

available alternatives that are within the spectrum of alternatives analyzed in the draft EIS, which could reduce the environmental impacts of the action. The identified additional information, data, analyses, or discussion should be included in the final EIS.

Category 3—Inadequate

EPA does not believe that the draft EIS adequately assesses potentially significant environmental impacts of the action, or the EPA reviewer has identified new, reasonably available alternatives that are outside of the spectrum of alternatives analyzed in the draft EIS, which should be analyzed in order to reduce the potentially significant environmental impacts. EPA believes that the identified additional information, data, analyses, or discussions are of such a magnitude that they should have full public review at a draft stage. EPA does not believe that the draft EIS is adequate for the purposes of the NEPA and/or Section 309 review, and thus should be formally revised and made available for public comment in a supplemental or revised draft EIS. On the basis of the potential significant impacts involved, this proposal could be a candidate for referral to the CEQ.

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-NOA-E64016-FL Rating LO, Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary Comprehensive Management Plan, Implementation and Special-Use-Permit, Monroe County, FL.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the proposed project. Furthermore, EPA believed that the Florida Keys Management Plan/EIS is a well-conceived comprehensive blueprint for saving the fragile coral reef ecosystem that is threatened by unsustainable human activities.

ERP No. D-SFW-K99028-CA Rating EC2, Programmatic EIS—Natural Community Conservation Plan/Habitat Conservation Plan, Implementation and Associated Incidental Take Permit Issuance, Central and Coastal Subregion, Orange County, CA.

Summary: EPA had environmental concerns with the scarce information provided in the joint programmatic EIS on the role of this NCCP/HCP in the overall NCCP effort and regional species population viability; potential effects on water quality, aquatic resources and air quality; adequate and sound science; subsequent environmental reviews; funding and administration of the proposed plan and environmental justice issues. EPA commended the US Fish and Wildlife Service and project proponents for the multi-species/multi-

habitat approach, incorporation of proactive measures to minimize adverse impacts on habitat approved for conversions, the commitment to adaptive management and emphasis on incorporating nearly all major stakeholders in protecting the diverse ecosystems present in the plan area.

ERP No. D-USN-11021-PA Rating EC2, Philadelphia Naval Base, Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, Philadelphia, PA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding potential wetland impacts, site contamination and remedial action. EPA requested that these issues be discussed in more detail in the final EIS.

ERP No. DS-NOA-E91007-00 Rating LO, South Atlantic Region Shrimp Fishery Management Plan, Implementation, Additional Information, Amendment 2 (Bycatch Reduction), Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ), NC, SC, FL and GA.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the proposed actions, but stressed the need to research Bycatch Reduction Devices that will increase the catch-per-unit effort for shrimp and achieve bycatch reductions.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-BLM-K08018-CA, Alturas 345 Kilovolt (KV) Electric Power Transmission Line Project, Construction, Operation and Maintenance, Right-of-Way Grant Approval, Special-Use-Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Susanville District, Modoc, Lassen and Sierra Counties, CA and Washoe County, NV.

Summary: EPA continued to express environmental concerns about increased voltages in existing power lines near residential areas and EPA suggested that information to address this should be included in the Record of Decision.

ERP No. F-DOE-A00168-00, Nuclear Weapons Nonproliferation Policy Concerning Foreign Research Reactor Spent Nuclear Fuel, Implementation, United States and Abroad.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the proposed project.

ERP No. F-FHW-L40191-AK, Whittier Access Project, Construction between Port of Whittier and Seward Highway, Funding, Right-of-Way Agreement and COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Chugauch National Forest, Municipality of Anchorage, City of Whittier, AK.

Summary: EPA provided no formal written comments to the preparing agency. EPA had no objection to the preferred alternative as described in the final EIS.

Dated: April 2, 1996.

