one velvet fetish cover, two projectile points; one crystal; one fossilized shell; one pipe with center hole; two prayer stones; two polished stones; two prayer sticks; two stone figures bundled with varn and feathers attached; and one coiled Navajo ceremonial basket.

In 1965, the estate of Mr. Ramon Hubbell donated these items to the Hubbell Trading Post National Historic Site. Mr. Hubbell had originally requested the items for his Night Way Chant in 1925 at which he was consecrated to care for and use the items

Mr. Sherwin Curley, Ramon Hubbell's grandson, has identified the items as necessary for the continued practice of traditional Navajo religion by presentday adherents and has claimed them as a lineal descendent. Representatives of the Navajo Nation and traditional Navajo religious leaders confirm that these items are needed by Ramon Hubbell's descendents for on-going ceremonial and religious traditions.

Based on the above-mentioned information, officials of the National Park Service have determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001 (3)(C), these nineteen cultural items are specific ceremonial objects needed by traditional Native American religious leaders for the practice of traditional Native American religions by their present-day adherents. Officials of the National Park Service have also determined, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3005 (a)(5)(A), that Mr. Sherwin Curley is the direct lineal descendant of the individual who owned these sacred objects.

This notice has been sent to Mr. Sherwin Curley and officials of the Navajo Nation. Any other lineal descendent who believes him or herself to be culturally affiliated with these objects should contact Nancy Stone, Superintendent, Hubbell Trading Post National Historic Site, National Park Service, P.O. Box 150, Ganado, AZ 86505, telephone (520) 755-3475 before June 24, 1996. Repatriation of these objects to Mr. Sherwin Curley may begin after that date if no additional claimants come forward.

Dated: May 20, 1996. Francis P. McManamon, Departmental Consulting Archeologist, Chief, Archeology and Ethnography Program. [FR Doc. 96-13095 Filed 5-23-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310-70-F

Notice of Intent to Repatriate a Cultural Item in the Possession of the Olmsted County Historical Society, Rochester,

AGENCY: National Park Service

ACTION: Notice

Notice is hereby given under the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act, 25 U.S.C. 3005 (a)(2), of the intent to repatriate a cultural item in the possession of the Olmsted County Historical Society, Rochester, MN, which meets the definition of "sacred object" under Section 2 of the Act.

The cultural item is a birchbark scroll with mnemonic symbols.

In 1949, this scroll was donated by Dr. A.U. Desjardins to the Olmsted County Historical Society. Accession records indicate this scroll was made by the Midewiwin Lodge at Cass Lake, on the Leech Lake Reservation, MN. There is no further information regarding Dr. Desjardins' acquisition of this scroll.

Representatives of the Leech Lake Band of Chippewa have stated that this scroll is needed by traditional Native American religious leaders for the practice of traditional Ojibwe religion by present-day adherents.

Based on the above-mentioned information, officials of the Olmsted County Historical Society have determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(3)(C), this cultural item is a specific ceremonial object needed by traditional Native American religious leaders for the practice of traditional Native American religions by their present-day adherents. Officials of the Olmsted County Historical Society have also determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(2), there is a relationship of shared group identity which can be reasonably traced between these items and the Leech Lake Band of Chippewa.

This notice has been sent to officials of the Leech Lake Band of Chippewa. Representatives of any other Indian tribe that believes itself to be culturally affiliated with these objects should contact Margot L. Ballard, Curator, Olmsted County Historical Society, 1195 County Road 22 SW, Rochester, MN 55902, telephone (507) 282-9447 before June 24, 1996. Repatriation of these objects to the Leech Lake Band of Chippewa may begin after that date if no additional claimants come forward. Dated: May 16, 1996

Francis P. McManamon,

Departmental Consulting Archeologist. Chief, Archeology and Ethnography Program. [FR Doc. 96-13096 Filed 5-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-F

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-372]

Certain Neodymium-Iron-Boron Magnets, Magnet Alloys, and Articles **Containing Same; Notice of Institution** of Formal Enforcement Proceeding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has instituted a formal enforcement proceeding relating to the consent order issued in the abovecaptioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lyle B. Vander Schaaf, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-3107.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In October 1995, the Commission issued a consent order in the above-captioned investigation. The consent order provides that respondents San Huan New Materials High Tech, Inc., Ningbo Konit Industries, Inc., and Tridus International, Inc. (the "San Huan respondents"):

shall not sell for importation, import into the United States or sell in the United States after importation or knowingly aid, abet, encourage, participate in, or induce the sale for importation, importation into the United States or sale in the United States after importation of neodymium-iron-boron magnets which infringe any of claims 1-3 of the '439 patent, or articles or products which contain such magnets, except under consent or license from Crucible.

On March 4, 1996, complainant Crucible Materials Corporation (Crucible) filed a complaint seeking institution of formal enforcement proceedings against the San Huan respondents for alleged violations of the consent order. On March 12 and 28, 1996, the San Huan respondents filed letters objecting, inter alia, to institution of a formal enforcement proceeding and requesting instead institution of an informal enforcement proceeding.

