size containers and/or cartons that are identical, except for physical dimensions, need not be submitted. One copy of each master label sketch will be returned with applicable comments, and one copy will be held on file by APHIS for one year after processing, until replaced by a finished master label that is submitted according to § 112.5(d)(1)(iii): *Provided*, That master label sketches submitted in support of an application for license or permit shall be held as long as the application

(iii) For finished labels, submit three copies of each finished final container label, carton label, and enclosure: *Provided*, That when an enclosure is to be used with more than one product, one extra copy shall be submitted for each additional product. Two copies of each finished label will be retained by APHIS. One copy will be stamped and returned to the licensee. Labels to which exceptions are taken shall be marked as sketches and handled under § 112.5(d)(1)(i).

is considered active.

(iv) For finished master labels, submit for each product three copies each of the enclosure and the labels for the smallest size final container and carton. Labels for larger sizes of containers or cartons of the same product that are identical, except for physical dimensions, need not be submitted. Such labels become eligible for use, concurrent with the approval of the appropriate finished master label: Provided, That the marketing of larger sizes of final containers is approved in the filed Outline of Production, and the appropriate larger sizes of containers or cartons are identified on the label mounting sheet. When a master label enclosure is to be used with more than one product, one extra copy for each additional product shall be submitted. Two copies of each finished master label will be retained by APHIS. One copy will be stamped and returned to the licensee. Master labels to which exceptions are taken will be marked as sketches and handled under § 112.5(d)(1)(ii).

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (2) \* \* \*

(iii)(A) When two final containers are packaged together in a combination package, the labels for each shall be mounted on the same sheet of paper and shall be treated as one label. For diagnostic test kits, the labels for use on the individual reagent containers to be included in the kit shall be mounted together on a single sheet of paper, if possible; if necessary, a second sheet of paper may be used. The carton label and

enclosure shall be mounted on separate individual sheets.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* (3) \* \* \*

- (ii)(A) Designation of the specimen as a label or master label: sketch, final container label, carton label, or enclosure.
- (B) If two final container labels or multiple parts are on one sheet, each shall be named, and the label or part being revised shall be designated.
- (iii) Size of package (dose, ml., cc., or units) for which the labels or enclosures are to be used.
- (4) To appear on the bottom of each page: The reason for and information relevant to the submission shall be stated in the lower left hand corner as:
- (i) Master label dose sizes approved for code
- (ii) Replacement for label, master label, and/or sketch No.
- (iii) Reference to label or master label No.
  - (iv) Addition to label No.
  - (v) License Application Pending

(vi) Foreign Language copy of Label No. \_\_\_\_\_\_.

(g) At the time of an inspection, or when requested by APHIS, licensees or permittees shall make all labels and master labels, including labels approved for use but exempted from filing under the master label system, available for review by authorized inspectors. Such labels shall be identical to the approved label or master label except for physical dimensions, reference to recoverable volume or doses and/or certain minor differences permitted in accordance with § 112.5(c).

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 0579–0013)

5. In § 112.7, paragraphs (c)(2) and (d)(6) are revised to read as follows:

# § 112.7 Special additional requirements.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \*

(2) Subsequent revaccination as

determined from the results of duration of immunity studies conducted as prescribed in § 113.209, paragraph (b) or (c), or both.

\* \* \* \* \* (d) \* \* \*

(6) Subsequent revaccination as determined from the results of duration of immunity studies conducted as prescribed in § 113.312, paragraph (b) or (c), or both.

\* \* \* \*

#### §112.7 [Amended]

6. Section 112.7 is amended by adding at the end of the section the following: "(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 0579–0013)."

Done in Washington, DC, this 5th day of June 1996.

Terry L. Medley,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 96–14772 Filed 6–10–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–34–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

# 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 96-NM-112-AD; Amendment 39-9656; AD 96-12-13]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Dornier Model 328 Series Airplanes Equipped with Honeywell GP-300 Guidance and Display Controller

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Dornier Model 328 series airplanes. This action requires modification of certain Honeywell GP-300 guidance and display controllers. This amendment is prompted by reports of smoke and fumes, due to a defective light bulb, emitting from the Honeywell GP-300 guidance and display controller; and a report of failure of the autopilot to disconnect manually. The actions specified in this AD are intended to prevent a defective light bulb from causing a short circuit that emits smoke and fumes into the cockpit; or causing damage to the circuit cards and various components, which may lock the autopilot into the engaged mode. Locking of the autopilot into the engaged mode could lead to the inability of the pilot to disconnect the autopilot, which could result in reduced controllability of the airplane.

DATES: Effective June 26, 1996.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of June 26, 1996.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before August 12, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 96–NM–112–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056.

The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Honeywell, Inc., Attn: Customer Support Materiel, P.O. Box 21111, Phoenix, Arizona 85036. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, Transport Airplane Directorate, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: J. Kirk Baker, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANM–130L, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712; telephone (310) 627–5345; fax (310) 627–5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA has received several reports of smoke and fumes emitting from the Honeywell GP-300 guidance and display controller installed on Dornier Model 328 series airplanes. In addition, the FAA received a report that the autopilot would not disconnect manually; however, minutes later the "FD AP Disp Ctrl" circuit breaker opened on its own, and subsequently disconnected the autopilot. Investigation revealed that the cause of these failures has been attributed to a defective light bulb in the display controller lighting circuit inside the GP-300 guidance and display controller. A defective light bulb can cause a short circuit that emits smoke and fumes into the cockpit. This condition, if not corrected, could impair the flightcrew's ability to operate effectively in the cockpit.

