

terms of the Electricity Purchase Contract between O'Brien (Parlin) Cogeneration, Inc. and NRG Parlin, Inc.

Parlin requests waiver of the 60-day prior notice requirement. Parlin also requests waiver of the Commission's filing requirements contained in Parts B and C of the 18 CFR Part 35 (except 35.12(a), 35.13(b), 35.15 and 35.16). Finally, Parlin requests: (1) waiver of Parts 41, 101 and 141 of the Commission's regulations; (2) waiver of the full requirements of Part 45 of the Commission's regulations to permit abbreviated filings; and (3) blanket approval under Part 34 of the Commission's regulations of all future issuances of securities and assumptions of obligations of liabilities.

A copy of this filing was served upon NRG Parlin Inc.

*Comment date:* May 22, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 16. Commonwealth Edison Company

[Docket No. ER96-1681-000]

Take notice that on April 30, 1996, Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd), submitted for filing three Service Agreements, establishing Florida Power Corporation (FPC), dated March 1, 1996, KN Marketing, Inc., (KN Marketing), dated March 25, 1996 and South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper), dated March 26, 1996 as customers under the terms of ComEd's Power Sales Tariff PS-1 (PS-1 Tariff). ComEd also submitted for filing four Service Agreements, establishing National Gas & Electric L.P. (National), dated January 5, 1996; Florida Power Corporation (FPC) dated March 1, 1996; Western Power Services, Inc. (WPS), dated April 1, 1996; and KN Marketing, Inc. (KN Marketing), dated April 4, 1996, as customers under the terms of ComEd's Flexible Transmission Service Tariff (FTS-1 Tariff). The Commission has previously designated the FS-1 Tariff as FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 2, and the FTS-1 Tariff as FERC Electric Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 3.

ComEd requests an effective date of March 31, 1996, for the three PS-1 Service Agreements, and an effective date of April 4, 1996, for the four FTS-1 Service Agreements, and accordingly seeks waiver of the Commission's requirements. Copies of this filing were served upon FPC, KN Marketing, Santee Cooper, National, WPS and the Illinois Commerce Commission.

*Comment date:* May 22, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 17. Cinergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER96-1682-000]

Take notice that on April 30, 1996, Cinergy Services, Inc. (Cinergy), tendered for filing a service agreement under Cinergy's Non-Firm Power Sales Standard Tariff (the Tariff) entered into between Cinergy and the Toledo Edison Company.

Cinergy and the Toledo Edison Company are requesting an effective date of May 6, 1996.

*Comment date:* May 22, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 18. Cinergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER96-1683-000]

Take notice that on April 30, 1996, Cinergy Services, Inc. (Cinergy), tendered for filing a service agreement under Cinergy's Non-Firm Power Sales Standard Tariff (the Tariff) entered into between Cinergy and The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company.

Cinergy and The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company are requesting an effective date of May 6, 1996.

*Comment date:* May 22, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-11976 Filed 5-13-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

#### [Project No. 2000-008 New York]

#### New York Power Authority; Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment

May 8, 1996.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory

Commission's regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 F.R. 47910), the Office of Hydropower Licensing (OHL) has reviewed the application for approval of change in land rights and removal of lands from the project boundary. New York Power Authority proposes to convey five land parcels totaling approximately 670 acres to the Town of Waddington, New York, for low-density residential and tourism-related commercial and recreational development.

The staff of OHL's Division of Project Compliance and Administration has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) for the proposed action. In the EA, staff concludes that the licensee's proposal would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the EA are available for review in the Reference and Information Center, Room 2A, of the Commission's Offices at 888 First Street, NE, Washington, D.C., 20426.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-11980 Filed 5-13-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5504-6]

#### Ozone, Particulate Matter and Regional Haze Implementation Program Subcommittee; Meeting

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** On September 11, 1995 (60 FR 47172) the EPA announced the establishment of the Ozone, Particulate Matter and Regional Haze Implementation Programs Subcommittee under the Clean Air Act Advisory Committee (CAAAC). The CAAAC was established on November 8, 1990 (55 FR 46993) pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) (5 U.S.C. app I). The purpose of the Subcommittee is to provide advice and recommendations on integrated approaches for implementing potentially new national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for ozone and particulate matter, as well as a regional haze program.

**OPEN MEETING DATES:** Notice is hereby given that the Subcommittee for Development of Ozone, Particulate Matter and Regional Haze Implementation Programs will hold its next open meeting on Thursday, May

30, 1996, from 8:00–5:00 p.m., at the Omni Durham Hotel, 201 Foster Street, Durham, North Carolina. Seating will be available on a first come, first served basis. To assist EPA in planning the public meeting, persons interested in attending should register with EPA by contacting Susan Cooke at Science Applications International Corporation by telephone at 919–544–2848 or by faxing your name and address to her attention at 919–544–4175.

