only in the implementation of certain of its provisions.

Troy H. Cribb,

Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

June 6, 1996.

Commissioner of Customs,

Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229.

Dear Commissioner: This directive amends, but does not cancel, the directive issued to you on January 24, 1996, by the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. That directive concerns imports of certain cotton, wool, man-made fiber, silk blend and other vegetable fiber textiles and textile products, produced or manufactured in Taiwan and exported during the twelve-month period which began on January 1, 1996 and extends through December 31, 1996.

Effective on June 10, 1996, you are directed to increase the limits for the following categories, as provided for under the current bilateral textile agreement concerning textile products from Taiwan:

Category	Adjusted twelve-month limit 1
Sublevels in Group I	
225/317/326	38,201,702 square meters.
611	3,102,180 square me- ters.
613/614/615/617	19,239,414 square meters.
619/620	14,141,268 square meters.
Within Group I Sub- group	
604Sublevels in Group II	232,005 kilograms.
336	115,756 dozen.
338/339	805,859 dozen.
347/348	1,294,577 dozen of which not more than 1,128,827 dozen shall be in Cat- egories 347–W/348– W <sup>2</sup> .
435	25,852 dozen.
443	43,485 numbers.
444	61,930 numbers.
445/446 647/648	140,781 dozen. 5,571,721 dozen of which not more than 5,248,544 dozen shall be in Cat- egories 647–W/648– W <sup>3</sup> .
Within Group II Sub-	
group	04.000 1
447/448	21,223 dozen.
636	398,293 dozen.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The limits have not been adjusted to account for any imports exported after December 31, 1995.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that these actions fall within the foreign affairs exception to the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Troy H. Cribb,

Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

[FR Doc.96–14949 Filed 6–12–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DR–F

# CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[CPSC Docket No. 96-C0007]

In the Matter of National Media Corporation, a Corporation; Provisional Acceptance of a Settlement Agreement and Order

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Provisional acceptance of a settlement agreement under the Consumer Product Safety Act.

SUMMARY: It is the policy of the Commission to publish settlements which it provisionally accepts under the Consumer Product Safety Act in the Federal Register in accordance with the terms of 16 CFR 1118.20(f). Published below is a provisionally-accepted Settlement Agreement with National Media Corporation, a corporation.

DATES: Any interested person may ask the Commission not to accept this agreement or otherwise comment on its contents by filing a written request with the Office of the Secretary by June 28, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Persons wishing to comment on this Settlement Agreement should send written comments to the Comment 96–C0007, Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William J. Moore, Trial Attorney, Office of Compliance and Enforcement, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207; telephone (301) 504–0626.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The text of the Agreement and Order appears below.

Dated: June 7, 1996. Sadye E. Dunn,

Secretary.

In the Matter of National Media Corporation, a corporation; CPSC Docket No. 96–C0007.

Settlement Agreement and Order

1. National Media Corporation (hereinafter, "National Media" or "Respondent"), a corporation, enters into this Settlement Agreement and Order (hereinafter, "Agreement") with the staff of the Consumer Product Safety Commission pursuant to the procedures set forth in 16 CFR § 1118.20 of the Commission's Procedures for Investigations, Inspections, and Inquiries under the Consumer Product Safety Act, 15 U.S.C. 2051, et seq. ("CPSA").

#### I. The Parties

- 2. The "staff" is the staff of the Consumer Product Safety Commission (hereinafter, "Commission" or "CPSC"), an independent regulatory commission of the United States established pursuant to section 4 of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2053.
- 3. National Media is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal corporate offices located at 1700 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103.

## II. Allegations of the Staff

- 4. Between 1991 and 1993, National Media distributed approximately 77,000 units of the Juice Tiger Juicer, Models No. 204–SP and JE–1000 (hereinafter, "Juice Tiger"). National Media is, therefore, a "distributor" and a "private labeler" as those terms are defined in sections 3(a)(5) and (7)(A) of the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA), 15 U.S.C. 2052(a)(5) and (7)(A).
- 5. The Juice Tiger is a portable household appliance that pulps fruits and vegetables and turns them into juice. The Juice Tiger is a "consumer product" which was "distributed in commerce" as those terms are defined

in sections 3(a) (1) and (11) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2052(a) (1) and (11).

6. The metal grater/filter basket of the Juice Tiger can break apart, dislodging or breaking the protective plastic upper cover and allowing parts of the basket and/or cover to be propelled out of the unit.

