

provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, New York Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, New York ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Standardization Branch ANM-113.

(e) Special flight permits may be used in accordance with section 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished. Any loose bolt found as a result of the inspections required by paragraph (a) or (b) of this AD, must be retorqued prior to application for a special flight permit. The upper bolt, P/N 81812-7-22, must be retorqued to 36-39 foot-pounds; the lower two bolts, P/N 81812-6-22, must be retorqued to 22-25 foot-pounds.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 10, 1996.

Darrell M. Pederson,

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 96-17983 Filed 7-15-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Indian Affairs

#### 25 CFR Part 290

RIN 1076-AD14

#### Tribal Revenue Allocation Plans

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document modifies the preamble to a proposed rule published on June 7, 1996 regarding tribal revenue allocation plans. This correction clarifies that the proposed rule applies prospectively to plans submitted for approval from the effective date of the final rule.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Nancy Pierskalla, Management Analyst, Indian Gaming Management Staff Office, at 202-219-4068.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In proposed rule FR Doc 96-14061, beginning on page 29044 in the issue of Friday, June 7, 1996, make the following correction on page 29044:

1. In "Supplementary Information" add at the end of the second paragraph the following, "This rule applies to Tribal Revenue Allocation Plans submitted and approved after the

effective date of the final rule. Previously approved Tribal Revenue Allocation Plans need not be resubmitted for review and approval by the Bureau of Indian Affairs and continue to remain in effect. However, amendments to approved Tribal Revenue Allocation Plans will need to be submitted to the BIA for approval under the proposed regulation."

Dated: July 1, 1996

Ada E. Deer,

*Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 96-17430 Filed 7-15-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-02-M

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

#### 27 CFR Part 53

[Notice No. 831]

RIN 1512-AB42

#### Manufacturers Excise Taxes-Firearms and Ammunition (95R-055P)

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF), Department of the Treasury.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; request for comments on temporary regulations.

**SUMMARY:** In the Rules and Regulations portion of this Federal Register, the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF) is issuing temporary regulations to simplify the regulations in 27 CFR Part 53 relating to exemption certificates. The regulations are being revised to give taxpayers the option of using a preprinted document as exemption certificates and vendor(vendee) statements or designing their own certificates and statements using specified information. ATF is requesting comments on the temporary regulations before issuing the final regulations.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before October 15, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments to: Chief, Regulations Branch, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, P.O. Box 50221, Washington, DC 20226-0221.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mary Lou Blake, Regulations Branch, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, 650 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20226; (202-927-8210).

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Executive Order 12866

It has been determined that this proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action as defined in E.O. 12866. Therefore, a regulatory assessment is not required.

### Regulatory Flexibility Act

It is hereby certified that this proposed regulation will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities or impose or otherwise cause an increase in the reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance burdens on a substantial number of small entities. The factual basis for such certification is that this revision does not add any new requirement for reporting or recordkeeping. This revision serves only to clarify and streamline current regulatory requirements. Pursuant to section 7805(f) of the Internal Revenue Code, this proposed regulation has been submitted to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration for comment on its impact on small business.

### Paperwork Reduction Act

The provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, Public Law 96-511, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35, and its implementing regulations, 5 CFR part 1329, do not apply to this notice because there are no new reporting or recordkeeping requirements.

### Public Participation

ATF requests comments on the proposed regulations from all interested persons. Comments received on or before the closing date will be carefully considered. Comments received after that date will be given the same consideration if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given except as to comments received on or before the closing date.

ATF will not recognize any material in comments as confidential. Comments may be disclosed to the public. Any material which the commenter considers to be confidential or inappropriate for disclosure to the public should not be included in the comment. The name of the person submitting a comment is not exempt from disclosure.

Any interested person who desires an opportunity to comment orally at a public hearing should submit his or her request in writing, to the Director within the 60-day comment period. The Director, however, reserves the right to determine, in light of all circumstances, whether a public hearing is necessary.

The temporary regulations in this issue of the Federal Register amend the regulations in 27 CFR Part 53. For the text of the temporary regulations, see T.D. ATF-380 published in the Rules and Regulations section of this issue of the Federal Register.

#### Drafting Information

The author of this document is Mary Lou Blake, Regulations Branch, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms.

Signed: May 30, 1996.

Bradley A. Buckles,  
Acting Director.

Approved: June 10, 1996.

John P. Simpson,

Deputy Assistant Secretary (Regulatory, Tariff and Trade Enforcement).

