

**NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION**

[Notice 96-055]

**NASA Advisory Council, Life and Microgravity Sciences and Applications Advisory Committee, Task Force on Countermeasures; Meeting****AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92-463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces a meeting of the NASA Advisory Council, Life and Microgravity Sciences and Applications Advisory Committee, Task Force on Countermeasures.

**DATES:** June 27, 1996, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.; and June 28, 1996, 8:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** Universities Space Research Association, 3600 Bay Area Blvd., Houston, TX, 77058.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Dr. Frank Sulzman, Code UL, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546, 202/358-0220.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting will be open to the public up to the seating capacity of the room. The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- Status and review of Report Outline
- Reports and presentations
- Sub-group status reports
- Discussion
- Tag up
- Sub-group break-out sessions
- Sub-group reports
- Sub-group break-out sessions

It is imperative that the meeting be held on these dates to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants. Visitors will be requested to sign a visitor's register.

Dated: May 30, 1996.

Leslie M. Nolan,  
Advisory Committee Management Officer,  
National Aeronautics and Space  
Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-14039 Filed 6-4-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

[Notice (90-056)]

**NASA Advisory Council, Life and Microgravity Sciences and Applications Advisory Committee, NASA-NIH Advisory Subcommittee on Behavioral and Biomedical Research; Meeting****AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92-463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces a meeting of the NASA Advisory Council, Life and Microgravity Sciences and Applications Advisory Committee, NASA-NIH Advisory Subcommittee on Behavioral and Biomedical Research.

**DATES:** June 20, 1996, 12:30 p.m. to 5:30 p.m.; and June 21, 1996, 8:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** Cocoa Beach Hilton, 1550 North Atlantic Avenue, Cocoa Beach, Florida 32930.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Arnauld Nicogossian, Code U, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546, 202/358-0215.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting will be closed to the public on Thursday, June 20, 1996, from 5:00 p.m. to 5:30 p.m. in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(6), to allow for discussion on qualifications of individuals being considered for membership to the Committee. The remainder of the meeting will be open to the public up to the seating capacity of the room. The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- Review of the Office of Life and Microgravity Sciences and Applications Status
- Status of NASA-NIH Activities
- Global Health and Remote Sensing
- Behavioral Studies
- International Space Station
- Science Institutes
- Pharmacology
- Committee Discussion Regarding Future Activities

It is imperative that the meeting be held on these dates to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants. Visitors will be requested to sign a visitor's register.

Dated: May 29, 1996.

Leslie M. Nolan,  
Advisory Committee Management Officer,  
National Aeronautics and Space  
Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-14040 Filed 6-4-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

**NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION****Records Schedules; Availability and Request for Comments****AGENCY:** National Archives and Records Administration, Office of Records Administration.**ACTION:** Notice of availability of proposed records schedules; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) publishes notice at least once monthly of certain Federal agency requests for records disposition authority (records schedules). Records schedules identify records of sufficient value to warrant preservation in the National Archives of the United States. Schedules also authorize agencies after a specified period to dispose of records lacking administrative, legal, research, or other value. Notice is published for records schedules that (1) Propose the destruction of records not previously authorized for disposal, or (2) reduce the retention period for records already authorized for disposal. NARA invites public comments on such schedules, as required by 44 USC 3303a(a).

**DATES:** Request for copies must be received in writing on or before July 22, 1996. Once the appraisal of the records is completed, NARA will send a copy of the schedule. The requester will be given 30 days to submit comments.