A defective light bulb also can cause damage to the circuit cards and various components, which may lock the autopilot into the engaged mode; this situation could lead to the inability of the pilot to disconnect the autopilot. This condition could adversely affect the controllability of the airplane.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

The FAA has reviewed and approved Honeywell Service Bulletin 7015327– 22–2, dated March 4, 1996, which describes procedures for modification of Honeywell GP–300 guidance and display controllers having part number (P/N) 7015327–901 or -902. The modification involves installing a protection device on each of the circuit card assemblies (CCA) that will limit the short circuit current of the lighting circuits.

# U.S. Type Certification of the Airplane

This airplane model is manufactured in Germany and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement.

Explanation of Requirements of Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, this AD is being issued to prevent a defective light bulb from causing a short circuit that emits smoke and fumes into the cockpit; or causing damage to the circuit cards and various components, which may lock the autopilot into the engaged mode. Locking of the autopilot into the engaged mode could lead to the inability of the pilot to disconnect the autopilot, and adversely affect the controllability of the airplane. This AD requires modification of certain Honeywell GP-300 guidance and display controllers. The actions are required to be accomplished in accordance with the service bulletin described previously.

Explanation of the Applicability of the Rule

When an unsafe condition results from the installation of an appliance or other item that is installed in only one particular make and model of aircraft, the FAA's general policy is to issue an AD so that it is applicable to the aircraft, rather than the item. The reason for this is simple: Making the AD applicable to the airplane model on which the item is installed ensures that operators of those airplanes will be notified directly of the unsafe condition and the action required to correct it. While it is assumed that an operator will know the models of airplanes that it operates, there is a potential that the operator will not know or be aware of specific items that are installed on its airplanes. Therefore, calling out the airplane model as the subject of the AD prevents 'unknowing non-compliance" on the part of the operator. (The FAA recognizes that there are situations when an unsafe condition exists in an item that is installed in many different aircraft. In those cases, the FAA

considers it impractical to issue AD's against each aircraft; in fact, many times, the exact models and numbers of aircraft on which the item is installed may not be known. Therefore, in those situations, the AD is issued so that it is applicable to the item; furthermore, those AD's usually indicate that the item is known to be installed on, but not limited to, various aircraft models.)

# Determination of Rule's Effective Date

Since a situation exists that requires the immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and opportunity for prior public comment hereon are impracticable, and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

# Comments Invited

Although this action is in the form of a final rule that involves requirements affecting flight safety and, thus, was not preceded by notice and an opportunity for public comment, comments are invited on this rule. Interested persons are invited to comment on this rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified under the caption ADDRESSES. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered, and this rule may be amended in light of the comments received. Factual information that supports the commenter's ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of the AD action and determining whether additional rulemaking action would be needed.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this AD will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this rule must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 96–NM–112–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

# Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

The FAA has determined that this regulation is an emergency regulation that must be issued immediately to correct an unsafe condition in aircraft, and that it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866. It has been determined further that this action involves an emergency regulation under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979). If it is determined that this emergency regulation otherwise would be significant under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures, a final regulatory evaluation will be prepared and placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it, if filed, may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

# Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

96–12–13 Dornier: Amendment 39–9656. Docket 96–NM–112–AD.

Applicability: Model 328 series airplanes, equipped with Honeywell GP–300 guidance and display controller having part number (P/N) 7015327–901 or –902; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in

the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

*Compliance:* Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent a defective light bulb from causing a short circuit that emits smoke and fumes into the cockpit, or causing damage to the circuit cards and various components, which may lock the autopilot into the engaged mode, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 60 days after the effective date of this AD, modify the Honeywell GP–300 guidance and display controller, having P/N 7015327–901 or –902, in accordance with Honeywell Service Bulletin 7015327–22–2, dated March 4, 1996.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(d) The modification shall be done in accordance with Honeywell Service Bulletin 7015327-22-2, dated March 4, 1996, This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Honeywell, Inc., Attn: Customer Support Materiel, P.O. Box 21111, Phoenix, Arizona 85036. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, Transport Airplane Directorate, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(e) This amendment becomes effective on June 26, 1996.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 31,

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 96–14224 Filed 6–10–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

# 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 92-NM-71-AD; Amendment 39-9657; AD 96-12-14]

RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Fokker Model F28 Mark 0100 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Fokker Model F28 Mark 0100 series airplanes, that requires reinforcing the lower right-hand wing skin at the fueling adapter. This amendment is prompted by results of tests, which revealed that fatigue cracks can develop in the lower right-hand wing skin at the attachment bolt holes of the fueling adapter. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent reduced structural capability of the wing and fuel leakage.

DATES: Effective July 16, 1996.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of July 16, 1996.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Fokker Aircraft USA, Inc., 1199 North Fairfax Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ruth E. Harder, Aerospace Engineer, Standardization Branch, ANM–113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (206) 227–1721; fax (206) 227–1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Fokker Model F28 Mark 0100 series airplanes was published as a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking in the Federal Register on April 2, 1996 (61 FR 14515). That action proposed to require reinforcing the lower right-hand wing skin at the fueling adapter.

#### Discussion of Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the