

LIST OF CASES RECEIVED BY THE OFFICE OF HEARING AND APPEALS—Continued

[Week of April 22 through April 26, 1996]

Date	Name and location of applicant	Case No.	Type of submission
Do	META, Inc., Arlington, Virginia	VWA-0006	Request for Hearing under DOE Contractor Employee Protection Program. If granted: A hearing under 10 CFR Part 708 would be held on the complaint of Eugene Greer that reprisals were taken against him by management officials of META, Inc. as a consequence of his having cooperated with an investigation by the Office of the Inspector General.
Do	Leo Longtin's Texaco Fairhaven, Vermont.	RR321-196	Request for Modification/Rescission in the Texaco Refund Proceeding. If granted: The February 4, 1992 Dismissal, Case No. RF321-11058, issued to Leo Longtin's Texaco would be rescinded and the firm would receive a refund in the Texaco refund proceeding.
Do	Rocky Flats Field Office Golden, Colorado.	VSO-0093	Request for Hearing under 10 CFR Part 710. If granted: An individual employed at the Rocky Flats Field Office would receive a hearing under 10 CFR Part 710.
April 25, 1996	Oakland Operations Office, Oakland, California.	VSO-0094	Request for Hearing under 10 CFR Part 710. If granted: An individual employed at Oakland Operations Office would receive a hearing under 10 CFR Part 710.
April 22, 1996	S. T. Wooten Corp., Wilson, North Carolina.	RR272-238	Request for Modification/Rescission in the Crude Oil Refund Proceeding. If granted: The January 30, 1996 Decision and Order, Case No. RF272-95180, issued to S. T. Wooten Corp. would be modified regarding the firm's application in the crude oil refund proceeding.

REFUND APPLICATIONS RECEIVED

[Week of April 22 to April 26, 1996]

Date received	Name of refund proceeding/name of refund applicant	Case No.
April 22, 1996 thru April 26, 1996	Crude Oil Supplemental Refunds	RK272-3493 thru RK272-3516.

[FR Doc. 96-15870 Filed 6-20-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5524-8]

Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review; National Emission Standards for Asbestos OMB No. 2060-0101 EPA No. 0111.08

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507(a)(1)(D)), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) for Petroleum Refineries described below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden and cost; where appropriate, it includes the actual data collection instrument.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before July 22, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR A COPY CALL: Sandy Farmer at EPA, (202) 260-2740, and refer to EPA ICR No. 0111.08.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: National Emission Standards for Asbestos (OMB No. 2060-0101; EPA ICR No. 0111.08). This is a request for a revision of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: Owners or operators of the affected milling, manufacturing fabricating, waste disposal, and waste conversion facilities described must make the following one-time-only reports: Notification of the date of construction or reconstruction; notification of the anticipated and actual dates of startup; notification of any physical or operational change to an existing facility which may increase the regulated pollutant emission rate. Owners or operators are also required to maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility, or any period during which the monitoring system is inoperative.

An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed

in 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The Federal Register Notice required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on 3/26/96 (FR 61, No. 59, p 13186-87).

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 0.25 hours per response for milling, manufacturing, and fabricating; 0.75 hours for demolitions and renovations; and 1.5 hours for waste disposal. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Milling, Manufacturing, and Fabricating:

Average Estimated Hours/Response: 0.25.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 430.

Average Frequency of Response: 442.

Estimated Annual Hour Burden: 47,472.

Demolition and Renovation:

Average Estimated Hours/Response: 0.75.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 6,900.

Frequency of Response: 58.

Estimated Annual Hour Burden: 298,483.

Waste Disposal:

Average estimated Hours/Response: 1.5.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 3,017.

Frequency of Response: 18.

Estimated Annual Hour Burden: 82,431.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 428,386 hours.

Estimated Total Annualized Cost Burden: \$0.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses: (Please refer to EPA ICR No. 0111.08 and OMB Control No. 2060-0101 in any correspondence.)

Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, OPPE Regulatory Information Division (2137), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460 and Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: June 14, 1996.

Joseph Retzer,
Director, Regulatory Information Division.
[FR Doc. 96-15889 Filed 6-20-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[ER-FRL-5470-7]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared June 10, 1996 through June 14, 1996 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for

copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 5, 1996 (61 FR 15251).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-UAF-K11071-AZ Rating EC2, Luke Air Force Base, Construction and Operation of 18-Hole Golf Course, In a Detention Basin to Prevent Flood Damage, Dysart Drain Improvement Project, Maricopa County, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding potential groundwater impacts and requested that additional information on this issue be provided.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-L65252-OR Hoodoo Master Plan, Plan of Operation Approval and Special-Use-Permit Issuance, Willamette National Forest, McKenzie Ranger District, Linn County, OR.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the preferred alternative as described in the EIS. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-DOE-L06004-WA Adoption—Disposal of Decommissioned, Defueled Cruiser, Ohio Class and Los Angeles Class Naval Reactor Plants, Site Selection, US Department of Energy's Hanford Site, Benton, Franklin and Grant Counties or Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, Bremerton, WA.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the preferred alternative as described in the EIS. No formal comment letter was sent to preparing agency.

ERP No. F-DOE-L09808-WA Plutonium Finishing Plant (PFP) Stabilization, To Safely Reduced Radiation Exposure to Workers and Environment, Hanford Site, Richland, Benton County, WA.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the preferred alternative as described in the EIS. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-DOE-L20002-WA Safe Interim Storage of Hanford Tank Wastes, Double Shell Tanks (DSTs) and Cross Site Transfer System (CSTS) Construction, Hanford Site, Adams, Richland, Washington, Benton and Franklin Counties, WA.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the preferred alternative as described in the EIS. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-SFW-G99006-TX Balcones Canyonlands Conservation Plan, Issuance of a Permit to Allow Incidental Take of Gold-Cheeked

Warbler, Black-capped Vireo and Six Karst Invertebrates, Travis County, TX.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the selection of the Fish and Wildlife Service preferred alternative as described in the Final EIS.

ERP No. FS-OSM-G01012-NM Fence Lake Federal Coal Project, Mining Plan, Permit Application and Federal Permit Approval or Disapproval, Updated Information concerning the Salt River Project Improvement and Power District's (SRP) Proposed Fence Lake Mine, Apache County, AZ and Catron and Cibola Counties, NM.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS has been completed and the project found to be satisfactory. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: June 18, 1996.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96-15929 Filed 6-20-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

[ER-FRL-5470-6]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability Responsible

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 OR (202) 564-7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed June 10, 1996 Through June 14, 1996 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 960271, FINAL SUPPLEMENT, COE, NC, Wilmington Harbor Channel Widening and Navigation Improvement, Updated Information, Cape Fear River, Port of Wilmington, New Hanover and Brunswick Counties, NC, Due: July 22, 1996, Contact: Hugh Heine (910) 251-4070.

EIS No. 960272, DRAFT EIS, BLM, AK, Denali National Park and Reserve, "Frontcountry" Entrance Area and Road Corridor, Development Concept Plan, AK, Due: August 19, 1996, Contact: Mike Tranel (907) 683-9552.

EIS No. 960273, DRAFT EIS, DOI, UT, Wasatch County Water Efficiency Project and Daniel Replacement Pipeline Project, Implementation, Wasatch County, UT, Due: August 13, 1996, Contact: Karen Ricks (801) 226-7126.

The U.S. Department of the Interior is a Joint Lead Agency for the above Project along with the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission (URC).

EIS No. 960274, FINAL EIS, BLM, WY, Moxa Arch Area Natural Gas Development Expansion Project,