

would cost approximately \$31 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$8,130, or \$271 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 USC 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Boeing; Docket 95-NM-154-AD.

Applicability: Model 767 series airplanes having line position 1 through 329 inclusive;

equipped with Pratt & Whitney Model JT9D-7R4 engines; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must use the authority provided in paragraph (b) of this AD to request approval from the FAA. This approval may address either no action, if the current configuration eliminates the unsafe condition; or different actions necessary to address the unsafe condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any airplane from the applicability of this AD.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent chafing of the number 18 fuel nozzle secondary transfer fuel tube of the engine, and subsequent fuel leakage and possible engine fire, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 6 months after the effective date of this AD, perform a visual inspection to verify proper clearance (0.5 inch) between the number 18 fuel nozzle secondary transfer fuel tube and the pylon drain tube of the engine.

(1) If the clearance is equal to or greater than 0.5 inch, prior to further flight, install clamps and associated fasteners between the environmental control system (ECS) and the pylon drain tube, in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767-71A0082, dated July 6, 1995.

(2) If the clearance is less than 0.5 inch, prior to further flight, perform a visual inspection to detect damage of the number 18 fuel nozzle secondary transfer fuel tube and the pylon drain tube.

(i) If no damage is detected, or if any damage to the number 18 fuel nozzle secondary transfer tube is less than or equal to 0.002 inch deep and if any damage to the drain tube is less than or equal to 0.010 inch deep, prior to further flight, relocate the pylon drain tube to meet the 0.5 inch specification. After accomplishing the relocation, prior to further flight, install the clamps and associated fasteners between the ECS and the pylon drain tube, in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767-71A0082, dated July 6, 1995.

(ii) If any damage to the number 18 fuel tube is greater than 0.002 inch deep, or if any damage to the drain tube is greater than 0.010 inch deep, prior to further flight, repair or replace the damaged tube, in accordance with Section 28-00-10 of the Overhaul Manual. After accomplishing the repair or replacement, prior to further flight, install the clamps and associated fasteners between the ECS and the pylon drain tube, in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767-71A0082, dated July 6, 1995.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that

provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on January 25, 1996.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 96-1876 Filed 1-30-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 95-NM-185-AD]

Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9 and Model DC-9-80 Series Airplanes, Model MD-88 Airplanes, and Model C-9 (Military) Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9 and Model DC-9-80 series airplanes, Model MD-88 airplanes, and Model C-9 (military) series airplanes. This proposal would require modification of the slant panel insulation blankets on the slant pressure panel of the main landing gear. The proposal would also require a visual inspection to detect discrepancies of the left and right seal assemblies of the overwing emergency exit door, and replacement of any discrepant door seal. This proposal is prompted by a report that the flaps and landing gear did not extend or retract properly due to water accumulation in the slant pressure panel area. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent such water accumulation, which could result in the failure of the flaps or landing gear to properly extend or retract.

DATES: Comments must be received by March 26, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation

Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95-NM-185-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from McDonnell Douglas Corporation, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Technical Publications Business Administration, Department C1-L51 (2-60). This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brent Bandley, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120L, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712; telephone (310) 627-5237; fax (310) 627-5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 95-NM-185-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95-NM-185-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

The FAA has received a report that the flaps and landing gear did not extend or retract properly in flight on a Model DC-9-31 series airplane. Investigation revealed that the potable water lines over the center section had frozen and ruptured. The potable water leaked from the water lines through the door seals of the overwing exit door and accumulated in the slant pressure panel area. The water then dripped and froze on various cables in the main wheel well area, which prevented the flaps and landing gear from operating properly. If not corrected, the possibility of water pooling in the slant pressure panel area could continue, and consequently could drip and freeze on the cables in the main wheel well area; this situation could then prevent the flaps and landing gear from operating properly.

The potable water line installation, overwing exit door seals, and slant pressure panel area on certain Model DC-9-80 series airplanes, Model MD-88 airplanes, and C-9 (military) series airplanes are essentially identical in configuration to that of Model DC-9 series airplanes. Therefore, those airplanes may be subject to the same unsafe condition identified on the Model DC-9 series airplanes.

The FAA has reviewed and approved McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC9-53-268, dated August 11, 1995, which describes procedures for modification of the slant panel insulation blankets on the slant pressure panel of the main landing gear. The modification involves trimming the insulation blankets, sealing the trimmed area, and reidentifying the insulation blankets. Accomplishment of the modification will allow the water to drain out through the drain holes and minimize the possibility of water accumulating in the slant pressure panel area. The service bulletin also describes procedures for a visual inspection to detect discrepancies (i.e., defects and constant gap) of the left and right seal assemblies of the overwing emergency exit door, and replacement of any discrepant door seal.

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would

require modification of the slant panel insulation blankets on the slant pressure panel of the main landing gear. The proposed AD would also require a visual inspection to detect discrepancies of the left and right seal assemblies of the overwing emergency exit door, and replacement of the discrepant door seal. The actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the service bulletin described previously.

There are approximately 1,500 McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9 and Model DC-9-80 series airplanes, Model MD-88 airplanes, and Model C-9 (military) series airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 1,000 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 8 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$480,000, or \$480 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 USC 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

McDonnell Douglas: Docket 95–NM–185–AD.

Applicability: Model DC–9–10, –20, –30, –40, and –50 series airplanes; Model DC–9–81 (MD–81), –82 (MD–82), –83 (MD–83), –87 (MD–87) series airplanes; Model MD–88 airplanes; and Model C–9 (military) series airplanes; as listed in McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC9–53–268, dated August 11, 1995; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must use the authority provided in paragraph (b) of this AD to request approval from the FAA. This approval may address either no action, if the current configuration eliminates the unsafe condition; or different actions necessary to address the unsafe condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any airplane from the applicability of this AD.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent water accumulation in the slant pressure panel area, which could result in the failure of the flaps or landing gear to properly extend or retract, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 24 months after the effective date of this AD, accomplish paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this AD, in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC9–53–268, dated August 11, 1995.

(1) Modify the slant panel insulation blankets on the slant pressure panel of the main landing gear.

(2) Perform a visual inspection to detect discrepancies (i.e., defects and constant gap) of the left and right seal assemblies of the overwing emergency exit door. If any discrepancy is detected, prior to further flight, replace door seal in accordance with the service bulletin.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished. Issued in Renton, Washington, on January 26, 1996.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 96–1875 Filed 1–30–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 94–NM–102–AD]

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A300 B2 and B4 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the superseding of an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to all Airbus Model A300 B2, B4–100, and B4–200 series airplanes, that currently requires supplemental structural inspections to detect fatigue cracking, and repair of cracked structure. This action would require revising the supplemental structural inspection program, including changing some of the inspection techniques, changing some of the thresholds and intervals for inspections, expanding the area to be inspected for some of the inspections, and revising the Fleet Leader Program. This proposal is prompted by a review of in-service history and reports received from the current supplemental structural inspections program required by the existing AD. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent reduced structural integrity of these airplanes due to fatigue cracking. **DATES:** Comments must be received by March 11, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 94–NM–

102–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Phil Forde, Aerospace Engineer, Standardization Branch, ANM–113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (206) 227–2146; fax (206) 227–1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 94–NM–102–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 94–NM–102–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056.