The public is invited to submit written views and recommendations on new integrated approaches for implementing these programs. Such comments should be submitted (in duplicate) to docket A–95–38. The docket is open for public inspection and copying between 8:30 a.m. and 5:30 p.m., weekdays, at the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (6102), room M–1500, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. William F. Hamilton, Designated Federal Officer for the Subcommittee, at 919–541–5498, or by mail at U.S. EPA, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, MD–12, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711. A copy of the draft meeting agenda can be downloaded from the Ozone/Particulate Matter/Regional Haze FACA Bulletin Board, which is located on the Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards Technology Transfer Network (OAQPS TTN) or by contacting Denise M. Gerth (919) 541–5550.

Dated: May 6, 1996.

John S. Seitz,

*Director, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards.*

[FR Doc. 96–12075 Filed 5–13–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 2131]

### Petitions for Reconsideration of Actions in Rulemaking Proceedings

May 9, 1996.

A Petition for reconsideration has been filed in the Commission's rulemaking proceedings listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR Section 1.429(e). The full text of this document is available for viewing and copying in Room 239, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor ITS, Inc. (202) 857–3800. Opposition to this petition must

be filed by May 29, 1996. See Section 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 1.4(b)(1)). Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions has expired.

**Subject:** Implementation of Sections 202(f), 202(i) and 301(i) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Cable Television Antitrafficking, Network and MMDS/SMATV Cross-ownership Rules (CS Docket No. 96–56)

Number of Petitions Filed: 1

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96–12048 Filed 5–13–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

### [Correction to Report No. 2129]

### Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification of Actions in Rulemaking Proceedings

May 9, 1996.

Report No. 2129, released May 1, 1996 omitted the below Petition for Reconsideration, therefore this petition is hereby added and the opposition date remains the same.

**Subject:** Preemption of Local Zoning Regulation of Satellite Earth Stations (IB Docket No. 95–59)

Number of Petitions Filed: 9

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96–12049 Filed 5–13–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Federal Emergency Management Agency

### Draft Document: Criteria for Preparation and Evaluation of Radiological Emergency Response Plans and Preparedness in Support of Nuclear Power Plants (Criteria for Emergency Planning in an Early Site Permit Application)

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) have jointly prepared guidance for the development, review, and approval of emergency information and plans to be submitted with an early site permit (ESP) application in a proposed Supplement 2 to NUREG–0654/FEMA–REP–1, Rev. 1, entitled, “Criteria for Preparation and Evaluation of

Radiological Emergency Response Plans and Preparedness in Support of Nuclear Power Plants (Criteria for Emergency Planning in an Early Site Permit Application).” This document is available for public review and comment.

The NRC staff's views on how emergency planning will be addressed at each phase of nuclear power plant licensing under 10 CFR Part 52: ESPs, standard design certifications, and combined licenses, are discussed in a Commission paper, “Emergency Planning Under 10 CFR Part 52,” SECY–95–090, issued on April 11, 1995. A draft of this paper was noticed for comment in the Federal Register on May 20, 1994 (59 FR 26530).

For ESP applications, Subpart A to 10 CFR Part 52 states requirements and procedures for issuing an ESP that approves a site for one or more nuclear power plants separate from the filing of an application for a construction permit or a combined license. The application for an ESP must, as a minimum, identify physical characteristics unique to the proposed site, such as limitations on egress from the area surrounding the site, that could pose a significant impediment to the development of emergency plans. In addition, the application must include a description of contacts and arrangements made with local, State, and Federal government agencies that have emergency planning responsibilities. The application *may also* propose major features of the emergency plans, such as the exact size of the emergency planning zones, that can be reviewed and approved by the NRC in consultation with FEMA, *or may* propose complete and integrated emergency plans for the site for review and approval by the NRC in consultation with FEMA.

Proposed Supplement 2 to NUREG–0654/FEMA–REP–1 provides guidance for meeting the mandatory emergency planning requirement and for addressing the two additional emergency planning options of the ESP rule. The proposed supplement recommends an approach for an ESP applicant to identify unique site physical characteristics that could be an impediment to the development of emergency plans. An ESP applicant that chooses the option of proposing major features of the emergency plans will be evaluated against selected and modified planning standards and evaluation criteria from NUREG–0654/FEMA–REP–1 which are given in proposed Supplement 2. An applicant for an ESP that chooses the option of submitting complete and integrated emergency plans for review and approval will be