Office of the Assistant Secretary for Water and Science; Central Utah Project Completion Act

Notice of Intent to Negotiate a Contract Among the Central Utah Water Conservancy District, Strawberry Water Users Association, and Department of the Interior for Irrigation Water From the Bonneville Unit of the Central Utah Project, Utah

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Water and Science, Department of the Interior.

ACTION: Notice of intent to negotiate a contract among the Central Utah Water Conservancy District (CUWCD), Strawberry Water Users Association (SWUA), and Department of the Interior (DOI) for Irrigation Water from the Bonneville Unit of the Central Utah Project.

SUMMARY: Public Law 102-575, Section 202(a)(1)(C) stipulates that: "Amounts authorized to carry out subparagraph (A) may not be obligated or expended, and may not be borrowed against, until binding contracts for the purchase for the purpose of agricultural irrigation of at least 90 percent of the irrigation water to be delivered from the features of the Central Utah Project described in subparagraph (A) have been executed." Subparagraph A relates to construction of the Spanish Fork Canyon/Nephi Irrigation System of the Bonneville Unit, Central Utah Project. A negotiated contract among CUWCD, SWUA, and DOI will meet the requirements of Section 202(a)(1)(C).

**DATES:** Dates for public negotiation sessions will be announced in local newspapers.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Additional information on matters related to this Federal Register notice can be obtained at the address and telephone number set forth below: Mr. Reed Murray, Program Coordinator, CUP Completion Act Office, Department of the Interior, 302 East 1860 South, Provo UT, 84606–6154. Telephone: (801) 379–1237.

Dated: January 26, 1996.

Ronald Johnston,

CUP Program Director, Department of the Interior

[FR Doc. 96–2069 Filed 1–31–96; 8:45 am]

## Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

Information Collection Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for Review Under the Paperwork Reduction Act

The proposal for the collection of information listed below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for approval under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). Copies of the proposed collection of information and related form and explanatory material may be obtained by contacting the Bureau's clearance officer at the phone number listed below. Comments and suggestions on the requirement should be made within 30 days directly to the Bureau clearance officer and to the Office of Management and Budget Paperwork Reduction Project (1029-0036), Washington, DC 20503, telephone 202-395-7340.

*Title:* Surface Mining Permit Applications—Minimum Requirements for Reclamation and Operation Plan—30 CFR 780.

OMB Number: 1029–0036.

Abstract: Permit application
requirements in sections 507(b), 508(a),
510(b), 515(b) and (d), and 522 of Public
Law 95–87 require the applicant to
submit the operations and reclamation
plan for coal mining activities.
Information collection is needed to
determine whether the mining and
reclamation plan will achieve the
reclamation and environmental
protections pursuant to SMCRA.

Bureau Form Number: None. Frequency: On occasion. Description of Respondents: Surface Coal Mining Operators.

Annual Responses: 610. Annual Burden Hours: 235,261. Average Burden Hours Per Response: 386.

Bureau Clearance Officer: John A. Trelease (202) 208–2617.

Dated: December 4, 1995. Gene E. Krueger,

Acting Chief, Division of Technology Development and Transfer.

[FR Doc. 96–1988 Filed 1–31–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Water Act and Oil Pollution Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, notice is hereby given that on January 24, 1996 a proposed Consent Decree in *United States of America and State of Indiana* v. *Marathon Oil Company*, Case No. IP 96–110–C–M/S, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana. This consent decree represents a settlement of claims against Marathon Oil Company for violations of the Clean Water Act and Oil Pollution Act.

Under this settlement between the United States and the State of Indiana and Marathon Oil Company [Marathon], Marathon will pay the United States and the State of Indiana \$304,630 for natural resources damages, including the costs incurred by the governments to assess the damages. The monies recovered by the governments shall be expended, among other purposes, to restore, replace or acquire equivalent natural resources injured by two oil spills at the Marathon oil refinery located in Indianapolis, Indiana. In addition, the Consent Decree requires Marathon to pay \$50,025 as a civil penalty for eight violations of its NPDES permit.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States and State of Indiana* v. *Marathon Oil Company*, D.J. Ref. 90–5–1–1–4150.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Southern District of Indiana, U.S. Courthouse, Fifth Floor, 46 East Ohio Street, Indianapolis, Indiana 46204, and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$3.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 96–2135 Filed 1–31–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–01–M