B. Katherine Biggs,

Associate Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96-8484 Filed 4-4-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[ER-FRL-5415-2]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 OR (202) 564-7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed March 25, 1996 Through March 29, 1996 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 960137, Draft EIS, AFS, MT, Sheep Range and China Basin Salvage Project, Implementation, Kootenai National Forest, Libby Ranger District, Lincoln County, MT, Due: May 20, 1996, Contact: Leanne Martin (406) 293-6211.

EIS No. 960138, Draft EIS, FAA, HI, Kahului Airport Master Plan Improvements, Implementation, Funding and Approval of Permits, Kahului, Maui County, HI, Due: May 23, 1996, Contact: David J. Welhouse (808) 541-1243.

EIS No. 960139, Final EIS, FHW, WI, US 151/Fond du Lac Bypass Construction, US 151 and CTH "D" to US 151 and WI-149, Funding, Fond du Lac County, WI, Due: May 06, 1996, Contact: Richard C. Madrzak (608) 829-7510.

EIS No. 960140, Final EIS, BLM, MT, Zortman and Landusky Mines Reclamation Plan Modifications and Mine Life Extensions, Approval of Mine Operation, Mine Reclamation and COE Section 404 Permits, Little Rocky Mountains, Phillip County, MT, Due: May 06, 1996, Contact: Scott Haight (406) 538-7461.

EIS No. 960141, Final EIS, AFS, CO, UT, Steamboat Ski Area Expansion, Implementation, Medicine Bow-Routt National Forest, Mt. Weiner, Special-Use-Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Routt County, CO, Due: May 06, 1996, Contact: Wendy Schmitzer (970) 879-1870.

EIS No. 960142, Draft EIS, NPS, NB, Niobrara National Scenic River, General Management Plan, Niobrara/Missouri National Scenic Riverways, Implementation, Brown, Cherry, Keya Paha and Rock Counties, NB, Due: May 20, 1996, Contact: Warren Hill (402) 336-3970.

EIS No. 960143, Draft EIS, FHW, TN, Shelby Avenue/Demonbreum Street Corridor, from I-65 North to I-40

West in Downtown Nashville, Funding, U.S. Coast Guard Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Davidson County, TN, Due: May 20, 1996, Contact: Dennis C. Cook (615) 736-5394.

EIS No. 960144, Draft EIS, SFW, WA, Washington State Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP), Issuance of a Permit for Incidental Take of Federally-Listed Species and Implementation of the Multi-Species Plan for Lands Managed by WDNR, WA, Due: June 04, 1996, Contact: William Vogel (360) 534-9330.

The US Department of Interior's, Fish and Wildlife Service and US Department of Commerce (DOC) are Joint Lead Agencies for this project. Contact Person for (DOC) is Steven W. Landino (360) 534-9330.

EIS No. 960145, Draft EIS, DOE, TX, Pantex Plant Continued Operation and Associated Storage of Nuclear Weapon Components, Implementation, Approvals and Permits Issuance, Carson County, TX, Due: July 05, 1996, Contact: Nanette D. Founds (505) 845-4212.

EIS No. 960146, Draft EIS, USN, CA, Naval Station Long Beach Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits Issuance and Possible NPDES Permit Issuance, Los Angeles County, CA, Due: May 20, 1996, Contact: Jo Ellen Anderson (619) 532-3912.

EIS No. 960147, Final EIS, TVA, TN, Upper Tennessee River Navigation Improvement Project, Rehabilitation and/or Construction, Chickamauga Dam—Navigation Lock Structural Improvement Alternative, Funding, NPDES Permit, Coast Guard Bridge Permit and COE Section 404 Permits, Tennessee River, Hamilton County, TN, Due: May 06, 1996, Contact: W. Gary Brock (423) 632-8877.

EIS No. 960148, Final EIS, BLM, WY, Green River Resource Area Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Rock Springs District, Sweetwater, Fremont, Uinta, Sublette and Lincoln Counties, WY, Due: May 06, 1996, Contact: Joe Patty (307) 775-6101.

