

changed based on your comments and our analysis:

- Three residences are located within 50 feet of the construction right-of-way.
- The entire project lies within a residential area.

#### Public Participation

You can make a difference by sending a letter addressing your specific comments or concerns about the project. You should focus on the potential environmental effects of the proposal, alternatives to the proposal including alternative routes, and measures to avoid or lessen environmental impact. The more specific your comments, the more useful they will be. Please follow the instructions below to ensure that your comments are received and properly recorded:

- Address your letter to: Lois Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First St., N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426;
- Reference Docket No. CP96-564-000;
- Send a *copy* of your letter to: Mrs. Medha Kochhar, EA Project Manager, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First St., N.E., PR-11.2, Washington, D.C. 20426; and
- Mail your comments so that they will be received in Washington, D.C. on or before August 12, 1996.

If you wish to receive a copy of the EA, you should request one from Mrs. Medha Kochhar at the above address.

#### Becoming an Intervenor

In addition to involvement in the EA scoping process, you may want to become an official party to the proceeding or become an "intervenor". Among other things, intervenors have the right to receive copies of case-related Commission documents and filings by other intervenors. Likewise, each intervenor must provide copies of its filings to all other parties. If you want to become an intervenor you must file a motion to intervene according to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214) (see appendix 2).

You do not need intervenor status to have your scoping comments considered.

Additional information about the proposed project is available from Mrs. Medha Kochhar, EA Project Manager, at (202) 208-2270.

Lois D. Cashell,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-17713 Filed 7-11-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5471-3]

### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared June 24, 1996 Through June 28, 1996 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 05, 1996 (61 FR 15251).

#### Draft EISs

*ERP No. D-APH-A99207-00* Rating EC2, Programmatic EIS—Veterinary Services (VS) Programs, Implementation, to Detect, Prevent, Control, and Eradicate Domestic and Foreign Animal Diseases and Pests, All 50 States and the United States Territories.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns with the program regarding contamination of ground water from carcass disposal and issues concerning pesticide use. EPA suggested that the final EIS include additional alternatives and assessment of their impacts, consideration of mitigation of chemical use, applicator training requirements, and several changes to inaccuracies pertaining to pesticide use.

*ERP No. D-COE-F35042-IN* Rating EC2, Indiana Harbor and Canal Dredging and Confined Disposal Facility, Construction and Operation, Comprehensive Management Plan, East Chicago, Lake County, ID.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding dredging depth impacts to water quality, cumulative impacts, and TSCA and RCRA issues. EPA requested that additional information be provided in the final EIS to address these issues.

*ERP No. FRC-L05216-WA* Rating EU3, Cushman Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 460), Relicensing, North Fork Skokomish River, Mason County, WA.

*Summary:* EPA's review concluded that the proposed alternative is environmentally unsatisfactory. In addition, EPA has significant concerns regarding the adequacy of the draft EIS. In particular, the draft EIS does not (1) provide a comprehensive analysis of cumulative impacts; (2) appropriately

characterize the no-action alternative; (3) assess impacts on Tribal Trust/Treaty resources; (4) give equal consideration to power and nonpar values when assessing project "benefits"; and (5) provide sufficient information and support conclusions regarding alternatives and mitigation measures, especially with regard to restoration of more natural flows to the North Fork Skokomish River. EPA noted that if this proposal is carried forward to the final EIS without correcting unacceptable impacts, it will be a candidate for referral to the Council on Environmental Quality.

*ERP No. D-IBR-K39043-CA* Rating EU3, American River Water Resources Investigation, Implementation, Placer, Suter, EL Dorado, Sacramento and San Joaquin Counties, CA.

*Summary:* EPA's review concluded that one of the alternatives, the proposed Auburn Dam on the American River, is environmentally unsatisfactory. EPA noted that if this proposal is carried forward to the Final EIS without correcting unacceptable impacts, it will be a candidate for referral to the Council on Environmental Quality. EPA urged the Bureau of Reclamation and other program sponsors to pursue development of a non-Auburn Dam alternative which modifies elements of the Conjunctive Use alternative to guarantee adequate instream flows and Bay/Delta outflow.

*ERP No. D-USN-A11073-00* Rating EC2, United States Navy Shipboard Solid Waste Disposal, Implementation, MARPOL Special Areas: Designated Baltic Sea, North Sea, Wilder Caribbean, Antarctic Ocean, Mediterranean Sea, Black Sea and Red Sea, Gulfs Region: Persian Gulf and Gulf of Oman.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns for additional measures to protect special resources (e.g., coral reefs) and to ensure that future designs of ships provide for storage space for wastes; EPA also requested additional impacts analysis and clarification regarding planned actions in the Baltic Sea, the North Sea and Antarctic Waters.

#### Final EISs

*ERP No. F-COE-E30036-MS* Coldwater River Watershed Demonstration Erosion Control Project, Flood and Sediment Control Measures, Implementation, Yazoo Basin, Marshall, Benton and Tate Counties, MS.

*Summary:* EPA had no significant environmental objections with implementation of the proposed flood control measures. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

*ERP No. FS-COE-K32028-CA*  
Richmond Harbor Deep Draft Navigation Improvements, Updated and Additional Information to Improve Navigation Efficiency into the Potrero, San Francisco Bay, Contra Costa County, CA.

