with industry, universities or in their laboratories. This microwave device area includes programs on developments and research related to microwave tubes, solid state microwave devices, electronic warfare devices, millimeter wave devices, and passive devices. The review will include details of classified defense programs throughout.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of Public Law 92–463, as amended, (5 U.S.C. App. II § 10(d) (1988)), it has been determined that this Advisory Group meeting concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. § 552b(c)(1) (1988), and that accordingly, this meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: January 16, 1996.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 96-709 Filed 1-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

#### Meeting of the DOD Advisory Group on Electron Devices

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense, Advisory Group on Electron Devices. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The DoD Advisory Group on Electron Devices (AGED) announces a closed session meeting.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held at 0900, Wednesday and Thursday, 24–25 January 1996.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at Solid State Electronics Division (Code 55), NCCOSC RDT&E Div., Room 266, Building B–111, San Diego, CA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Eliot Cohen, AGED Secretariat, 1745 Jefferson Davis Highway, Crystal Square Four, Suite 500, Arlington, Virginia 22202.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The mission of the Advisory Group is to provide advice to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology, to the Director of Defense Research and Engineering (DDR&E), and through the DDR&E to the Director, Advanced Research Projects Agency and the Military Departments in planning and managing an effective and economical research and development program in the area of electron devices.

The AGED meeting will be limited to review of research and development programs which the Military Departments propose to initiate with industry, universities or in their laboratories. The agenda for this meeting will include programs on Radiation Hardened Devices,

Microwave Tubes, Displays and Lasers. The review will include details of classified defense programs throughout.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of Public Law 92–463, as amended, (5 U.S.C. App. II § 10(d) (1988)), it has been determined that this Advisory Group meeting concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. § 552b(c)(1) (1988), and that accordingly, this meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: January 16, 1996.
L.M. Bynum,
Alternate, OSD Federal Register Liaison
Officer, Department of Defense.
[FR Doc. 96–707 Filed 1–19–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5000–04–M

# Defense Science Board Task Force on C4ISR Integration

**ACTION:** Notice of Advisory Committee Meeting.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board Task Force on C4ISR Integration will meet in closed session on January 26, 1996 at Strategic Analysis, Inc., Arlington, Virginia.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At this meeting the Task Force will assist the internal DoD process by providing advice to the DoD on all aspects of C4ISR integration.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, P.L. No. 92–463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II, (1988)), it has been determined that this DSB Task Force meeting concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. § 552b(c)(1) (1988), and that accordingly this meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: January 16, 1996.
L.M. Bynum,
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison
Officer, Department of Defense.
[FR Doc. 96–708 Filed 1–19–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5000–04–M

# Office of the Secretary of Defense

# Department of Defense Wage Committee; Notice of Closed Meetings

Pursuant to the provisions of section 10 of Public Law 92–463, the Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that closed meetings of the Department of Defense Wage Committee will be held on February 6, 1996; February 13, 1996; February 20, 1996;

and February 27, 1996, at 10:00 a.m. in Room A105, The Nash Building, 1400 Key Boulevard, Rosslyn, Virginia.

Under the provisions of section 10(d) of Public Law 92–463, the Department of Defense has determined that the meetings meet the criteria to close meetings to the public because the matters to be considered are related to internal rules and practices of the Department of Defense and the detailed wage data to be considered were obtained from officials of private establishments with a guarantee that the data will be held in confidence.

However, members of the public who may wish to do so are invited to submit material in writing to the chairman concerning matters believed to be deserving of the Committee's attention.

Additional information concerning the meetings may be obtained by writing to the Chairman, Department of Defense Wage Committee, 4000 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–4000.

Dated: January 16, 1996.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 96–710 Filed 1–19–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

### Department of the Navy

## Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement on the Proposed Disposal and Reuse of Naval Air Station Agana, Guam

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 as implemented by the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), the Department of the Navy announces its intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to evaluate the environmental effects of the proposed disposal of land, buildings, and infrastructure, and their subsequent reuse of the former Naval Air Station (NAS) Agana, Guam. This action is being conducted in accordance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-510) and the specific 1993 base closure and realignment decisions which became effective in September 1993.

NAS Agana, now known as Tinyan, was closed in March 1995. The runway and aviation related facilities are being leased on an interim basis to the Guam Airport Authority (GAA) Facilities not related to civil aviation are being leased to the Government of Guam. The proposed action involves the disposal of approximately 1,823 acres of land and infrastructure.

