Financial and Administrative Requirements

Discretionary grants are governed by the provisions of OMB Circulars applicable to financial assistance. The circulars, with additional information and guidance, are contained in the 'Financial and Administrative Guide for Grants," Office of Justice Programs, Guideline Manual, M7100, available from the Office of Justice Programs. This guideline manual, provided upon request, is intended to assist grantees in the administration of funds and includes information on allowable costs, methods of payment, Federal rights of access to records, audit requirements, accounting systems, and financial records.

Complete and accurate information is required relative to the application, expenditure of funds, and program performance. The consequences of failure to comply with program guidelines and requirements will be determined at the discretion of the Department.

Civil Rights Obligations

All applicants for Federal financial assistance must sign Certified Assurances that they are in compliance with the Federal laws and regulations which prohibit discrimination in any program or activity that receives such Federal funds. Section 809(c), Omnibus Crime Control & Safe Streets Act of 1968, provides that:

No person in any State shall on the ground of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under, or denied employment in connection with any program or activity funded in whole or in part with funds made available under this title.

Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and Title II of the Americans With Disabilities Act prohibit discrimination on the basis of disability. The applicant agency must discuss how it will ensure nondiscriminatory practices as they relate to:

(1) Delivery of services or benefits to ensure that individuals will not be denied access to services or benefits under the program or activity on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, gender, age, or disability;

(2) Employment practices—to ensure that its personnel in the program or activity are selected for employment without regard to race, color, religion, national origin, gender, age, or disability; and

(3) Program participation—to ensure members of any planning, steering or advisory board, which is an integral part of the program or activity, are not excluded from participation on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, gender, age or disability; and to encourage the selection of such members who are reflective of the diversity in the community to be served.

Audit Requirement

In October 1984, Congress passed the Single Audit Act of 1984. On April 12, 1985, the Office of Management and Budget issued Circular A–128, "Audits of State and Local Governments" which establishes regulations to implement the Act. OMB Circular A–128, "Audits of State and Local Governments," outlines the requirements for organizational audits which apply to BJS grantees.

Disclosure of Federal Participation

Section 8136 of the Department of **Defense Appropriations Act (Stevens** Amendment), enacted in October 1988, requires that, "when issuing statements, press releases for proposals, bid solicitations, and other documents describing projects or programs funded in whole or in part with Federal money, all grantees receiving Federal funds, including but not limited to State and local governments, shall clearly state (1) the percentage of the total cost of the program or project which will be financed with Federal money, and (2) the dollar amount of Federal funds for the project or program."

Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs

Federal Executive Order 12372, "Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs," allows States to establish a process for reviewing Federal programs in the State, to choose which programs they wish to review, to conduct such reviews, and to make their views known to the funding Federal agency through a State "single point of contact."

If the State has established a "single point of contact," and if the State has selected this program to be included in its review process, the applicant must send a copy of its letter or application to the State "single point of contact" at the same time that it is submitted to BJS. The letter or application submitted to BJS must indicate that this has been done. The State must complete its review within 60 days. The review period will begin on the date that the letter or application is officially received by BJS. If BJS does not receive comments from the State's "single point of contact" by the end of the review period, this will be interpreted as a "no comment" response.

If the State has not established a "single point of contact," or if it has not selected the BJS statistics development or criminal history improvement programs in its review process, this must be stated in the letter or application. Jan M. Chaiken, *Director, Bureau of Justice Statistics.*

[FR Doc. 96–13091 Filed 5–23–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–18–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA–W) issued during the period of May, 1996.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) that a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) that sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and

(3) that increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

- TA-W-31, 984; U.S. Can Co., Saddlebrook, NJ
- TA-W-32, 026; Cassemco, Inc. Cookeville, TN
- TA–W–32, 022; Campbell & Dann Mfg Co., Inc., Tullahoma, TM
- TA-W-32, 125; AT&T Corp., NCR Corp., Viroqua, WI

TA-W-32, 112; E.I. Dupont De Nemours & Co., Inc., Chambers Works Div., Deepwater, NJ

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

- TA-W-32, 096; Kinney Shoe Corp., Beaver Spring, PA
- TA-W-32, 010; Northrop Grumman Corp., El Sequndo, CA TA-W-32, 017; SBS Contracting Corp.,
- TA–W–32, 017; SBS Contracting Corp., Long Island City, NY
- TA-W-32, 014; ABB Power T & D Co., Inc., Protective Equipment & Medium Voltage Outdoor Equipment Div., Bloomington, IN Increased imports did not contribute

importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-32, 258; National Refurbishing Center, Sony Electronics, Inc., Carol Stream, IL

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name & location for each determination reference the impact date for all workers for such determination.

