

grid which collects data on full and part-time employees, collects hiring and promotion data for senior upper-level job categories, and a list of job titles within each of the 15 job categories. MVPD units may submit computer-generated lists of job titles which are currently maintained for internal recordkeeping purposes. Every MVPD unit with 6 or more full-time employees must file annually a full FCC 395-M. However, MVPD units with 5 or fewer full-time employees must only file Sections I, II and IX of the FCC 395-M and thereafter need not file again unless its employment increases. In addition, MVPD units with 6 or more full-time employees will file a Supplemental Investigation Sheet once every 5 years. The data is used by FCC staff to monitor a MVPD unit's efforts to afford equal employment opportunity in employment. The data is also used to assess industry trends.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-6760 Filed 3-20-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-F

Public Safety Wireless Advisory Committee; Subcommittee Meetings

AGENCIES: National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA), Commerce, and Federal Communications Commission (FCC).

ACTION: Notice of the next meetings of the Spectrum Requirements, Interoperability, Technology, Operational Requirements and Transition Subcommittees, and the Steering Committee of the Public Safety Wireless Advisory Committee.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, as amended, this notice advises interested persons of the next meetings of the five Subcommittees and Steering Committee of the Public Safety Wireless Advisory Committee. The NTIA and the FCC established a Public Safety Wireless Advisory Committee, Subcommittees, and Steering Committee to prepare a final report to advise the NTIA and the FCC on operational, technical and spectrum requirements of Federal, state and local Public Safety entities through the year 2010. All interested parties are invited to attend and to participate in the next round of meetings of the Subcommittees and the Steering Committee.

DATES: April 10 through 12, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Admiral Kidd Club (Officers Club), Naval Training Center, Harbor Dr.

and Laning Rd. (Gate 10), San Diego, CA 92133.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information regarding the Subcommittees, contact:

Interoperability Subcommittee: James E. Downes at 202-622-1582

Operational Requirements Subcommittee: Paul H. Wieck at 515-281-5261

Spectrum Requirements Subcommittee: Richard N. Allen at 703-630-6617

Technology Subcommittee: Alfred Mello at 401-738-2220

Transition Subcommittee: Ronnie Rand at 904-322-2500 or 800-949-2726 ext. 600.

For information regarding accommodations and transportation, contact: Deborah Behlin at 202-418-0650 (phone), 202-418-2643 (fax), or dbehlin@fcc.gov (email). You may also contact Ms. Behlin for general information concerning the Public Safety Wireless Advisory Committee. Information is also available from the Internet at the Public Safety Wireless Advisory Committee homepage (<http://pswac.ntia.doc.gov>).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Steering Committee and the five Subcommittees of the Public Safety Wireless Advisory Committee will hold consecutive meetings over a three day period, Wednesday through Friday, April 10, 11, 12, 1996. The expected arrangement of the meetings, which is subject to change at the time of the meetings, is as follows:

April 10: The Steering Committee and then the Transition Subcommittee will meet consecutively starting at 9:00 a.m.

April 11: The Operational Requirements Subcommittee and then the Technology Subcommittee will meet consecutively starting at 9:00 a.m.

April 12: The Interoperability Subcommittee and then the Spectrum Requirements Subcommittee will meet consecutively starting at 9:00 a.m.

The tentative agenda for each subcommittee meeting is as follows:

1. Welcoming Remarks
2. Approval of Agenda
3. Administrative Matters
4. Work Program/Organization of Work
5. Meeting Schedule
6. Agenda for Next Meeting
7. Other Business
8. Closing Remarks

The tentative agenda for the Steering Committee meeting is as follows:

1. Welcoming Remarks
2. Approval of Agenda
3. Administrative Matters
4. Work Program/Organization of Work
5. Meeting Schedule

6. Agenda for Next Meeting

7. Other Business

8. Closing Remarks

Anyone who is submitting papers, information, or written comments to the Steering Committee or any of the five subcommittees is asked to provide 100 copies at time of submittal.

