

at (916) 653-6952 or TDD (916) 653-6934 at least one week prior to the meeting.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary (Bay-Delta system) is a critically important part of California's natural environment and economy. In recognition of the serious problems facing the region and the complex resource management decisions that must be made, the state of California and the Federal government are working together to stabilize, protect, restore, and enhance the Bay-Delta system. The State and Federal agencies with management and regulatory responsibilities in the Bay-Delta system are working together as CALFED to provide policy direction and oversight for the process.

One area of Bay-Delta management includes the establishment of a joint State-Federal process to develop long-term solutions to problems in the Bay-Delta system related to fish and wildlife, water supply reliability, natural disasters, and water quality. The intent is to develop a comprehensive and balanced plan which addresses all the resource problems. This effort, the CALFED Bay-Delta Program (Program), is being carried out under the policy direction of CALFED. The CALFED Bay-Delta Program is exploring and developing a long-term solution for a cooperative planning process that will determine the most appropriate strategy and actions necessary to improve water quality, restore health to the Bay-Delta ecosystem, provide for a variety of beneficial uses, and minimize Bay-Delta system vulnerability. A group of citizen advisors representing California's agricultural, environmental, urban, business, fishing, and other interests who have a stake in finding long term solutions for the problems affecting the Bay-Delta system has been chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) as the Bay-Delta Advisory Council (BDAC) to advise CALFED on the program mission, problems to be addressed, and objectives for the CALFED Bay-Delta Program. BDAC provides a forum to help ensure public participation, and will review reports and other materials prepared by CALFED staff.

Minutes of the meeting will be maintained by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, Suite 1155, 1416 Ninth Street, Sacramento, CA 95814, and will be available for public inspection during regular business hours, Monday through Friday within 30 days following the meeting.

Dated: May 3, 1996.
Roger Patterson,
Regional Director, Mid-Pacific Region.
[FR Doc. 96-11783 Filed 5-9-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310-94-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Consent Decrees in Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act Action; Al/co et al.

Notice is hereby given that two consent decrees in *United States et al. v. ALCOA et al.*, Civil Action No. 89-7421, were lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on April 24, 1996.

On October 16, 1989, the United States filed a complaint against 18 generator and owner/operator defendants under Section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. 9607(a), for response costs incurred and to be incurred by the United States at the Moyer Landfill Superfund Site in Collegeville, Pennsylvania (the "Site"). The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania ("Commonwealth") joined the action as plaintiff seeking reimbursement of its response costs incurred and to be incurred at the Site. One of the proposed consent decrees resolves the liability of Alco Industries, Cabot Corporation, and Richardson-Vicks, subject to reopeners for new information and new site conditions, and cost overruns above \$55 million, for a payment of \$11.5 million in reimbursement of response costs to the United States and the Commonwealth. The other proposed consent decree resolves the liability of William M. Wilson's Sons, Inc., Waste Conversion, Inc., Hatfield Packing Company, Moyer Packing Company, Superior Tube Company, W.R. Grace & Co., Union Carbide Corporation, Henkel Corporation, Ford Electronics and Refrigeration Corporation and Zenith Electronics Corporation, subject to reopeners for new information and new site conditions, and cost overruns above \$60 million, for payments totalling \$9,558,551 in reimbursement of response costs to the United States and the Commonwealth. Under both decrees the United States receives 84% and the Commonwealth receives 16% of the payments. The Consent Decree includes covenants not to sue under Sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA and under Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and

Recovery Act ("RCRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 6973.

The Department of Justice will accept written comments relating to these proposed Consent Decrees for thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this notice. Please address comments to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station, Washington, D.C. 20044 and refer to *United States et al. v. ALCOA et al.*, DOJ No. 90-11-3-145. Commenters may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area, in accordance with Section 7003(d) of RCRA.

Copies of the proposed Consent Decrees may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Eastern District of Pennsylvania, 615 Chestnut Street, Suite 1300, Philadelphia, PA 19106; Region III Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005 ((202) 624-0892). A copy of the proposed decrees may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W. 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. When requesting a copy of the proposed Consent Decrees, please enclose a check payable to the "Consent Decree Library" in the following amounts:

\$7.50 for the 1st decree described above.

\$10.00 for the 2nd decree described above.

Joel M. Gross,
*Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division,
U.S. Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 96-11653 Filed 5-9-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Water Act

In accordance with Department of Justice policy, 28 C.F.R. 50.7, notice is hereby given that a Consent Decree in *United States v. Martin H. Frimberger, et al.*, Civil No. 3:90CV136 (DJS) (D. Conn.), was lodged with the United District Court for the District of Connecticut on March 29, 1996.

