

suggestions regarding the information collection requests listed below should be directed to Ms. Beauchesne, at the National Credit Union Administration, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314-3428; Fax No. (703) 518-6433; E-Mail Address: SUEB@NCUA.GOV within 60 days from the date of this publication in the Federal Register. Comments may also be sent to the OMB Desk Officer at the following address: Mr. Milo Sunderhauf, OMB Reports Management Branch, New Executive Office Building, Room 10202, Washington DC 20530.

National Credit Union Administration

OMB Number: 3133-0108.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Title: Report of Crime or Catastrophic Act and Bank Secrecy Act Compliance.

Description: Section 748.2 of NCUA's regulations, 12 C.F.R. § 748.2, directs credit unions to adopt a written program and to maintain procedures that ensure the credit union's continued compliance with the Bank Secrecy Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 5311-5330) and the Department of Treasury's currency reporting regulations (31 C.F.R. Part 103).

Respondents: Federally insured credit unions.

Estimated Number of Respondents/Recordkeepers: 11,732.

Estimated Burden Hours Per Response: 3.02 hours.

Frequency of Response: Annually.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 35,436 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: None.

OMB Number: 3133-0068.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Title: Nondiscrimination Requirements.

Description: Section 701.31 of NCUA's regulations, 12 C.F.R. § 701.31, requires federal credit unions (FCU) to maintain a copy of the appraisal used to support a member's real estate-related loan application and to make the appraisal available to the member upon request for 25 months. The regulation also directs FCUs that use the collateral's location to evaluate real estate loan applications to disclose that fact and to justify the practice.

Respondents: Federal credit unions.

Estimated Number of Respondents/Recordkeepers: 4,286.

Estimated Burden Hours Per Response: 1 hour.

Frequency of Response: On Occasion.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 4,286 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: None.

OMB Number: 3133-0121.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Title: Change in Official or Senior Executive Officer in Credit Unions that are Newly Chartered or are in Troubled Condition.

Description: Section 701.14 of NCUA's regulations, 12 C.F.R. § 701.14, directs newly chartered or troubled credit unions (credit unions with CAMEL Ratings of 4 or 5, and credit unions that have signed Letter of Understanding Agreements) to submit a notice to the NCUA before making any changes to the credit union's board of directors, committee members or senior executive officers. NCUA may disapprove the proposed management change within 30 days of receiving the notice.

Respondents: Federally insured credit unions.

Estimated Number of Respondents/Recordkeepers: 605.

Estimated Burden Hours per Response: 2.

Frequency of Response: On Occasion.

Estimated Total Reporting Burden: 1,210 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: None.

By the National Credit Union Administration Board on June 10, 1996.

Becky Baker,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 96-15347 Filed 6-17-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7535-01-P

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

Cooperative Agreement for an Artist is Residency in Correctional Institutions Project

AGENCY: National Endowment for the Arts, National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities.

ACTION: Notification of availability.

SUMMARY: The National Endowment for the Arts requests proposals leading to the award of a Cooperative Agreement for the setting up, monitoring, and evaluating of one-year arts residencies in three Federal correctional institutions. Available funding for the Cooperative Agreement is limited to \$12,000 which will cover administrative costs. The artists will be compensated directly by the correctional institutions for the residency. Eligibility to apply for the Cooperative Agreement is limited to nonprofit organizations, including educational institutions, and units of state and local government. Those interested in receiving the Solicitation

should reference Program Solicitation PS 96-07 in their written request and include two (2) self-addressed labels. Verbal requests for the Solicitation will not be honored.

DATES: Program Solicitation PS 96-07 is scheduled for release approximately July 8, 1996 with proposals due on August 8, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Requests for the Solicitation should be addressed to National Endowment for the Arts, Grants & Contracts Office, Room 618, 1100 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20506.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William I. Hummel, Grants and Contracts Office, National Endowment for the Arts, 1100 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20506 (202/682-5482).

William I. Hummel,

Coordinator, Cooperative Agreements and Contracts.

[FR Doc. 96-15345 Filed 6-17-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7537-01-M

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

Sunshine Act Meeting

Agenda

TIME AND DATES: 9:30 a.m., Tuesday, June 25, 1996.