- TA-W-32, 236; Salvatric Soe, Inc., Blackshear, GA: March 29, 1995.
- TA-W-32, 221; J.C. Decker Co., Inc., Montgomery, PA: March 28, 1995.
- TA-W-32, 317; Ampolex (USA), Inc., Denver, CO: April 29, 1995.
- TA-W-32, 212; Montana Power Co., Colstrip Project Div., Colstrip, MT: March 27, 1995.
- TA-W-32, 054; Norminjil Sportswear Corp., Luzerne, PA: March 1, 1995.
- TA-W-32, 029; Western Reserve Products, Visador Div., Jasper, TN: February 27, 1995.
- TA-W-32, 001; Royal Mills, Carteret, NJ: February 10, 1995.
- TA-W-32, 194; McGill Electric Switch Product Group, A Div. of Therm-O-Disc, Inc., Valaporaiso, IN: April 3, 1995.
- TA–W–32, 218; Connors Footwear, Lisbon, NH: March 28, 1995.
- TA-W-32, 225; Movie Star, Inc., Sanmark-Stardust & Cinema Etoile Divisions, New York, NY: March 15, 1995.
- TA–W–32, 207; Dolphin International Ltd. The Dalles, OR: April 1, 1995.
- TA–W–32, 044; Forest Oil Corp., Denver, CO: February 9, 1995.
- TA-W-32, 011; Č & C Garment Finishers, Sparta, TN: February 20, 1995.
- TA-W-32, 283; Apparel Creations of America, Inc., Notasulga, AL: April 15, 1995.

- TA-W-31, 943 &A, B, C, D; Doran Textiles, Inc., Salem, NC, Shelby, NC, Esther Mill, Shelby, NC, Doran Yarn Mill Plant #2, Cherryville, NC, Dover Yarn Mill, Clover, SC: January 18.
- TA-W-32, 233; Dataproducts Corp., Norcross, GA: April 1, 1995.
- TA-W-32, 297; Tedmar, Inc., Newark, NJ: April 18, 1995.
- TA–W–32, 106; ABTco, Inc., Hardboard Div., Alpina, MI: March 7, 1995.
- TA-W-32, 089; Paper Converting Machine Co., Green Bay, WI: March 3, 1995.
- TA-W-32, 120; Kellogg Co., Battle Creek, MI: March 20, 1995.

Also, pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA– TAA) and in accordance with Section 250(a) Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of Labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA–TAA issued during the month of May, 1996.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA–TAA the following group eligibility requirements of Section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) that a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—

(2) that sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,

(3) that imports from Mexico or Canada or articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increases in imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

(4) that there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

In each of the following cases the Investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was not shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

NAFTA-TAA-00904; Steele

- Manufacturing Co., Steele, MO NAFTA-TAA-00953; L. Chessler Co.,
- Philadelphia, PA NAFTA-TAA-00927; Ogden Atlantic
- Design Poughkeepsie, NY NAFTA-TAA-00958; Fox Point Sportswear, Inc., Ironwood, MI
- NAFTA-TAA-00902; Kinney Shoe Corp., Beaver Springs, PA
- NAFTA-TAA-01019; E.I. Dupont De Nemours & Co., Inc., Chambers Works, Deepwater, NJ
- NAFTA-TAA-00920; AT&T Corp., NCR Corp., Viroqua, WI

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

- NAFTA-TAA-00921; General Electric Appliances, Little Rock Distribution Center, Little Rock, AR
- NAFTA-TAA-00967; CTS Corporation, Brownsville, TX
- NAFTA-TAA-00965; Sony Electronics, National Refurbishing Center— Sony Service Operations, Carol Stream, IL
- NAFTA-TAA-00961; Fashion Development Center, Inc., El Paso, TX

The investigation revealed that the workers of the subject firm did not produce an article within the meaning of Section 250(a) of the Trade Act, as amended.

Affirmative Determinations NAFTA– TAA

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name & location for each determination references the impact date for all workers for such determination.