**ADDRESSES:** Address requests for single copies of schedules identified in this notice to the Records Appraisal and Disposition Division (NIR), National Archives and Records Administration, College Park, MD 20740. Requesters must cite the control number assigned to each schedule when requesting a copy. The control number appears in the parentheses immediately after the name of the requesting agency.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Each year U.S. Government agencies create billions of records on paper, film, magnetic tape, and other media. In order to control this accumulation, agency records managers prepare records schedules specifying when the agency no longer needs the records and what happens to the records after this period. Some schedules are comprehensive and cover all the records of an agency or one of its major subdivisions. These comprehensive schedules provide for the eventual transfer to the National Archives of historically valuable records and authorize the disposal of all other records. Most schedules, however, cover records of only one office or program or a few series of records, and many are

updates of previously approved schedules. Such schedules also may include records that are designated for permanent retention.

Destruction of records requires the approval of the Archivist of the United States. This approval is granted after a thorough study of the records that takes into account their administrative use by the agency of origin, the rights of the Government and of private persons directly affected by the Government's activities, and historical or other value.

This public notice identifies the Federal agencies and their subdivisions requesting disposition authority, includes the control number assigned to each schedule, and briefly describes the records proposed for disposal. The records schedule contains additional information about the records and their disposition. Further information about the disposition process will be furnished to each requester.

#### Schedules Pending

1. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Marketing Service (N1-136-96-1). Change in retention period for regulatory enforcement case files.
2. United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation (N1-115-94-8). General administrative records pertaining to project and power management.
3. Department of State (N1-59-96-12). Routine, facilitative, duplicative, and fragmentary files identified during review of the 1964-66 office files.
4. Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration, Office of Grants Management (N1-408-94-1). Triennial review files for the Capital and Operating Assistance Program.
5. Department of Transportation, Surface Transportation Board (N1-134-96-2). Change in retention standards for recordation files.
6. Environmental Protection Agency (N1-412-95-7). Reduction in retention periods for permit and compliance files and administrative files relating to computer center operations.
7. Tennessee Valley Authority (N1-142-95-12). Printouts and reports produced by the Human Resource Information System (the electronic records produced by this system are designated for permanent retention).

Dated: May 23, 1996.

James W. Moore,  
Assistant Archivist for Records  
Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-14010 Filed 6-4-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-286]

### Port Authority of the State of New York Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-64 issued to New York Power Authority for operation of the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3 (IP3) located in Westchester County, New York.

The proposed amendment would allow the reactor coolant system (RCS) leak test, which is performed after each refueling outage, to be conducted at normal operating pressure as opposed to being conducted at 2335 psig.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does the proposed license amendment involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

*Response:* The proposed license amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated. The change proposes a system leakage test for the RCS that is comparable to the hydrostatic test that it replaces, as acknowledged by the NRC approval of ASME [American Society of Mechanical Engineers] Code Case N-498, "Alternative Rules for 10-Year Hydrostatic Pressure Testing for Class 1 and 2 Systems Section XI, Division 1," and the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI. As discussed in Section 2 [see application dated April 26, 1996], "Evaluation of Change," the

proposed change to substitute a system leak test at normal operating pressure in lieu of the hydrostatic test at 2335 psig will minimize challenge to plant safety and demonstrate leak tightness of the RCS. Therefore, the proposed change would not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Does the proposed license amendment create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

*Response:* The proposed license amendment does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated. The proposed changes do not involve the addition of any new or different type of equipment, nor do they involve the operation of equipment required for safe operation of the facility in a manner different from those addressed in the Final Safety Analysis Report. As stated in Section 2 [see application dated April 26, 1996] based on industry experience, it is expected that any leaks would be discovered by the leak test at normal operating pressure.

3. Does the proposed amendment involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

*Response:* The proposed license amendment does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. The proposed changes do not adversely affect performance of any safety related system or component, instrument operation, or safety system setpoints and do not result in increased severity of any of the accidents considered in the safety analysis. Although the current basis states that if the system does not leak at 2335 psig (operating pressure +100 psig) it will be leak tight during normal operation, industry experience demonstrates that leaks are not discovered as a result of hydrostatic test pressure propagating a pre-existing flaw through wall. In most cases, leaks are discovered when the system is at normal operating pressure. Also, testing will continue to be performed as required by ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code Section XI.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the