participant's Open Commitment Report on a daily basis pursuant to its rules. Participants have a duty under the rules to review each report for errors and discrepancies and to report any error or discrepancy to MBSCC. MBSCC's rules and source book also require MBSCC to send each participant a monthly audit package which consists of a copy of the participant's Open Commitment Report dated the last business day of the previous month and an Audit Exception Reporting Form which must be completed by each participant and returned to MBSCC whether or not any exceptions are found.

Participants are obligated to review daily Open Commitment Reports and will continue to be so required. By eliminating the monthly audit package and the participants' requirement to review it, the administrative and economic burdens on participants' resources due to the duplicative nature of the requirements will be eliminated without any substantive effect.

In connection with this proposed rule change, MBSCC will eliminate the late audit confirmation penalties from its schedule of penalty fees.

MBSCC believes the proposed rule change is consistent with its obligations under Section 17A of the Act because by eliminating the monthly audit package and the participants' requirement to review it, MBSCC will facilitate the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

MBSCC does not believe that the proposed rule change will have an impact or impose a burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Comments on the proposed rule change have not yet been solicited or received. Members will be notified of the rule filing, and comments will be solicited by an important notice. MBSCC will notify the Commission of any written comments received by MBSCC.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within thirty-five days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to ninety days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

- (A) By order approve such proposed rule change or
- (B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. § 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of MBSCC. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-MBSCC-96-03 and should be submitted by August 7, 1996.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.³

Margaret H. McFarland,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-18082 Filed 7-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

Adjustment of Import Limits for Certain Cotton, Man-Made Fiber, Silk Blend and Other Vegetable Fiber Textile Products Produced or Manufactured in Bangladesh

July 12, 1996.

AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

ACTION: Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs adjusting limits.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 15, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ross Arnold, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482-4212. For information on the quota status of these limits, refer to the Quota Status Reports posted on the bulletin boards of each Customs port or call (202) 927-5850. For information on embargoes and quota re-openings, call (202) 482-3715.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended; section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854); Uruguay Round Agreements Act

The current limits for certain categories are being increased by recrediting unused carryforward.

A description of the textile and apparel categories in terms of HTS numbers is available in the CORRELATION: Textile and Apparel Categories with the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (see Federal Register notice 60 FR 65299, published on December 19, 1995). Also see 60 FR 65290, published on December 19, 1995.

The letter to the Commissioner of Customs and the actions taken pursuant to it are not designed to implement all of the provisions of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and the Uruguay Round Agreement on Textiles and Clothing, but are designed to assist only in the

² The Commission has modified the text of the summaries prepared by MBSCC.

³ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12) (1995).