Alternatives addressed in the EIS will focus on the disposal and reuse of designated property, including the Government of Guam's reuse scenario. The Government of Guam's reuse plan has three principal parts: the A.B. Wondpat International Airport, community reuse area (economic development and parks), and regional circulation improvements (three highways). Other uses that will be considered for the areas not needed for airport support include industrial, governmental, commercial, and residential. The EIS will discuss environmental impacts resulting from the construction and operation of facilities, new airport operations, and airport related uses, as well as expected associated impacts to the local communities resulting from disposal and reuse of NAS Agana. Major environmental issues that will be addressed in the EIS will include, but not be limited to, impacts on cultural resources, terrestrial and aquatic habitats, storm water runoff, noise, air quality, traffic, and community,

The Navy will initiate a scoping process for the purpose of determining the scope of issues to be addressed and for identifying the significant issues related to this action. The Navy will hold two public scoping meetings on Wednesday, January 24, 1996, beginning at 2:00 p.m. and 7:00 p.m. at the Governor's Cabinet Conference Room to the Executive Building in Adelup, Guam. Federal Government closure during the period of January 8-10, 1996, delayed notification in the Federal Register; however, complete, and timely notification has been advertised in local and regional newspapers.

A brief presentation will precede requests for public comment and identification of additional scoping items. Navy representatives will be available at this meeting to receive comments from the public regarding issues of concern. It is important that federal, state, and local agencies and interested individuals take this opportunity to identify environmental concerns that should be addressed during preparation of the EIS. In the interest of available time, each speaker will be asked to limit oral comments to three minutes.

ADDRESSES: Agencies and the public are also invited and encouraged to provide written comments in addition to, or in lieu of, oral comments at the public meeting. To be most helpful, scoping comments should clearly describe specific issues or topics which the commentator believes the EIS should address. Written statements and or

questions regarding the scoping process should be mailed to: Commanding Officer, Pacific Division, Naval Facility Engineering Command, Pearl Harbor, HI 96860–7300, (Attn.: Mr. John Bigay, 239), telephone (808) 471–7130. All comments must be received no later than February 16, 1996.

Dated: January 16, 1996.

M.D. Schetzsle,

LT, JAGC, USNR, Alternate Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 96–631 Filed 1–19–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3810–FF–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

[CFDA No. 84.116N]

Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education—Special Focus Competition (Invitational Priority: Institutional Cooperation and Student Mobility in Postsecondary Education Between the United States, Canada and Mexico); Notice Inviting Application for New Awards for Fiscal Year (FY) 1996

**PURPOSE OF PROGRAM:** To provide grants to improve postsecondary education opportunities by focusing on problem areas or improvement approaches in postsecondary education.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This program is a Special Focus Competition pursuant to 34 CFR 630.11(b)(1) to support projects addressing a particular problem area or improvement approach in postsecondary education. The competition also includes an invitational priority to encourage proposals designed to support the formation of educational consortia of American, Canadian and Mexican institutions to encourage cooperation in the coordination of curricula, the exchange of students and the opening of educational opportunities throughout North America.

The invitational priority is issued in cooperation with Canada and Mexico. Canadian and Mexican institutions participating in any consortium proposal responding to the invitational priority may apply, respectively, to Human Resources Development of Canada and the Mexican Bureau of University Development for additional funding under separate Canadian and Mexican competitions.

Eligible Applicants: Institutions of higher education or combinations of such institutions and other public and private nonprofit educational institutions and agencies.

Deadline for Transmittal of Applications: March 15, 1996.

Deadline for Intergovernmental Review: May 14, 1996.

*Applications Available:* January 19, 1996.

Available Funds: \$1,150,000. Estimated Range of Awards: \$100,000-\$150,000 for three years. Estimated Average Size of Awards: \$102,000 for three years. Estimated Number of Awards: 11.

Note: The Department is not bound by any estimates in this notice.

Project Period: Up to 36 months. Applicable Regulations: (a) The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) in 34 CFR Parts 74, 75 [except as noted in 34 CFR 630.4(a)(2)], 77, 79, 80, 82, 85, and 86; and (b) the regulations for this program in 34 CFR Part 630.

#### **Priorities**

#### Invitational Priorities

Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(1) and 34 CFR 630.11(b)(1), the Secretary is particularly interested in applications that meet the following invitational priority. However, an application that meets this invitational priority does not receive competitive or absolute preference over other applications.

Invitational Priority: Projects that support consortia of institutions of higher education that promote institutional cooperation and student mobility between the United States, Mexico and Canada.

# Selection Criteria

In evaluating applications for grants under this program competition, the Secretary uses the following selection criteria chosen from those listed in 34 CFR 630.32:

- (a) Significance for Postsecondary Education. The Secretary reviews each proposed project for its significance in improving postsecondary education by determining the extent to which it would—
- (1) Achieve the purposes of the program competition by addressing a particular problem area or improvement approach in postsecondary education;
- (2) Address an important problem or
- (3) Represent an improvement upon, or important departure from, existing practice;
- (4) Involve learner-centered improvements;
- (5) Achieve far-reaching impact through improvements that will be useful in a variety of ways and in a variety of settings; and
- (6) Increase the cost-effectiveness of services.