The tentative schedule and general location of future meetings of the Subcommittees of the Public Safety Wireless Advisory Committee is as follows: May 29, 30, 31, 1996, at Scott AFB, Illinois (near St Louis, MO), June 1996, in Washington, DC.

The tentative schedule and general location of the next full meeting of the Public Safety Wireless Advisory Committee is: June 1996, in Washington, DC.

The Co-Designated Federal Officers of the Public Safety Wireless Advisory Committee are William Donald Speights, NTIA, and John J. Borkowski, FCC. For public inspection, a file designated WTB-1 is maintained in the Private Wireless Division of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, Room 8010, 2025 M Street, NW., Washington, DC 20554.

Federal Communications Commission.

Robert H. McNamara,

Chief, Private Wireless Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau.

[FR Doc. 96-6794 Filed 3-20-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

DATE AND TIME: Tuesday, March 26, 1996 at 10:00 a.m.

PLACE: 999 E Street, NW., Washington, DC.

STATUS: This meeting will be closed to the public.

ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED:

Compliance matters pursuant to 2 U.S.C. 437g.

Audits conducted pursuant to 2 U.S.C. 437g, 438(b), and Title 26, U.S.C.

Matters concerning participation in civil actions or proceedings or arbitration Internal personnel rules and procedures or matters affecting a particular employee

DATE AND TIME: Thursday, March 28, 1996 at 10:00 a.m.

PLACE: 999 E Street, NW., Washington, DC (ninth floor).

STATUS: This meeting will be open to the public.

ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED:

Correction and Approval of Minutes
Title 26 Certification Matters
Advisory Opinion 1996-7:

Sharon Ayres of Harry Browne for
President
Advisory Opinion 1995-47:
Congressman Robert Underwood.
Legislative Recommendations 1996
(continued from meeting of March 21,
1996, if necessary)
Administrative Matters.

PERSON TO CONTACT FOR INFORMATION:
Mr. Ron Harris, Press Officer,
Telephone: (202) 219-4155.
Delores Hardy,
Administrative Assistant.
[FR Doc. 96-7072 Filed 3-19-96; 3:50 pm]
BILLING CODE 6715-01-M

FEDERAL LABOR RELATIONS AUTHORITY

Federal Employees; Criteria To Be Applied in Determining Whether To Transfer Employees From One Collective Bargaining Unit to Another When Both Affected Labor Organizations Agree on the Transfer

AGENCY: Federal Labor Relations
Authority.

ACTION: Notice of opportunity to file
briefs as *amici curiae* in a proceeding
before the Federal Labor Relations
Authority in which the Authority is
determining whether to grant a petition
seeking to transfer employees from one
established collective bargaining unit to
another.

SUMMARY: The Federal Labor Relations
Authority provides an opportunity for
all interested persons to file briefs as
amici curiae on significant issues
arising in a case pending before the
Authority. The Authority is considering
this case pursuant to its responsibilities
under the Federal Service Labor-
Management Relations Statute, 5 U.S.C.
7101-7135 (1988) and its regulations set
forth at 5 CFR part 2422 (1994). The
issues concern the criteria to be applied
to determine whether to grant a petition
seeking to transfer employees from one
established, nationwide, consolidated
collective bargaining unit to another
such unit when the exclusive
representatives of both units agree on
the transfer.

DATES: Briefs submitted in response to
this notice will be considered if filed by
close of business on April 19, 1996.
Extensions of time will not be granted.
The date of filing shall be determined by
the date of mailing, as indicated by the
postmark date. If no postmark date is
evident on the mailing, it shall be
presumed to have been mailed 5 days
prior to receipt. If filing is by personal
delivery, it shall be considered filed on
the date it is received by the Authority.

ADDRESSES: Mail or deliver briefs to
James H. Adams, Acting Director, Case
Control Office, Federal Labor Relations
Authority, 607 14th Street, NW., Suite
415, Washington, DC 20424-0001.