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

In accordance with 42 U.S.C. 9622(d). 42 U.S.C. 6973(d), and 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on January 24, 1996, a proposed consent decree in United States of America v. City of Somersworth, N.H., et al., Civil Action No. 96–046–JD, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire. The United States' complaint sought injunctive relief and recovery of response costs under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"), in regard to the Somersworth Sanitary Landfill Superfund Site ("Somersworth Landfill Site") in Somersworth, New Hampshire, against the City of Somersworth, N.H. ("City"), General Electric Company ("GE"), Browning-Ferris Industries of New Hampshire, Inc., Cate's Rubbish Removal Services, Inc., Waste Management of Maine, Inc./Waste Management of New Hampshire, Inc./ Waste Management of North America, Inc., D.F. Richard, Inc., Exeter & Hampton Electric Company, Fortier & Son, Inc., General Linen Service Co., Inc., J.A. Prince & Sons, Inc., Mid-way Buick, Pontiac GMC Truck, Inc., New England Telephone & Telegraph Company, New Hampshire Printers & Business Forms, Inc., Public Service Company of New Hampshire, R.M. Rouleau, Inc., Riverside Garage & Leasing, Inc., Robbins Auto Parts, Inc., Somersworth Nissan, Inc., Tri-City Dodge, Inc./Tri-City Subaru, Inc., and Gagnon's Auto Body, Inc. The State of New Hampshire is also a plaintiff in the action.

The Consent Decree provides that the City and GE will implement the remedial design and remedial action selected by EPA in the Record of Decision dated June 21, 1994, for the Somersworth Landfill Site. The Consent Decree also provides that the defendants will pay \$283,181 to the Superfund for past response costs incurred by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, \$3,000 to the U.S. Department of the Interior for natural resource damage assessment costs, and \$10,669 to the State of New Hampshire for past response costs incurred by the State of New Hampshire. The Consent Decree includes a covenant not to sue by the United States under Sections 106 and

107 of the CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607, and under Section 7003 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6973.

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to United States versus City of Somersworth, N.H., et al., D.J. Ref. 90-11-3-1311A. Commenters may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area, in accordance with Section 7003(d) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6973(d).

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, 55 Pleasant St., Rm. 312, Concord, New Hampshire 03301 and at the New England Region office of the Environmental Protection Agency, One Congress St., Boston, Massachusetts 02203. The proposed Consent Decree may also be examined at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G. St., N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, 202-624–0892. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree (without appendices) may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G. St., N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$40.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the "Consent Decree Library.'

Joel M. Gross.

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment & Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 96–2136 Filed 1–31–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–01–M

#### **Antitrust Division**

[Civil Action No. 395CV01946RNC]

### United States v. HealthCare Partners, Inc., et al.; Public Comments and United States' Response to Public Comments

Pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h), the United States publishes below the comments received on the proposed Final Judgment in *United States* versus *HealthCare Partners, Inc., et al.,* Civil Action No. 395CV01946RNC, United States District Court for the District of Connecticut, together with the response of the United States to the comments.

Copies of the response and the public comments are available on request for inspection and copying in Room 215 of the Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 325 7th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20004, and for inspection at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut, 450 Main Street, Hartford, Connecticut 06103. Rebecca P. Dick,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

United States of America, and State of Connecticut, ex rel., Richard Blumenthal, Attorney General, Plaintiffs, vs. HealthCare Partners, Inc., Danbury Area IPA, Inc., and Danbury Health Systems, Inc., Defendants. [Civil Action No. 395CV01946RNC] January 18, 1996.

# United States' Response to Public Comments

Pursuant to the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (commonly referred to as the "Tunney Act''), 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h), the United States hereby responds to public comments regarding the Consent Decree proposed as the basis for settling this proceeding in the public interest. After careful consideration of these comments, the United States concludes that the proposed Consent Decree will provide an effective and appropriate remedy for the antitrust violations alleged in the Complaint. Once the public comments and this Response have been published in the Federal Register, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 16(d), the United States will urge the Court to enter the Consent Decree as originally

On September 13, 1995, the United States and the State of Connecticut filed a Complaint alleging that Defendants HealthCare Partners, Inc., Danbury Area IPA, Inc., and Danbury Health Systems, Inc. violated Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1. The Complaint also charges that Defendant Danbury Health Systems, Inc. violated Section 2 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2. Simultaneously with the filing of the Complaint, the United States and the State of Connecticut filed a proposed Consent Decree, a Stipulation signed by all parties to entry of the Decree following compliance with the Tunney Act, and a Competitive Impact Statement (CIS).

Pursuant to the Tunney Act, on September 27, 1995, the Defendants filed the required description of certain written and oral communications made on their behalf. A summary of the terms of the proposed Decree and the CIS and directions for the submission of written comments were published in the *Danbury News-Times* for seven consecutive days, from September 22, through September 29, 1995. The proposed Consent Decree and the CIS