**Summary:** EPA expressed environmental concerns with the Corps' failure to select an alternative with a greater degree of beneficial use, in line with the goals of the Long Term Management Strategy for San Francisco Bay dredged material disposal. EPA also expressed a need for monitoring and appropriate mitigation of impacts to eelgrass beds and shallow subtidal habitat.

Dated: July 9, 1996.  
William D. Dickerson,  
*Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.*  
[FR Doc. 96-17797 Filed 7-11-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

[ER-FRL-5471-2]

#### **Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability**

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 OR (202) 564-7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed July 01, 1996 Through July 05, 1996 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

*EIS No. 960312*, Draft EIS, NPS, VA, Shenandoah National Park, Facility Development Plan, Implementation, several counties, VA, Due: August 26, 1996, Contact: John W. Wade (540) 999-3400.

*EIS No. 960313*, Final EIS, FHW, AL, Montgomery Outer Loop Construction, US 80 southwest of Montgomery to I-85 east of Montgomery, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Montgomery County, AL, Due: August 12, 1996, Contact: Joe D. Wilkerson (334) 223-7370.

*EIS No. 960314*, Draft Supplement, AFS, OR, Mount Hood Meadows Ski Area Additional Development and Expansion to the Skiing and Summer Areas, Construction to Forest Road 3555, Special Use Permit and NPDES Permit, Hood River Ranger District, Mount Hood National Forest, Hood River County, OR, Due: August 26, 1996, Contact: Mike Odom (360) 696-7766.

*EIS No. 960315*, Draft EIS, COE, Santa Maria and Sisquoc Rivers Specific Plan, Mining and Reclamation Plans, (MRPs), Coast Rock Site and S.P. Milling Site, Conditional Use Permits,

Approval of Reclamation Plans, and COE Section 404 Permits, Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo County, CA, Due: August 26, 1996, Contact: Theresa Stevens (805) 641-0936.

*EIS No. 960316*, Final EIS, FRC, MT, Kerr Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 5-021), License Modification Issuance to Existing License, Flathead River, Flathead and Lake Counties, MT, Due: August 12, 1996, Contact: Robert Grieve (202) 219-2655.

*EIS No. 960317*, Final EIS, AFS, MT, Boulder and Wyman Gulch Vegetation Management Timber Sale and Prescribed Burning, Implementation, Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forests, Philipsburg Ranger District, Granite County, MT, Due: August 12, 1996, Contact: Ed Casey (406) 859-3211.  
*EIS No. 960318*, Draft EIS, FHW, CA, CA-125 South Route Location, Adoption and Construction, between CA-905 on Otay Mesa to CA-54 in Spring Valley, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, San Diego County, CA, Due: September 03, 1996, Contact: Jeffery S. Lewis (916) 498-5035.

Dated: July 9, 1996.  
William D. Dickerson,  
*Director, NEPA Compliance Division Office of Federal Activities.*  
[FR Doc. 96-17798 Filed 7-11-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

[FRL-5536-3]

#### **Clean Air Act Advisory Committee Notice of Meeting; Correction Notice**

**SUMMARY:** On June 28, 1996 (61 FR 33736) a notice was published in error stating that the Subcommittee for Development of Ozone, Particulate Matter and Regional Haze Implementation Programs of the Clean Air Act Advisory Committee was planned for Monday, July 29, 1996. The meeting of this subcommittee is scheduled for Tuesday, July 30, 1996, from 8:00 a.m.-5:00 p.m., and will be held at the Sheraton Crystal City Hotel, 1800 Jefferson Davis Highway, Crystal City, Virginia.

For Further Information concerning the Subcommittee for Development of Ozone, Particulate Matter, and Regional Haze Implementation Programs, please contact Mr. William F. Hamilton, Designated Federal Official, at 919-541-5498, or by mail at U.S. EPA, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, MD-12, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711. When a draft agenda is developed, a copy can be downloaded from the Ozone/Particulate Matter/

Regional Haze FACA Bulletin Board, which is located on the Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards Technology Transfer Network (OAQPS TTN) or by contacting Ms. Denise M. Gerth at 919-541-5550.

Dated: July 8, 1996.  
Richard D. Wilson,  
*Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.*  
[FR Doc. 96-17803 Filed 7-11-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[OPP-181016; FRL 5383-4]

#### **Pyriproxyfen and Buprofezin; Receipt of Application for Emergency Exemptions, Solicitation of Public Comment**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** EPA has received specific exemption requests from the California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Pesticide Regulation (hereafter referred to as the "Applicant") to use the insect growth regulators pyriproxyfen (CAS 95737-68-1) and buprofezin (CAS 69327-76-0) to treat up to 24,000 acres of cotton in Imperial, Riverside, and San Bernardino counties, to control the sweet potato, or silverleaf whitefly (*Bemisia species*).

In the case of pyriproxyfen, the Applicant proposes the first food use of an active ingredient. Buprofezin is an unregistered material, and its proposed use is thus use of a "new" chemical.

Therefore, in accordance with 40 CFR 166.24, EPA is soliciting public comment before making the decision whether or not to grant the exemptions.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before July 29, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Three copies of written comments, bearing the identification notation "OPP-181016," should be submitted by mail to: Public Response and Program Resource Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. In person, bring comments to: Rm. 1132, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

Comments and data may also be submitted electronically by sending electronic mail (e-mail) to: opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov. Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Comments and data will also be accepted on disks in WordPerfect in 5.1