FORMAT: All briefs shall be captioned
“*National Association of Government
Employees/Service Employees
International Union, Local 5000 and
Service Employees International Union
and U.S. Department of Veterans
Affairs*, No. WA-AC-50071, Amicus
Brief” and shall contain separate,
numbered headings for each issue
discussed. Parties must submit an
original and four (4) copies of each
amicus brief, with any enclosures, on
8½ × 11 inch paper.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
James H. Adams, Acting Director, Case
Control Office, Federal Labor Relations
Authority, 607 14th Street, NW., Suite
415, Washington, DC 20424-0001,
Telephone: FTS or Commercial (202)
482-6540.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March
15, 1996, the Authority granted, in part,
an application for review of the
Regional Director’s Decision and Order
in *National Association of Government
Employees/Service Employees
International Union, Local 5000 and
Service Employees International Union
and U.S. Department of Veterans
Affairs*, No. WA-AC-50071. A copy of
the decision may be obtained in the
Authority’s Case Control Office at the
aforementioned address; a copy will be
forwarded (by mail or facsimile) to any
person who so requests by contacting
James H. Adams at the same address. A
brief summary of the case follows.

The petition in this case, which was
filed jointly by the National Association
of Government Employees/Service
Employees International Union, Local
5000 (NAGE/SEIU or NAGE) and the
Service Employees International Union
(SEIU), seeks an amendment of
certification to transfer employees from
a bargaining unit for which SEIU is the
exclusive representative to a unit for
which NAGE is the exclusive
representative. The Agency maintained
a “neutral position” regarding the
petition in proceedings before the RD,
and filed no submissions with the
Authority.

In 1978, the National Association of
Government Employees was certified as
the exclusive representative of a
nationwide, consolidated bargaining
unit composed of certain
nonprofessional employees of the
Agency. In 1982, the National
Association of Government Employees
affiliated with SEIU and became NAG/
SEIU. SEIU “has jurisdiction over

NAGE.” Currently, NAGE represents
approximately 10,200 nonprofessional
employees in this unit.

Since 1980, SEIU has represented a
nationwide, consolidated bargaining
unit of other nonprofessional employees
of the Agency, including approximately
900 employees at the Agency’s Medical
Center in San Diego, California, who are
represented by SEIU Local 102. There
are approximately 9,800 employees in
SEIU’s consolidated unit. SEIU, Local
102 and the Agency’s Medical Center in
San Diego are parties to a collective
bargaining agreement.

The joint petitioners seek to “sever”
the San Diego Medical Center
employees from SEIU’s consolidated
unit and include them in NAGE’s
consolidated unit. The petition was
filed after a “special meeting” was held
among SEIU Local 102 members, at
which the sole subject was the transfer
of affiliation from SEIU to NAGE. All
SEIU Local 102 members were invited
to the meeting. Following a discussion
of the issue of the transfer of affiliation,
a total of five members voted
unanimously, by secret ballot, to
transfer representation from SEIU to
NAGE.

The RD dismissed the petition on the
ground that the joint petitioners had
failed to establish the “unusual
circumstances” necessary under
Authority case law to justify severance
of employees from a bargaining.

The Authority granted review under
§ 2422.17(c)(1) of the Authority’s
regulations, 5 CFR 2422.17(c)(1), on the
ground that there is an absence of
precedent on the following issues:

1. Should the facts that the joint
petitioners agree that the San Diego
employees should be severed from the
SEIU unit and included in the NAGE
unit, and/or that the agency does not
oppose such agreement, be considered
in resolving the petition in this case?

2. If those facts are considered, what
principles should be used to determine
whether to grant the petition?

(a) As to severance, for example,
should SEIU’s agreement be accorded
the same effect as the disclaimer in
Treasury? It is noted, in this regard, that
there is no suggestion that SEIU would
disclaim interest in representing the San
Diego employees if the petition is
dismissed.

(b) As to accretion, for example,
should the joint petitioners’ agreement
be considered dispositive in light of the
Agency’s neutral position? Are there
any circumstances that would override
the agreement? Are there any
circumstances in which an election
should be directed?