Dated: April 2, 1996.

B. Katherine Biggs,

Associate Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96-8485 Filed 4-4-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[ER-FRL-5415-1]

Bayou Lafourche Siphon Fresh Water Diversion Restoration Project, Louisiana

AGENCY: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

Notice of Intent to conduct public scoping meetings associated with the planning and evaluation of the Bayou Lafourche Siphon Fresh Water Diversion Restoration Project in Louisiana. The Bayou Lafourche Siphon Project is authorized under the Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection and Restoration Act (CWPPRA) PL 101 (646).

PURPOSE: To meet the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and CWPPRA requirements for consideration of environmental impacts, benefits and costs associated with diversion of freshwater and sediments from the Mississippi River to the Bayou Lafourche at Donaldsonville, Louisiana, and into coastal wetland areas.

SUMMARY: The CWPPRA requires selection of projects on an annual basis for implementation to benefit, protect and restore coastal wetlands. On February 28, 1996, the CWPPRA Task Force administering the responsibilities of CWPPRA, designated the Bayou Lafourche Siphon Project to the fifth priority project list submitted to Congress, with the added requirement to conduct engineering, design and evaluation as a first phase. The project is estimated to significantly benefit wetlands by providing freshwater, sediments and nutrients to degraded areas. This project is to be implemented as a partnership between the EPA, the State of Louisiana, Department of Natural Resources and the Bayou Lafourche Fresh Water District.

ALTERNATIVES: EPA may upon completion of the first phase proceed with implementation of the second and third phases for construction and monitoring or may determine not to proceed with the project.

SCOPING MEETINGS: EPA will hold a series of public meetings to receive input from the public on environmental, socio-economic, and engineering issues and concerns. EPA is seeking information and identification of impacts both adverse and beneficial on the project. The meetings will be held on the following days and locations:

Tuesday, April 30, 1996, Larose-Cut Off Junior High School Auditorium, 13356 West Main Street, Larose, LA 70373.

Wednesday, May 1, 1996, Assumption High School Auditorium, 4880

Highway 308, Napoleonville, LA 70390.

Thursday, May 2, 1996, City Hall, 609 Railroad Avenue, Donaldsonville, LA 70346.

Thursday, May 9, 1996, Thibodaux High School Auditorium, 1355 Tiger Drive, Thibodaux, LA 70301.

All meetings will begin at 6:30 p.m. on the night designated. Additional information on the project and schedule will be provided at the meetings. For scoping comments or additional information contact: Mr. Norm Thomas, Technical Committee CWPPRA, EPA Water Quality Field Office, Suite 173, 777 Florida St., Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70801, Telephone: (504) 389-0736, FAX: (504) 389-0704. For planning purposes and defining the scope of the project and evaluation, please provide your comments by May 31, 1996.

Dated: April 2, 1996.

Richard E. Sanderson,

Director, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96-8483 Filed 4-4-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[FRL-5453-8]

EPA's Drinking Water Health Advisory Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Announcement of a stakeholder meeting on the Drinking Water Health Advisory Program.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has scheduled a one-day public meeting on EPA's Drinking Water Health Advisory Program. The purpose of this meeting is to have a dialogue with stakeholders and the public at large on the future of EPA's Drinking Water Health Advisory Program. This program is non-regulatory and is designed to provide guidance to individuals and government officials on the health effects of drinking water contaminants. The upcoming meeting is a continuation of a series of meetings with stakeholders that started in 1995 to obtain input on the Agency's Drinking Water Program. These meetings were initiated as part of the Drinking Water Program Redirection efforts to help refocus EPA's drinking water priorities and to take a risk-based approach in the allocation of program resources. Thus, the Agency seeks to ensure that the highest priority chemicals are targeted for public health protection. At the upcoming meeting, EPA is seeking input from stakeholders on a number of issues related to the Health Advisory Program