Blair County

Noble, J. L. School, 209 12th Ave., Juniata, Altoona, 96000712

Cambria County

Patton Historic District, Roughly bounded by 5th, Beech, 6th, Palmer Aves. and Terra Cotta St., Patton, 96000714

Franklin County

Oller, Joseph J., House, 138 W. Main St., Waynesboro, 96000707

Lancaster County

Nissly Swiss Chocolate Company, 951 Wood St., Mount Joy, 96000709

Montgomery County

Kastner, Jacob, Loghouse, 416 Norristown Rd., Lower Gwynedd Township, Spring House, 96000708

Rittenhouse, David, Junior High School, 1705 Locust St., Norristown, 96000717

Philadelphia County

The Elverson Building, 400–440 N. Broad St., Philadelphia, 96000716

Sullivan County

Eagles Mere Historic District, Roughly bounded by PA 42, Lakewood, LaPorte, and Forest Aves., Shrewsbury Township, Eagles Mere, 96000718

Susquehanna County

Susquehanna County Courthouse Complex, Town Green, jct. of Public Ave. and Maple St., Montrose, 96000706

Warren County

Woman's Club of Warren, 310 Market St., Warren, 96000715

Washington County

Munce, Thomas, House, Rt. 136, 3 mi. E of Washington, S. Strabane Township, Washington vicinity, 96000710

TENNESSEE

Cumberland County

Wilson, Greenberry, House (Historic Family Farms in Middle Tennessee MPS) E. G. Wilson Rd., 7 mi. SE of Crossville, Burke vicinity, 96000719

Rhea County

Broyles—Darwin House, 108 Idaho, Dayton, 96000720

UTAH

Davis County

Stewart, LeConte, House, 172 W. 100 South, Kaysville, 96000721

WASHINGTON

Klickitat County

Rowland Basin Site, Address Restricted, Lyle vicinity, 96000724

WISCONSIN

Calumet County

Timm, Herman C., House, 1600 Main St., New Holstein, 96000727 **Kewaunee County**

Kewaunee County Sheriff's House and Jail, Court House Sq., jct. of Dodge and Vliet Sts., Kewaunee, 96000728

Outagamie County

Schuetter, Henry, House, 330 W. 6th St., Appleton, 96000725

Richland County

Bowen, Julia B. and Fred P., House, 220 E. Union St., Richland Center, 96000729 Cunningham Lane Bridge, Hansberry Lane, near Fancy Cr., Rockbridge, 96000731

Waukesha County

Castleman, Dr. Alfred L., House, 975 S. Waterville Rd., Summit, 96000730 Moreland Boulevard Pump House and Reservoir, 413 Moreland Blvd., Waukesha, 96000726

Waupaca County

Waupaca Free Public Library (Public Library Facilities in Wisconsin MPS) 321 S. Main St., Waupaca, 96000732

[FR Doc. 96–14808 Filed 6–11–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

International Criminal Investigative Training Assistance Program; Notice of Information Collection Under Review

Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval is being sought for the information collection listed below. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register and allowed 60 days for public comments.

The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comments from the data listed at the top of this page in the Federal Register. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 Code of Federal Regulation, Part 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC, 20530. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to 202-395-7285. Comments may also be submitted to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Justice Management Division, Information Management and Security Staff, Attention: Department Clearance Officer, Suite 850, 1001 G Street, NW., Washington, DC., 20530. Additionally, comments may be submitted to DOJ via facsimile to 202-514-1534. Written

comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies should address one or more of the following points:

- (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency/component, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies/components estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected: and
- (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

The proposed collection is listed below:

- (1) Type of information collection. New Collection.
- (2) The title of the form/collection. Organizational Study, Evaluation of the ICITAP Qualification Statement.
- (3) The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection. Form: None. International Criminal Investigative Training Assistance Program, Criminal Division, United States Department of Justice.
- (4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract. Primary: Consists of individuals associated with the law enforcement community. Other: None.

The information collection form will be used in a dual capacity as a consultant application as well as a device for data entry.

- (5) An estimate of the total annual number of respondents and the amounts of time estimated for an average respondent respond. Six hundred responses at one hour or sixty minutes per response.
- (6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection. Six hundred annual burden hours.