The Commission, having examined all documents filed with respect to the complaint for formal enforcement proceeding, and having found that the complaint complies with the requirements for institution of a formal enforcement proceeding, determined to institute a formal enforcement proceeding to determine whether San Huan New Materials High Tech, Inc., Ningbo Konit Industries, Inc., and Tridus International, Inc. are in violation of the Commission consent

order issued in the above-captioned investigation and what if any enforcement measures are appropriate.

The following were named as parties to the formal enforcement proceeding: (1) Crucible Materials Corporation, State Fair Boulevard, P.O. Box 977, Syracuse, New York 13201-0977 (complainant in the above-captioned investigation and requester of the formal enforcement proceeding); (2) San Huan New Materials High Tech, Inc., No. 8 South 3rd Street, Zhong Guan Cun Road, Beijing, Peoples Republic of China 100080 (enforcement proceeding respondent); (3) Ningbo Konit Industries, Inc., Ningbo Economic and Technical Development Zone, Zhejiang Province, People's Republic of China (enforcement proceeding respondent); (4) Tridus International, Inc., 8527 Alondra Boulevard, Suite 205, Paramount California 90723 (enforcement proceeding respondent); and (5) a Commission investigative attorney to be designated by the Director, Office of Unfair Import Investigations.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and section 210.75 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.75).

Copies of the Commission's order and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this enforcement proceeding are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

By order of the Commission. Issued: May 16, 1996. Donna R. Koehnke, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–13127 Filed 5–23–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Meeting of the Judicial Conference Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure

AGENCY: Judicial conference of the United States, Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure.

ACTION: Notice of Open Meeting.

SUMMARY: The Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure will hold a three-day meeting. The meeting will be open to public observation but not participation.

DATES: June 19-21, 1996.

TIME:

June 19, 1:00 p.m.-5:00 p.m. June 20, 8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m. June 21, 8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building, Federal Judicial Center Classrooms, Concourse Level, One Columbus Circle, N.E., Washington, D.C.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John K. Rabiej, Chief, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, D.C. 20544, telephone (202) 273–1820.

Dated: May 17, 1996.

John K. Rabiej,

Chief, Rules Committee Support Office.

[FR Doc. 96–13082 Filed 5–23–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2210–55–M

Hearings of the Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on Rules of Appellate Procedure

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States, Advisory Committee on Rules of Appellate Procedure.

ACTION; Notice of two open hearings.

SUMMARY: The Advisory Committee on Rules of Appellate Procedure is requesting comments to: Preliminary Draft of Proposed Revision of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure Using Guidelines for Drafting and Editing Court Rules and Preliminary Draft of Proposed Amendments to Appellate Rules 27, 28, and 32.

Two public hearings will be held on these proposals in: Washington, D.C. on July 8, 1996, at the Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building, Fourth Floor Conference Room, One Columbus Circle, N.E.; and Denver, Colorado on August 2, 1996, at the Byron White United States Courthouse, Ceremonial Courtroom, 1823 Stout Street.

The Judicial Conference Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure submits both the proposed revision and the proposed amendments for public comment. All comments and suggestions with respect to them must be placed in the hands of the Secretary at least 30 days before each hearing.

Anyone interested in testifying should write to Peter G. McCabe, Secretary, Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, D.C., at least 30 days before each hearing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John K. Rabiej, Chief, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, D.C. 20544, telephone (202) 273–1820.

A copy of the proposed revision and proposed amendments can be obtained by contacting John K. Rabiej.

Dated: May 17, 1996.

John K. Rabiej,

Chief, Rules Committee Support Office.

[FR Doc. 96–13083 Filed 5–23–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2210–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration [Docket No. 95–32]

Ying-Ming Chang, M.D., Revocation of Registration

On February 23, 1995, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to Ying-Ming Chang, M.D., (Respondent), of San Diego, California, notifying him of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not revoke his DEA Certificate of Registration, BC0495122, under 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(4) and deny any pending applications for registration as a practitioner under 21 U.S.C. 823(f), for the reason that his continued registration was inconsistent with the public interest.

The Respondent filed a timely request for a hearing, and the matter was docketed before Administrative Law Judge Mary Ellen Bittner. After a lengthy delay at the request of the Respondent, the hearing was scheduled to commence on March 12, 1996. However, prior to that date, the Government filed a Motion for Summary Disposition, noting that the Respondent's license to practice medicine had been revoked by the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California (Board) by final order effective October 9, 1995, a copy of which was attached to the motion. The Respondent filed a response on October 27, 1995, noting that he had challenged the Board's final order in a pending Writ of Mandamus action in the Superior Court of California, San Diego, California. The Respondent then argued that the Board's final order should not be the basis for granting the motion for summary disposition. The Respondent also argued that an issue of fact remained for determination; whether the Board's