PLACE: The Board Room, 5th Floor, 490 L'Enfant Plaza, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20594.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

6712 Aviation Brief of Incident: 1995 File No. 5025, Corsicana, Texas, November 17, 1995

6713 Aviation Brief of Accident: 1995 File No. 873, Ramona, California, June 21, 1995

6714 Aviation Brief of Accident: 1995 File No. 1521, Beaver Dam, Arizona, September 2, 1995.

6714A Recommendations to FAA: Inspections of Exhaust Systems of Cessna Twin-Engine Turbocharged Airplanes.

NEWS MEDIA CONTACT: Telephone: (202) 382-0660.

FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT: Bea Hardesty, (202) 382-6525.

Dated: June 14, 1996.

Bea Hardesty,

Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-15657 Filed 6-14-96; 3:56 pm]

BILLING CODE 7533-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-133, 50-275, AND 50-323]

Diablo Canyon Power Plant, Units 1 and 2 and Humboldt Bay Power Plant Unit 3 Pacific Gas and Electric Company; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering approval under 10 CFR 50.80(a) of the transfer of control of the licenses that would be effected by the corporate restructuring of Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the licensee), holder of Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR-80, DPR-82, and DPR-7, issued for operation of the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant (DCPP), Units 1 and 2, located in San Luis Obispo County, California, and the Humboldt Bay Power Plant (HBPP), Unit 3, located in Humboldt County, California.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would consent to the transfer of control of the licenses that would result from the restructuring of Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E) by establishment of a holding company under the temporary name "PG&E Parent Co., Inc." PG&E would become a wholly owned subsidiary and would continue to be the licensee of Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2, and Humboldt Bay Power Plant Unit 3. The proposed action is in accordance with PG&E's application dated November 1, 1995.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is required to enable PG&E to restructure as described above. PG&E has submitted that restructuring will enable it to better prepare to implement changes resulting from electric industry restructuring, and will enhance the insulation of PG&E's California utility business, including the DCPP and HBPP units, from any business risks associated with non-utility enterprises.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed corporate restructuring and concludes that there will be no physical or operational changes to Diablo Canyon and Humboldt Bay. The corporate restructuring will not affect the qualifications or organizational affiliation of the personnel who operate

the facilities, as PG&E will continue to be responsible for the operation of the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2 and Humboldt Bay Power Plant Unit 3.

The Commission has evaluated the environmental impact of the proposed action and has determined that the probability or consequences of accidents would not be increased by the restructuring, and that post-accident radiological releases would not be greater than previously determined. Further, the Commission has determined that the corporate restructuring would not affect routine radiological plant effluents and would not increase occupational radiological exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the restructuring would not affect nonradiological plant effluents and would have no other environmental impact. Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternative to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission concluded that there are no significant environmental effects that would result from the proposed action, any alternative with equal or greater environmental impacts need not be evaluated.

The principal alternative would be to deny the requested action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are identical.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statements for the Diablo Canyon Power Plant, dated May 1973, and the Humboldt Bay Power Plant, dated April 1987.

Agencies and Persons Contacted

In accordance with its stated policy, on May 17, 1996, the staff consulted with the California State official, Mr. Steve Hsu of the Radiologic Health Branch of the State Department of Health Services, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated November 1, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document rooms located at the Humboldt County Library, 1313 3rd Street, Eureka, California 95501, and at the California Polytechnic State University, Robert E. Kennedy Library, Government Documents and Maps Department, San Luis Obispo, California 93407.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of June 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Steven D. Bloom,

Project Manager, Project Directorate IV-2, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 96-15399 Filed 6-17-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

Nuclear Safety Research Review Committee

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of change of meeting.

As previously announced, the Nuclear Safety Research Review Committee (NSRRC) will hold its next meeting on June 27-28, 1996. The purpose of the present notice is to provide a revised schedule, reflecting a change in meeting location and a change in the list of meeting topics. The location of the meeting will now be in Room T-10A1, Two White Flint North (TWFN) Building, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD. The meeting will still be held from 9am to 5pm on both days.

The revised list of topics is as follows:

- (1) To discuss the March 27, 1996 NSRRC briefing with the Commission;
- (2) To receive a presentation by the Nuclear Energy Institute on their views on nuclear safety research needs; and
- (3) To review and discuss the reports and recommendations of the Subcommittees on Research in Support of Risk-Based Regulation (PRA), including discussions on risk informed performance-based regulation; Instrumentation and Control (I&C) and