Public comment on this proposed information collection is strongly encouraged.

Dated: June 7, 1996.

Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 96–14873 Filed 6–11–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–20–M

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Recovery Liability Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed partial consent decree in United States v. The Glidden Company, et al., Civil Action No. 5:95 CV 1009, was lodged on May 31, 1996 with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. This proposed consent decree would resolve the United States' claims against The Glidden Company, one of two defendants in this case, for unreimbursed past costs incurred at the Bohaty Drum Site in Medina County, Ohio, pursuant Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9607, in return for a payment of \$60,000.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States* v. *The Glidden Company, et al.*, Civil Action No. 5:95 CV 1009, and the Department of Justice Reference No. 90–11–2–1108.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Northern District of Ohio, 1800 Bank One Center, 600 Superior Avenue, East, Cleveland, Ohio, 44114-2600; the Region 5 Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, 60604-3590; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, 202-624–0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy, please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$4.25 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Bruce S. Gelber,

Deputy Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 96–14789 Filed 6–11–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–01–M

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Water Act

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a consent decree in *United States of America* v. *Rueth Builders, Inc.*, Civ. No. 2:96–CV–66 (N.D. Ind.), was lodged with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana on March 8, 1996. The proposed decree concerns alleged violations of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1311, as a result of the discharge of dredged and fill materials onto approximately 0.40 acres of wetlands by Rueth Buildings, Inc., in Dyer, Lake County, Indiana.

The Consent Decree provides for the payment of a \$10,000.00 civil penalty to the United States and permanently enjoins Rueth Builders, Inc. from taking any actions, or causing others to take any actions, which result in the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States, as defined by the Clean Water Act and regulations promulgated thereunder, except as in compliance with an individual permit issued pursuant to 33 U.S.C. 1344(a), or with any applicable general permit issued by the United States Army Corps of Engineers.

The Department of Justice will receive written comments relating to the consent decree for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this notice. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, United States Department of Justice, Attention: Steven E. Rusak, Trial Attorney, Environmental Defense Section, P.O. Box 23986, Washington, D.C. 20026–3986, and should refer to United States of America v. Rueth Builders, Inc., DJ Reference No. 90–5–1–6–556.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the Offices of the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Indiana, 507 State Street, Fourth Floor, Hammond, Indiana 46320; the office of Greg Carlson, Wetlands Enforcement Officer, Wetlands Division, Wetlands and Watershed Section, Wetlands Regulatory Unit, Region V of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, 77 W. Jackson Boulevard, 16th Floor, Chicago, Illinois, 60604, (312) 886-0124, and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$2.75

for a copy of the consent decree with attachments.

Letitia J. Grishaw.

Chief, Environmental Defense Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division, United States Department of Justice. [FR Doc. 96–14796 Filed 6–11–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Antitrust Division

United States v. A&L Mayer Associates, Inc., et al. No. 96–CV–40– 44 (E.D. Pa., Filed May 30, 1996); Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16 (b)–(h), that a proposed Final Consent Judgment, Stipulation and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania in the above-captioned case.

On May 30, 1996, the United States filed a civil antitrust Complaint to prevent and restrain A&L Mayer Associates, Inc., A&L Mayer, Inc. and Fibras Saltillo, S.A. de C.V., from conspiring to fix prices and allocate the sales volume of tampico fiber imported and sold in the United States in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. 1). Tampico fiber is a vegetable fiber grown in Mexico and used as a filler in industrial and consumer brushes.

The complaint alleges that the defendants agreed with unnamed coconspirators to: (1) Fix the prices of tampico fiber imported into the United States; (2) fix the resale prices charged by their United States distributors; and (3) allocate tampico fiber sales between their distributors.

The proposed Final Judgment would prohibit the defendants from entering into any agreement or understanding with any other processor of tampico fiber or any of such processor's distributors for:

- (1) Raising, fixing, or maintaining the price or other terms or conditions for the sale or supply of tampico fiber;
- (2) Allocating sales volume, geographic markets or customers for tampico fiber;
- (3) Taking concerted action to discourage or eliminate new entrants into the tampico fiber market; and
- (4) Taking concerted action to restrict or eliminate the supply of tampico fiber to any customer.

The proposed Final Judgment would also prohibit the defendants from adhering to or adopting any resale