In 1992 and 1993, National Media received complaints from consumers describing Juice Tiger failure in the manner explained above. Some of the reported incidents have resulted in bruises, lacerations, and eye injury.

7. National Media obtained information which reasonably supported the conclusion that its Juice Tiger contained defects which could create a substantial product hazard but failed to report that information to the Commission as required by section 15(b) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2064(b).

## III. Response of National Media

8. National Media denies the allegations of the staff that the Juice Tiger contains any defect which could create a substantial product hazard pursuant to section 15(a) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2064(a), and further denies that it violated the reporting requirements of section 15(b) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2064(b).

### IV. Agreement of the Parties

- 9. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter under the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA), 15 U.S.C. 2051 *et seq.*
- 10. National Media, knowingly, voluntarily and completely waives any rights it may have (1) to an administrative or judicial hearing with respect to the staff allegations cited herein, (2) to judicial review or other challenge or contest of the validity of the Commission's Order, (3) to a determination by the Commission as to whether a violation of section 15(b) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2064(b), has occurred, and (4) to a statement of findings of fact and conclusion of law with regard to the staff allegations.
- 11. Upon provisional acceptance of this Settlement Agreement and Order by the Commission, this Settlement Agreement and Order shall be placed on the public record and shall be published in the Federal Register in accordance with 16 C.F.R. 1118.20(f).
- 12. The Settlement Agreement and Order becomes effective upon final acceptance by the Commission and its service upon National Media.
- 13. Upon final acceptance of this Settlement Agreement by the Commission, the Commission will issue a press release to advise the public of

the civil penalty Settlement Agreement and Order.

- 14. National Media agrees to entry of the attached Order, which is incorporated herein by reference, and to be bound by its terms.
- 15. This Settlement Agreement is binding upon National Media and the assigns or successors of National Media.
- 16. Agreements, understandings, representations, or interpretations made outside this Settlement Agreement and Order may not be used to vary or to contradict its terms.

National Media Corporation.

Dated: March 26, 1996.

Marshall A. Fleisher,

Vice President, National Media Corporation. The Consumer Product Safety Commission. David Schmeltzer,

Assistant Executive Director, Office of Compliance.

Dated: June 6, 1996.

Eric L. Stone,

Acting Director, Division of Administrative Litigation, Office of Compliance.

William J. Moore,

Trial Attorney, Division of Administrative Litigation, Office of Compliance.

In the Matter of National Media Corporation, a corporation; CPSC Docket No. 96–C0007.

### Order

Upon consideration of the Settlement Agreement entered into between Respondent, National Media Corporation, a corporation, and the staff of the Consumer Product Safety Commission; and the Commission having jurisdiction over the subject matter and National Media Corporation; and it appearing that the Settlement Agreement and Order is in the public interest, it is

Ordered, that the Settlement Agreement be and hereby is accepted; and it is further ordered, that upon final acceptance of the Settlement Agreement and Order, National Media Corporation shall pay the Commission a civil penalty in the amount of one hundred fifty thousand and 00/100 dollars (\$150,000.00) within ten (10) days after service of this Final Order upon the Respondent, National Media Corporation.

Provisionally accepted and Provisional Order issued on 7th day of June 1996.

By Order of the Commission.

Sadye E. Dunn,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 96–14942 Filed 6–12–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6335–01–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

Notice and Request for Comments Regarding a Proposed Extension of an Approved Information Collection Requirement

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the DoD announces the proposed extension of a public information collection requirement and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. This information collection requirement is currently approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for use through December 31, 1996. DoD proposes that OMB extend its approval for use through December 31, 1999.

**DATES:** Consideration will be given to all comments received by August 12, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection requirement should be sent to: Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, Attn: Mr. Michael Pelkey, PDUSD(A&T)DP(DAR), IMD 3D139, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–3062. Telefax (703) 602–0350. Please cite OMB Control Number 0704–0353 in all correspondence related to this issue.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Michael Pelkey, at (703) 602–0131. A copy of this information collection requirement is available electronically via the Internet at: http://www.dtic.mil/dfars/

Paper copies may be obtained from Mr. Michael Pelkey, PDUSD(A&T)DP(DAR), IMD 3D139, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–3062

Title, Associated Form, and OMB Number: Responsible Prospective Contractors, Disclosure of Ownership or Control by a Foreign Government, Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) Subpart 209.1 and the provision at 252.209–7002; no form is used for this information collection; OMB Number 0704–0353.