- NAFTA-TAA-00926; Palm Beach Co., A Div. of Plaid Holding Co., Knoxville, TN; March 14, 1995.
- NAFTA-TAA-00968; Hummingbird Communications Ltd. (Formerly Beame & Whiteside, Inc.), Production & Technical Support Div., Raleigh, NC
- NAFTA-TAA-00952; Scotts Hill Leisurewear, Inc., A Wholly Owned Subsidiary of I. Appel Corp., Scotts Hill, TN: March 28.
- NAFTA-TAA-00957; Cargill, Inc., Beaumont, TX: March 27, 1995.
- NAFTA-TAA-00949; Salvatrice Shoe, Inc., Blackshear, GA: March 29, 1995.
- NAFTA-TAA-00943; J.C. Decker Co., Inc., Montgomery, PA: March 27, 1995.

- NAFTA-TAA-00946; Montana Power Co., Colstrip Project Div., Colstrip, MT, OR: April 1, 1995.
- NAFTA-TAA-00929; Elf Atochem North America, Inc., Fine Chemicals—Organic Peroxides, Town of Tonawonda, NY: March 22, 1995.
- NAFTA-TAA-00982; Cambridge Industries, Inc., Commercial Truck Group, Ionia, MI: April 9, 1995.
- NAFTA-TAA-00997; Thomas & Betts Corp., Amerace Electronic Components, Punta Gorda, AL: April 11, 1995.
- NAFTA-TAA-00978; EMC Motor Co., Clearfield, UT: April 12, 1995.
- NAFTA-TAA-00937; Eagle Garment Finishing, Inc., El Paso, TX: March 18, 1995.

NAFTA-TAA-00944; Dataproducts Corp., Norcross, GA: April 1, 1995.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of May 1996. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C– 4318, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: May 16, 1996.

Russell Kile,

Acting Program Manager, Policy & Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 96–13108 Filed 5–23–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

[TA-W-32,172]

Bates of Maine, Incorporated Lewiston, Maine; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on April 8, 1996 in response to a worker petition which was filed on March 27, 1996 on behalf of workers at Bates of Maine, Inc., Lewiston, Maine.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, D.C., this 14th day of May, 1996

Russel T. Kile,

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 96–13111 Filed 5–23–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

[TA-W-32,101]

Breed Technologies, Inc. Breed Automotive, L.P. Brownsville, Texas; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By letter of April 26, 1996, one of the petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance for workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on March 28, 1996, and published in the Federal Register on April 9, 1996 (61 FR 15832).

The petitioner presents evidence that the worker group did not provide a service, but instead produced a product.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 3rd day of May 1996. Russell T. Kile,

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 96–13117 Filed 5–23–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

[TA-W-32,100; TA-W-32,100A]

Cole Haan Manufacturing Division, Lewiston, Maine and Livermore Falls Location, Livermore, Maine; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on March 25, 1996, applicable to all workers of Cole Haan, Cole Haan Manufacturing Division, Lewiston, Maine. The notice was published in the Federal Register on April 9, 1996 (61 FR 15833).

At the request of State Trade Coordinator, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information provided by the company shows that worker separations have occurred at the subject firms' Livermore, Maine location. The workers are engaged in the production of moccasins for Cole Haan manufacturing facilities. The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of the subject firm who were adversely affected by increased imports of moccasins. Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to cover the workers of Cole Haan, Livermore Falls location, Livermore, Maine.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–32,100 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Cole Haan, Cole Haan Manufacturing Division, Lewiston, Maine (TA–W–32,100), and Cole Haan, Livermore Falls location, Livermore, Maine (TA–W– 32,100A) engaged in employment related to the production of moccasins who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after March 11, 1995 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC this 10th day of May 1996.

Russell T. Kile,

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 96–13110 Filed 5–23–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

[TA-W-32,050]

GEOMARTEC, Houston, TX; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on March 18, 1996 in response to a worker petition which was filed on February 26, 1996 on behalf of workers of Geomartec, Houston, Texas.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, D.C. this 9th day of May, 1996.

Russell T. Kile,

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. 96–13115 Filed 5–23–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

[TA-W-31,356; TA-W-31,356F]

Jeld-Wen of Bend/Bend Millwork Including Pozzi Window and Bend Door Company, Bend, Oregon and Jeld-Wen of Arizona, Flagstaff, Arizona; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Notice of Certification Regarding Eligibility to