[FR Doc. 96-17997 Filed 7-15-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-31-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

#### 30 CFR Part 948

[WV-075-FOR]

#### West Virginia Permanent Regulatory Program

**AGENCY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), Interior.

**ACTION:** Proposed Rule; reopening of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** OSM is reopening the public comment period on a proposed amendment to the West Virginia permanent regulatory program (hereinafter referred to as the West Virginia program) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). The amendment revises the West Virginia Surface Mining Reclamation Regulations concerning topsoil substitutes. The amendment is intended to improve the effectiveness of the West Virginia program.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before 4:00 p.m. on July 31, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and requests to speak at the hearing should be mailed or hand delivered to Mr. James C. Blankenship, Jr., Director, Charleston Field Office at the address listed below.

Copies of the proposed amendment, the West Virginia program, and the administrative record on the West Virginia program are available for public review and copying at the addresses below, during normal business hours,

Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. Each requester may receive one free copy of the proposed amendment by contacting the OSM Charleston Field Office.

Mr. James C. Blankenship, Jr., Director,  
Charleston Field Office, Office of  
Surface Mining Reclamation and  
Enforcement, 1027 Virginia Street,  
East, Charleston, West Virginia 25301  
Telephone: (304) 347-7158

West Virginia Division of  
Environmental Protection, 10  
McJunkin Road, Nitro, West Virginia  
25143, Telephone: (304) 759-0515

In addition, copies of the proposed amendment are available for inspection during regular business hours at the following locations:

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Morgantown Area Office, 75 High Street, Room 229, P.O. Box 886, Morgantown, West Virginia 26507, Telephone: (304) 291-4004

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Beckley Area Office, 323 Harper Park Drive, Suite 3, Beckley, West Virginia 25801, Telephone: (304) 255-5265

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. James C. Blankenship, Jr., Director,  
Charleston Field Office; Telephone:  
(304) 347-7158.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background on the West Virginia Program

On January 21, 1981, the Secretary of the Interior conditionally approved the West Virginia program. Background information on the West Virginia program, including the Secretary's findings, the disposition of comments, and the conditions of the approval can be found in the January 21, 1981, Federal Register (46 FR 5915-5956). Subsequent actions concerning the West Virginia program and previous amendments are codified at 30 CFR 948.10, 948.12, 948.13, 948.15, and 948.16.

##### II. Discussion of the Proposed Amendment

By letter dated April 2, 1996 (Administrative Record Number WV-1024), the West Virginia Division of Environmental Protection (WVDEP) submitted an amendment to its approved permanent regulatory program pursuant to 30 CFR 732.17. The amendment contains revisions to the West Virginia Surface mining Reclamation Regulations (CSR § 38-2-1 *et seq.*). The proposed amendments were announced in the April 23, 1996, Federal Register (61 FR 17859). However, a proposed amendment to

§ 38-2-14.3(c) concerning topsoil substitutes was inadvertently omitted from that notice. Therefore, OSM is reopening the public comment period only on the following proposed amendment.

Section 38-2-14.3(c)(2) is amended by adding the word "reasonably" immediately following the word "best." As amended, subsection (c)(2) reads as follows: "The resulting soil medium is the best reasonably available in the permit area to support vegetation; and."

#### III. Public Comment Procedures

In accordance with the provisions of 30 CFR 732.17(h), OSM is now seeking comments on the proposed amendment submitted by the State of West Virginia to its permanent regulatory program. Specifically, OSM is seeking comments on the revision to the State's regulations that was submitted on April 2, 1996 (Administrative Record No. WV-1024), and is identified above. Comments should address whether the proposed amendment satisfies the applicable program approval criteria of 30 CFR 732.15. If the amendment is deemed adequate, it will become part of the West Virginia program.

#### Written Comments

Written comments should be specific, pertain only to the issue proposed in this notice and include explanations in support of the commenter's recommendations. Comments received after the time indicated under **DATES** or at locations other than the OSM Charleston Field Office will not necessarily be considered in the final rulemaking or included in the Administrative Record.

#### IV. Procedural Determinations

##### Executive Order 12291

On July 12, 1984, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) granted OSM an exemption from sections 3, 4, 7 and 8 of Executive Order 12291 (Reduction of Regulatory Burden) for actions related to approval or conditional approval of State regulatory programs, actions and program amendments. Therefore, preparation of a regulatory impact analysis is not necessary, and OMB regulatory review is not required.

##### Executive Order 12988

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (Civil Justice Reform) and has determined that, to the extent allowed by law, this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards