Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–16223 Filed 6–25–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. RP96-280-000]

Tuscarora Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

June 20, 1996.

Take notice that on June 18, 1996, Tuscarora Gas Transmission Company (Tuscarora) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets, to become effective August 1, 1996:

First Revised Sheet Nos. 4 & 5 First Revised Sheet No. 31 Original Sheet Nos. 91–93.

Tuscarora states that the tariff sheets which it is submitting reflect a Gas Research Institute Adjustment Provision.

Tuscarora further states it has served a copy of this filing upon all interested state regulatory agencies and Tuscarora's jurisdictional customers.

Any person desiring to be heard or protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc.96–16227 Filed 6–25–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. CP96-533-000, et al.]

Petal Gas Storage Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

June 18, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Petal Gas Storage Company

[Docket No. CP96-533-000]

Take notice that on May 22, 1996, as supplemented on June 11, 1996, Petal Gas Storage Company (Petal), Fairlane Plaza South, 330 Town Center Drive, Dearborn, Michigan 48126-2712, filed in Docket No. CP96-533-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) for authorization to construct and operate a new delivery point adjacent to Petal's natural gas storage facilities in Forrest County, Mississippi and to acquire, construct and operate appurtenant facilities to accommodate natural gas deliveries for the account of NorAm Energy Services, Inc. (NorAm), under the blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP95-14-000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Petal asserts that the proposed delivery point will permit Petal to accommodate natural gas deliveries on an interruptible basis, pursuant to a presently-effective storage service agreement between Petal and NorAm. Petal states that the proposed delivery point would be located approximately one-half mile north of Petal's storage field, which is north of the town of Petal, Mississippi, and would permit the delivery for NorAm or other customers to Hattiesburg Gas Storage Company (Hattiesburg), a Hinshaw pipeline that is subject to the jurisdiction of the Mississippi Public Service Commission.

Petal states that presently, natural gas stored in its facilities can only be delivered to or received from Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company and Koch Gateway Pipeline Company. The proposed new delivery point would permit Petal to deliver gas from NorAm's Petal storage account to Hattiesburg for storage in Hattiesburg's facilities, or to receive gas from Hattiesburg for NorAm's account for storage in Petal's facilities. Additionally, Hattiesburg's connection with Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation and AIM Pipeline Company could also provide NorAm with access to additional interstate and intrastate markets. Petal notes that the new

delivery point would also be available for use by other customers.

The delivery point facilities will consist of (1) approximately 2,600 feet of an existing 8-inch pipeline to be acquired by Petal from its parent company, CMS Gas Transmission and Storage Company; (2) 300 feet of 12-inch pipeline extending to the Hattiesburg Industrial Gas Sales Company with associated 12-inch control valves and 1,800 feet of 12-inch pipeline connecting the 8-inch pipeline to the Petal facilities, including 8-inch control and manual valves, flanges, studs, nuts, etc. and 12-inch by 8-inch reducers; and (3) a metering station 300 feet from the interconnection with Hattiesburg at the terminus of the 8-inch pipeline. Petal estimates that the maximum allowable operating pressure of the delivery point facilities will be 1135 psig, and the facilities will be capable of accommodating the bidirectional flow of up to 100,000 MMBtu/d. Petal estimates that it will deliver approximately 25,000 MMBtu/d on a peak-day basis and approximately 500,000 MMBtu annually at the proposed delivery point on an interruptible basis.

Petal estimates that the total cost of the proposed construction will be \$450,000. Petal notes that it has been authorized by the Commission to charge market-based rates, and therefore it will bear the cost of the proposed facilities exclusively. Petal states that the total volumes of gas to be delivered to NorAm after the proposed delivery point has been installed will not exceed the total volumes presently authorized and the installation of the proposed delivery point is not prohibited by its FERC Gas Tariff. Petal notes that the delivery point will not affect its certificated peak-day and annual deliveries, because it does not propose an increase in certificated firm capacity. Petal claims that the new delivery point should permit increased utilization of its storage facilities on a peak-day and year-round basis. Petal asserts that it has sufficient capacity to accomplish deliveries for NorAm at the proposed delivery point without detriment or disadvantage to its other customers.

Comment date: August 2, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

2. Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company [Docket Nos. CP89–629–032 and CP90–639– 020]

Take notice that on June 7, 1996, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), 1010 Milam Street, Houston, Texas 77252 filed a petition to amend the authorizations previously granted in this proceeding, pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Part 157 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) regulations, to accommodate a request by one shipper to add two delivery points, at which service would be provided only on a secondary basis.

Tennessee states that on October 9, 1991, the Commission issued Tennessee a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing Tennessee, among other things, to provide firm transportation services to New England Power Company (NEPCO). Tennessee states that NEPCO has requested two delivery points to increase its operational flexibility and ability to offload gas in response to its shifting gas needs. Tennessee states that it is willing to grant such request, subject to the receipt of satisfactory regulatory approvals.

Comment date: July 9, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

3. ANR Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP96-563-000]

Take notice that on June 10, 1996, ANR Pipeline Company (ANR), 500 Renaissance Center, Detroit, Michigan 48243, filed in Docket No. CP96-563-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) for authorization to modify and operate an existing interconnection between ANR and Central Illinois Light Company (CILCO) for delivery of natural gas to CILCO in Bureau County, Illinois under ANR's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-480-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

ANR proposes to add a 6-inch turbine meter at the Princeton Interconnection for operational flexibility. This additional 6-inch meter run will allow ANR to inspect, maintain, or make repairs to the meters without shutting down this interconnection and interrupting service to CILCO. The total cost of the proposed facility is approximately \$70,600. Because ANR is installing this facility for operational flexibility, the proposed quantities of natural gas to be delivered at Princeton Interconnection will be unaffected by the installation of the 6-inch meter.

ANR states that the proposed modification is not prohibited by its existing tariff and that it has sufficient capacity to accomplish deliveries without detriment or disadvantage to

other customers. The proposed modification will not have an effect on CIG's peak day and annual deliveries and the total volumes delivered will not exceed total volumes authorized prior to this request.

Comment date: August 2, 1996, in accordance with Standard paragraph G at the end of this notice.

4. Young Gas Storage Company, Ltd. [Docket No. CP96–565–000]

Take notice that on June 11, 1996, Young Gas Storage Company, Ltd. (Young), P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944, filed an abbreviated application in Docket No. CP96–565–000, pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations, for authorization to abandon and remove a single bi-directional meter station that was constructed by Young to move gas belonging to the Public Service Company of Colorado (PSCo) to and from Young's underground gas storage field (the Young Storage Field) in Morgan County Colorado, all as more fully set forth in the application, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Young is a limited partnership, which consists of two general partners (Young Gas Storage Company and CIG Gas Storage Company) and one limited partner (the city of Colorado Springs, Colorado, a municipal corporation of the State of Colorado). According to Young, PSCo indirectly owns a 47.5 percent interest in Young through a PSCo subsidiary, and has contracted for up to 180,000 Mcfd of storage capacity from the Young Storage Field.

Young proposes to abandon and remove the bi-directional meter station and sell the salvageable materials to Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG). PSCo has agreed to and supports Young's proposal to abandon and remove the subject facilities, stating that it no longer expects to need this receipt and delivery meter station. CIG, under a May 31, 1996 Facilities Sales Agreement with Young, has agreed to purchase the salvaged meter station materials for \$234,317. According to Young, the March 31, 1996 net book value of these facilities was \$591,355.

Young commenced storage service operations from the Young Storage Field on June 1, 1995. CIG operates the storage field. Two CIG pipelines (a 16-inch diameter line and a 24-inch diameter line) connect the Young Storage Field to CIG's pipeline system. These pipelines also connect CIG's Fort Morgan Storage Field facility to CIG's main terminal facility at Watkins Station. According to Young, it

constructed the subject meter station as part of the Young Storage Field connection to CIG's 16-inch line, pursuant to Young's original negotiations with PSCo and CIG. As proposed, this meter station was to be used solely for PSCo, and PSCo was to construct facilities to connect directly to the CIG 16-inch line. Young states that, as the parties continued to negotiate, a more economical means of providing transportation service to PSCo emerged, which allowed PSCo to avoid constructing facilities to connect to CIG's 16-inch line, and which did not require the use of the subject meter station facilities at the 16-inch line. Young states that its other existing meter station, at CIG's 24-inch line, has sufficient capacity to measure all of Young's volumes.

Comment date: July 9, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

5. Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company

[Docket No. CP96-567-000]

Take notice that on June 12, 1996, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle), P.O. Box 1642, Houston, Texas 77251–1642, filed in Docket No. CP96–567–000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), for permission and approval to abandon, by sale to Equity Gas Systems, Inc. (Equity) certain certificated gathering facilities located in Woods County, Oklahoma, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

It is stated that the facilities proposed to be abandoned herein, were constructed for the purpose of gathering long-term gas supplies for Panhandle's system supply obligations to sales customers as certificated by the Commission over the years. Panhandle states that since its restructuring of services under Order No. 636, that it no longer has certificated sales obligations, and thereby Panhandle indicates that it no longer requires the minor gathering facilities proposed to be abandoned in this proceeding, to maintain its system supply for sales customers. It is indicated that Equity will operate the acquired facilities in conjunction with its gathering activities and that Equity will continue to provide gathering service for the connected gas wells.

Specifically, Panhandle is proposing to abandon approximately 11.83 miles of pipeline, ranging from 2-inches to 6-inches in length together with related rights-of-way, easements, permits and property interests, as well a nine measuring stations and eleven well

connections. Panhandle states that it intends to sell the facilities to Equity for \$301,000.

Comment date: July 9, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

6. ANR Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP96-571-000]

Take notice that on June 13, 1996, ANR Pipeline Company (ANR), 500 Renaissance Center, Detroit, Michigan 48243, filed in Docket No. CP96–571–000 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for authorization to utilize a temporary workspace and any other authorization deemed necessary associated with a pipeline replacement project in Bolivar County, Mississippi, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

In replacing two of the lines within approximately a 1.0 mile segment of its Southeast Mainline, ANR propose to use work areas which may not have been included in the scope of the authorizations for the facilities when they were originally certificated and constructed.

Comment date: July 9, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

7. Koch Gateway Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP96-572-000]

Take notice that on June 14, 1996, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch Gateway), P.O. Box 1478, Houston, Texas 77251-1478, filed in Docket No. CP96-572-000 an application, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity for authorization to construct and operate approximately 16 miles of 30-inch pipeline in Plaguemines Parish, Louisiana to attach gas reserves in the deep offshore areas to its Bastian Bay Line, and for permission to roll in the costs attributable to those facilities, all as more fully set forth in the application, which is on file with the Commission and open for public inspection.

Koch Gateway states that it would construct and operate the pipeline from an interconnect with the Warren Venice Processing Plant westward to an interconnection with Koch Gateway's existing Bastian Bay Line. Koch Gateway indicates that installation of the pipeline would provide an economic means of linking the substantial deep-water reserves being developed in the Mississippi Canyon Area, and the Viosca Knoll Area to Koch Gateway's system and to the interstate

grid. Koch Gateway also states that, unless the new line is built, capacity constraints will result from the limited available capacity currently taking gas away from the Venice area.

Koch Gateway estimates a construction cost of \$20,851,117, which would be financed from funds generated internally.

Koch Gateway proposes rolled-in rate treatment for the proposed construction because it contends that the project provides system-wide benefits to existing and future shippers. In support of that contention, Koch Gateway states that the supplies to be attached by this facility will be attached to the southeast side of its system and will help alleviate system flow constraints from west to east which exists during peak periods. Koch Gateway points out that the proposed facilities will provide a bypass of an existing bottleneck on its facilities upstream of Bastian Bay on its existing 12-inch, 16-inch, and 20-inch pipelines. Koch Gateway also notes that the rate impact on existing shippers is small with no rate increase for a single service exceeding 2.9 percent and the average rate impact being 2.45 percent.

Comment date: July 9, 1996. in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

8. Northwest Pipeline Corporation

[Docket No., CP96-576-000]

Take notice that on June 17, 1996, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest), P.O. Box 58900, Salt Lake City, Utah 84158–0900, filed in Docket No. CP96-576-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211) for authorization to construct and operate a new lateral and meter station in Clark County, Washington, for deliveries to a proposed electric power generation facility, under the blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-433-000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northwest proposes to construct and operate an approximately 2.775 mile 10-inch lateral pipeline from an interconnect with its Portland Lateral, and paralleling and extending beyond the existing Vancouver Lateral in Clark County, Washington, and the new River Road Meter Station at the terminus of the new lateral in order to provide up to 48,000 dt equivalent of firm service to a planned new Clark Public Utility District No. 1 River Road Generating Project (River Road) in Clark County, Washington. It is indicated that

Washington Water Power Company (Water Power) would arrange for the natural gas supplies and transportation services necessary for operation of the River Road power generating plant pursuant to various Part 284 transportation agreements using its own capacity and capacity released by other shippers, including Inland Pacific Energy Services (Inland). It is also stated that Water Power has reached an agreement with Inland whereby Inland will arrange for Northwest to construct and necessary the delivery facilities to serve the River Road plant. Northwest states that in return, upon completion of construction of the proposed delivery facilities, Water Power has agreed to take permanent assignment of both Inland's resulting Facilities Agreement and its Rate Schedule TF-1 transportation agreement dated September 1, 1990.