The proposed Consent Decree concerns alleged violations of sections 301(a) and 404 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1311(a) and 1344. Defendant Martin H. Frimberger placed fill material and structures in navigable waters of the United States along the shoreline of the property at 207 Ayers

Road, Old Saybrook, Connecticut, without a permit as required by 33 U.S.C. 1344. Defendants Maia and Kathryn Chiat, successors in title to the property, will perform certain removal and restoration work and will apply to the Army Corps of Engineers for a permit to maintain the fill remaining after the restoration work is completed.

The United States Attorney's Office will receive written comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice. Comments should be addressed to John B. Hughes, Esq., Assistant U.S. Attorney, District of Connecticut, P.O. Box 1824, New Haven, Connecticut 06508, and should refer to *United States v. Martin H. Frimberger, Citicorp Mortgage, Inc. and Maia and Kathryn Chiat*, Civil No. 3:90CV136 (DJS) (D. Conn.).

The Complaint and proposed Consent Decree in this case may be examined at the Clerk's Office, United States District Court for the District of Connecticut, 450 Main Street, Hartford, Connecticut 06103.

Letitia J. Grishaw,
Chief, Environmental Defense Section,
Environmental & Natural Resources Division.
[FR Doc. 96-11655 Filed 5-9-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Water Act

In accordance with Department of Justice policy, 28 C.F.R. 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed Final (Consent) Judgment in *United States v. Seminole Fertilizer Corp.*, Case No. 96-735-CIV-T-24B (M.D. Fla.), was lodged with the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida on April 15, 1996.

The proposed Final (Consent) Judgment concerns alleged violations of section 301(a) and 404 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1311(a) and 1344. Specifically, Defendant Seminole Fertilizer Corporation exceeded the terms of a permit issued by the Corps of Engineers under Clean Water Act section 404 in connection with Seminole's phosphate mining operations. As a result of the alleged violation, fill material was unlawfully discharged into approximately 15.0 unpermitted acres of wetlands. The proposed Final (Consent) Judgment would require Seminole Fertilizer Corporation to complete an on-site mitigation project and to pay a \$40,000 civil penalty.

The United States Attorney's Office will receive written comments relating to the proposed Final (Consent)

Judgment for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice. Comments should be addressed to Michael A. Cauley, Assistant U.S. Attorney, Middle District of Florida, 500 Zack Street, Room 400, Tampa, FL 33602, and should refer to *United States v. Seminole Fertilizer Corp.*, Case No. 96-735-CIV-T-24B (M.D. Fla.).

The Complaint and proposed Final (Consent) Judgment in this case may be examined at the Clerk's Office, United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, 611 North Florida Avenue, Tampa, Florida 33602.

Letitia J. Grishaw,
Chief, Environmental Defense Section,
Environmental & Natural Resources Division.
[FR Doc. 96-11656 Filed 5-9-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Water Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 C.F.R. § 50.7, notice is hereby given that a consent decree in *United States of America v. Robert V. Spiller*, CV-96-1010 (W.D. La.), was lodged with the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana on April 23, 1996. The proposed decree concerns alleged violations of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311, as a result of the discharge of fill materials onto approximately 7.2 acres of wetlands by Roger V. Spiller ("Spiller"), near New Iberia, Louisiana.

The Consent Decree provides for the payment of a \$2,000.00 civil penalty to the United States and requires partial restoration of the violation site in accord with a partial restoration plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA").

The Department of Justice will receive written comments relating to the consent decree for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this notice. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, U.S. Department of Justice, Attention: John A. Sheehan, Environmental Defense Section, P.O. Box 23986, Washington, D.C. 20026-3986, and should refer to *United States v. Spiller*, DJ Reference No. 90-5-1-1-4132.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the Offices of the United States Attorney for the Western District of Louisiana, 600 Jefferson Street, Suite 1000, Lafayette, Louisiana 70501; the offices of Region VI of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202, and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G

Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$7.75 for a copy of the consent decree with attachments.

Letitia J. Grisaw,
Chief, Environmental Defense Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division,
United States Department of Justice.
[FR Doc. 96-11654 Filed 5-9-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Antitrust Division

United States of America v. Woman's Hospital Foundation & Woman's Physician Health Organization; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and a Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in *United States of America v. Woman's Hospital Foundation & Woman's Physician Health Organization*, Civil No. 96-389-BM2.

The complaint alleges that defendants entered into an agreement that unreasonably restrained competition among physicians in the Baton Rouge, Louisiana area, in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. 1. The complaint also alleges that Woman's Hospital Foundation willfully attempted to maintain and maintained its monopoly in inpatient obstetrical services in the Baton Rouge, Louisiana area, in violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. 2.

The proposed Final Judgment, agreed to by the defendants, prohibits defendants' unlawful agreement and the additional acts of Woman's Hospital Foundation that gave rise to the violations of Section 2.

Public comment on the proposed Final Judgment is invited within the statutory 60-day comment period. Such comments and responses thereto will be published in the Federal Register and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to Gail Kursh, Chief; Health Care Task Force; United States Department of Justice; Antitrust Division; 325 7th Street, NW.; Room