Northwest states that the Facilities Agreement supersedes and terminates the September 15, 1993, Facilities Agreement between Northwest and Inland for a similar delivery facilities project to serve a Klickitat Energy Partners (KEP) cogeneration project, which has since been cancelled. It is stated that, by amendment to its F-33 agreement for 10,000 dt equivalent of natural gas per day of contract demand, Inland originally agreed to a twenty-year term extension to satisfy the economic criteria set forth in the Facilities Reimbursement portion of Northwest's tariff for the KEP project. It is stated that, pursuant to the superseding Facilities Agreement, Northwest and Inland have agreed to substitute the River Road project for the cancelled KEP project, thus applying the economic value of the contract term extension to the facilities proposed herein. Northwest alleges that the present value of additional future revenues generated by the term extension exceeds the present value of the incremental cost of service attributable to the proposed facilities, and thus Northwest will pay for the \$2.44 million facility cost without reimbursement.

Comment date: August 1, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or make any protest with reference to said filing should on or before the comment date file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and

385.214) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal **Energy Regulatory Commission by** Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this filing if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be necessary for the applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-16219 Filed 6-25-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

Notice of Procedural Change in Payment for Selected RIMS Documents

June 20, 1996.

In an effort to serve the public better and expedite requests for doucments, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission today announces a procedural change in payment for selected RIMS documents.

Beginning July 1, 1996, the Commission will begin assessing a charge of 15 cents per page for all print requests of 11 or more pages from the Commission's electronic Records and Information Management System (RIMS) III. This procedural change is consistent with 18 CFR 388.109(a)(4).

A charge will be assessed on the number of pages requested from RIMS III, not the number of pages actually selected by the user after the pages have been printed. This change is being made to improve the level of service and reduce the delays and unnecessary burden caused by requests for documents and pages that are not required after they have been printed.

Users now have the capability of viewing many documents and individual pages before they submit a print request. RIMS III allows users to view documents and print selected pages. Since 1994, images of selected documents have been available to users for viewing and printing at the FERC's Washington Headquarters. All current documents (11" x 17" and smaller) are now being scanned and are available to users at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

This does not affect the pages printed from RIMS microfilm or aperture cards (RIMS II). (Aperture cards are still being made for pages larger than 11" x 17".) Requests for RIMS pages available only on, and printed from, microfilm and/or aperture cards will be handled and priced as they have been in the past, since documents cannot be viewed prior to being requested.

Please contact the staff at the Front Desk (202) 208–1371 of the Public Reference Room for further information. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–16218 Filed 6–25–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPPTS-00189; FRL-5379-6]

Agency Information Collection Activities

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this notice announces that EPA is planning to submit the following

new Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the information collection as described below. The ICR is a new ICR entitled "Voluntary Cover Sheet for TSCA Submissions," EPA ICR No. 1780, OMB control number to be assigned. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before August 26, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Submit three copies of all written comments to: TSCA Document Receipts (7407), Room NE-G99, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, Telephone 202-260-7099. All comments should reference administrative record number AR-160. This ICR is available for public review at, and copies may be requested from, the docket address and telephone number listed above.

Comments and data may also be submitted electronically by sending electronic mail (e-mail) to: oppt.ncic@epamail.epa.gov. Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Comments and data will also be accepted on disks in WordPerfect in 5.1 file format or ASCII file format. All comments and data in electronic form must be identified by the administrative record number AR-160 and ICR number 1780. No CBI should be submitted through e-mail. Electronic comments on this document may be filed online at many Federal Depository Libraries. Additional information on electronic submissions can be found in Unit III. of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For general information contact: Susan B. Hazen, Director, Environmental Assistance Division (7408), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, Telephone: 202-554-1404, TDD: 202-554-0551, e-mail: TSCAHotline@epamail.epa.gov. For

technical information contact: Gerry Brown, Information Management Division (7407), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, Telephone: 202-